



This CDP report of Merck & Co., Inc., Rahway, NJ, USA which is known as MSD outside the U.S. and Canada, has been amended for a Global audience, and will henceforth be referred to as The “Company”.

Merck & Co., Inc. Rahway, NJ, U.S.A., which is known as MSD outside the U.S. and Canada

CDP Corporate Questionnaire 2026

Word version

Important: this export excludes unanswered questions

This document is an export of your organization's CDP questionnaire response. It contains all data points for questions that are answered or in progress. There may be questions or data points that you have been requested to provide, which are missing from this document because they are currently unanswered. Please note that it is your responsibility to verify that your questionnaire response is complete prior to submission. CDP will not be liable for any failure to do so.

[Read full terms of disclosure](#)

Contents

C1. Introduction	9
(1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?	9
(1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.	9
(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.	9
(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years....	10
(1.4.1) What is your organization's annual revenue for the reporting period?	11
(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.	11
(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?	11
(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.	13
(1.8) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?	14
(1.22) Provide details on the commodities that you produce and/or source.	15
(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?	23
(1.24.2) Which commodities has your organization mapped in your upstream value chain (i.e., supply chain)?	24
C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities	26
(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?	26
(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?	27
(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?	28
(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization's process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.....	28
(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?	41
(2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain?	41
(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?	42
(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?	43
(2.5.1) Describe how your organization minimizes the adverse impacts of potential water pollutants on water ecosystems or human health associated with your activities.	44

C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities.....	47
(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?.....	47
(3.3) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?	48
(3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?	49
(3.5.1) Select the carbon pricing regulation(s) which impact your operations.....	49
(3.5.2) Provide details of each Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) your organization is regulated by.....	49
(3.5.3) Complete the following table for each of the tax systems you are regulated by.....	51
(3.5.4) What is your strategy for complying with the systems you are regulated by or anticipate being regulated by?.....	51
(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?	51
(3.6.1) Provide details of the environmental opportunities identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.	53
(3.6.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics in the reporting year that are aligned with the substantive effects of environmental opportunities.....	55
C4. Governance.....	57
(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?	57
(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?	58
(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board's oversight of environmental issues.....	60
(4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues?	62
(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?.....	64
(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).	65
(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?	68
(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?.....	68
(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies.	69
(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?	72
(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?	73
(4.11.2) Provide details of your indirect engagement on policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment through trade associations or other intermediary organizations or individuals in the reporting year.	74

(4.12) Have you published information about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response?	82
(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.	82
C5. Business strategy	85
(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?	85
(5.1.1) Provide details of the scenarios used in your organization's scenario analysis.	86
(5.1.2) Provide details of the outcomes of your organization's scenario analysis	96
(5.2) Does your organization's strategy include a climate transition plan?	97
(5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?	98
(5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy	98
(5.3.2) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your financial planning.	102
(5.4) In your organization's financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition?	103
(5.9) What is the trend in your organization's water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year?	103
(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?	104
(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?	105
(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?	108
(5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?	110
(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization's purchasing process?	112
(5.11.6) Provide details of the environmental requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization's purchasing process, and the compliance measures in place.	115
(5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization's supplier engagement on environmental issues.	120
(5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.	125
(5.12) Indicate any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives you could collaborate on with specific CDP Supply Chain members.	127
(5.13) Has your organization already implemented any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement?	127
C6. Environmental performance - Consolidation approach	128
(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data	128
C7. Environmental performance - Climate change	129

(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?.....	129
(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?.....	129
(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?	129
(7.1.3) Have your organization's base year emissions and past years' emissions been recalculated as a result of any changes or errors reported in 7.1.1 and/or 7.1.2?...	130
(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.	131
(7.3) Describe your organization's approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.....	131
(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are excluded from your disclosure of climate-related data?	132
(7.4.1) Provide details of the sources of Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 emissions which are not included in your disclosure.	132
(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.	135
(7.6) What were your organization's gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO ₂ e?	144
(7.7) What were your organization's gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO ₂ e?	147
(7.8) Account for your organization's gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.	151
(7.8.1) Disclose or restate your Scope 3 emissions data for previous years.	162
(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.....	171
(7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements.	171
(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.	172
(7.9.3) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 3 emissions and attach the relevant statements.	175
(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?	176
(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.	176
(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?	183
(7.12) Does your organization have significant land sector activities in its operations or value chain?	183
(7.12.2) Provide the emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization in metric tons CO ₂	183
(7.13) Does your organization choose to account for and report technological CO ₂ removals or captured CO ₂ with geologic storage, or have you done so in previous years?	184
(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 and/or Scope 2 emissions by greenhouse gas type?	184
(7.15.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions by greenhouse gas type and provide the source of each used global warming potential (GWP).	184

(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area.	187
(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.	218
(7.17.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business activity.	218
(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.	218
(7.20.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business activity.	218
(7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response.	219
(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?	220
(7.26) Allocate your emissions to your customers listed below according to the goods or services you have sold them in this reporting period.	220
(7.27) What are the challenges in allocating emissions to different customers, and what would help you to overcome these challenges?	255
(7.28) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?	256
(7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?	256
(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.	256
(7.30.1) Report your organization's energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.	257
(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization's consumption of fuel.	260
(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.	261
(7.30.9) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and cooling your organization has generated and consumed in the reporting year.	266
(7.30.14) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year.	269
(7.30.15) Provide details on the electricity purchases that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the reporting year.	317
(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.	419
(7.52) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business.	421
(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?	422
(7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.	422
(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?	435
(7.54.1) Provide details of your targets to increase or maintain low-carbon energy consumption or production.	435
(7.54.3) Provide details of your net-zero target(s).	438
(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.	441
(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.	441

(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below	442
(7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?	452
(7.73) Are you providing product level data for your organization's goods or services?	454
(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?	454
(7.79) Has your organization retired any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?	454
(7.79.1) Provide details of the project-based carbon credits retired by your organization in the reporting year.....	454
C8. Environmental performance - Forests.....	457
(8.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of forests-related data?.....	457
(8.1.1) Provide details on these exclusions	457
(8.2) Provide a breakdown of your disclosure volume per commodity.	458
(8.5) Provide details on the origins of your sourced volumes.	459
(8.7) Did your organization have a no-deforestation or no-conversion target, or any other targets for sustainable production/ sourcing of your disclosed commodities, active in the reporting year?	461
(8.8) Indicate if your organization has a traceability system to determine the origins of your sourced volumes and provide details of the methods and tools used.....	462
(8.9) Provide details of your organization's assessment of the deforestation-free (DF) or deforestation- and conversion-free (DCF) status of its disclosed commodities...	462
(8.10) Indicate whether you have monitored or estimated the deforestation and conversion of other natural ecosystems footprint for your disclosed commodities.	463
(8.11) For volumes not assessed and determined as deforestation- and conversion-free (DCF), indicate if you have taken actions in the reporting year to increase production or sourcing of DCF volumes.	464
(8.12) Indicate if certification details are available for the commodity volumes sold to requesting CDP Supply Chain members.....	464
(8.13) Does your organization calculate the GHG emission reductions and/or removals from land use management and land use change that have occurred in your direct operations and/or upstream value chain?	465
(8.14) Indicate if you assess your own compliance and/or the compliance of your suppliers with forest regulations and/or mandatory standards, and provide details.	466
(8.15) Do you engage in landscape (including jurisdictional) initiatives to progress shared sustainable land use goals?.....	466
(8.16) Do you participate in any other external activities to support the implementation of policies and commitments related to deforestation, ecosystem conversion, or human rights issues in commodity value chains?	467
(8.17) Is your organization supporting or implementing project(s) focused on ecosystem restoration and long-term protection?	467
(8.17.1) Provide details on your project(s), including the extent, duration, and monitoring frequency. Please specify any measured outcome(s).....	467
C9. Environmental performance - Water security	473
(9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data?	473

(9.1.1) Provide details on these exclusions	473
(9.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored?	474
(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?	482
(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change.	486
(9.2.7) Provide total water withdrawal data by source.	487
(9.2.8) Provide total water discharge data by destination.	491
(9.2.9) Indicate the highest level to which your wastewater is treated (either by your organization or a third party) before being discharged to the environment.	494
(9.2.10) Provide details of your organization's emissions of nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and other priority substances to water in the reporting year.	499
(9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?	500
(9.4) Could any of your facilities reported in 9.3.1 have an impact on a requesting CDP supply chain member?	501
(9.5) Provide a figure for your organization's total water withdrawal efficiency.	502
(9.13) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?	502
(9.13.1) What percentage of your company's revenue is associated with products containing substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?	502
(9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?	503
(9.15) Indicate whether you have targets relating to water pollution, water withdrawals, WASH, or other water-related categories.	504
(9.15.1) Provide details of your water-related targets and the progress made.	505
C11. Environmental performance - Biodiversity.....	508
(11.2) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments?	508
(11.3) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities?	508
(11.4) Does your organization have activities located in or near to areas important for biodiversity in the reporting year?	508
C13. Further information & sign off	510
(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?	510
(13.1.1) Which data points within your CDP response are verified and/or assured by a third party, and which standards were used?	510
(13.2) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.	511

(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response. 511

(13.4) Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub to facilitate collective action in priority basins. 512

C1. Introduction

(1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?

Select from:

☒ English

(1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.

Select from:

☒ USD

(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.

(1.3.2) Organization type

Select from:

☒ Publicly traded organization

(1.3.3) Description of organization

Merck & Co., Inc. Rahway, NJ, U.S.A., which is known as MSD outside the U.S. and Canada (The Company) is a global health care company that delivers innovative health solutions through its prescription medicines, including biologic therapies, vaccines and animal health products. The Company's operations are principally managed on a product basis and include two operating segments, Pharmaceutical and Animal Health, both of which are reportable segments. The Pharmaceutical segment includes human health pharmaceutical and vaccine products. Human health pharmaceutical products consist of therapeutic and preventive agents, generally sold by prescription, for the treatment of human disorders. The Company sells these human health pharmaceutical products primarily to drug wholesalers and retailers, hospitals, government agencies and managed health care providers such as health maintenance organizations, pharmacy benefit managers and other institutions. Human health vaccine products consist of preventive pediatric, adolescent and adult vaccines. The Company sells these human health vaccines primarily to physicians, wholesalers, distributors and government entities. The Animal Health segment discovers, develops, manufactures and markets a wide range of veterinary pharmaceutical and vaccine products, as well as health management solutions and services, for the prevention, treatment and control of disease in all major livestock and companion animal species. The Company also offers an extensive suite of digitally connected identification, traceability and monitoring products. The Company sells its products to veterinarians, distributors, animal producers, farmers and pet owners. Our company reported total revenue of 65.0 billion during 2025 with 75,000 employees worldwide as of December 31, 2025. Further information is available in our annual Impact report, published on our website.

[Fixed row]

(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.

(1.4.1) End date of reporting year

12/31/2025

(1.4.2) Alignment of this reporting period with your financial reporting period

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.4.3) Indicate if you are providing emissions data for past reporting years

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.4.4) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 1 emissions data for

Select from:

☒ 4 years

(1.4.5) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 2 emissions data for

Select from:

☒ 4 years

(1.4.6) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 3 emissions data for

Select from:

☒ 4 years

[Fixed row]

(1.4.1) What is your organization’s annual revenue for the reporting period?

65011000000

(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.

	Is your reporting boundary for your CDP disclosure the same as that used in your financial statements?
	Select from: ☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

ISIN code - equity

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

Ticker symbol

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

MRK

SEDOL code

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

LEI number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

D-U-N-S number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

054554290

Other unique identifier

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

[Add row]

(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.

Select all that apply

☒ Peru

☒ Chile

☒ China

☒ Egypt

☒ India

☒ Cyprus

☒ France

☒ Greece

☒ Israel

☒ Jordan

☒ Serbia

☒ Sweden

☒ Algeria

☒ Austria

☒ Italy

☒ Japan

☒ Spain

☒ Brazil

☒ Canada

☒ Latvia

☒ Mexico

☒ Norway

☒ Panama

☒ Poland

☒ Belgium

☒ Bermuda

☒ Croatia

☒ Czechia

- ☒ Belarus
- ☒ Ecuador
- ☒ Estonia
- ☒ Finland
- ☒ Germany
- ☒ Hungary
- ☒ Türkiye
- ☒ Ukraine
- ☒ Uruguay
- ☒ Bulgaria
- ☒ Colombia
- ☒ Thailand
- ☒ Viet Nam
- ☒ Argentina
- ☒ Australia
- ☒ Guatemala
- ☒ Netherlands
- ☒ New Zealand
- ☒ Philippines
- ☒ Puerto Rico
- ☒ Switzerland
- ☒ Russian Federation
- ☒ United Arab Emirates
- ☒ Bosnia and Herzegovina
- ☒ Korea (The Republic of)
- ☒ United States of America

- ☒ Denmark
- ☒ Iceland
- ☒ Ireland
- ☒ Lebanon
- ☒ Morocco
- ☒ Romania
- ☒ Honduras
- ☒ Malaysia
- ☒ Portugal
- ☒ Slovakia
- ☒ Slovenia
- ☒ Indonesia
- ☒ Lithuania
- ☒ Singapore
- ☒ Costa Rica
- ☒ Luxembourg
- ☒ Saudi Arabia
- ☒ South Africa
- ☒ Taiwan, China
- ☒ United Kingdom
- ☒ Dominican Republic
- ☒ Republic of North Macedonia
- ☒ Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)
- ☒ China, Macao Special Administrative Region
- ☒ China, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region

(1.8) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?

	Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?	Comment
	Select from: ☒ No, this is confidential data	<i>This is confidential data.</i>

[Fixed row]

(1.22) Provide details on the commodities that you produce and/or source.

Timber products

(1.22.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

☒ Sourced

(1.22.2) Commodity value chain stage

Select all that apply

☒ Retailing

(1.22.4) Indicate if you are providing the total commodity volume that is produced and/or sourced

Select from:

☒ Yes, we are providing the total volume

(1.22.5) Total commodity volume (metric tons)

915654

(1.22.8) Of the total commodity volume, state how much is post-consumer recycled content (metric tons)

(1.22.9) Did you convert the total commodity volume from another unit to metric tons?

Select from:

☒ No

(1.22.12) Form of commodity

Select all that apply

☒ Secondary packaging

☒ Tertiary packaging

(1.22.13) % of procurement spend

Select from:

☒ Less than 1%

(1.22.14) % of revenue dependent on commodity

Select from:

☒ Unknown

(1.22.15) In the questionnaire setup did you indicate that you are disclosing on this commodity?

Select from:

☒ Yes, disclosing

(1.22.16) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.22.20) Please explain

We recognize that most of our products are dependent on the use of timber products for secondary packaging and shipping. Nonetheless, we are unable to determine the revenue dependent on this disclosed forest commodity for 2025.

Palm oil

(1.22.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

☒ Sourced

(1.22.2) Commodity value chain stage

Select all that apply

☒ Manufacturing

(1.22.4) Indicate if you are providing the total commodity volume that is produced and/or sourced

Select from:

☒ No, the total volume is unknown

(1.22.12) Form of commodity

Select all that apply

☒ Palm oil derivatives

(1.22.13) % of procurement spend

Select from:

☒ Unknown

(1.22.14) % of revenue dependent on commodity

Select from:

☒ Unknown

(1.22.15) In the questionnaire setup did you indicate that you are disclosing on this commodity?

Select from:

☒ No, not disclosing

(1.22.16) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?

Select from:

☒ No

(1.22.17) Reason for not disclosing

Select all that apply

☒ Data is not available

(1.22.19) Explanation for not disclosing

We are in the process of identifying our dependency on high-risk commodity ingredients within our products.

(1.22.20) Please explain

We are in the process of identifying our dependency on high-risk commodity ingredients within our products.

Cattle products

(1.22.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

☒ Sourced

(1.22.2) Commodity value chain stage

Select all that apply

☒ Manufacturing

(1.22.4) Indicate if you are providing the total commodity volume that is produced and/or sourced

Select from:

- ☒ No, the total volume is unknown

(1.22.12) Form of commodity

Select all that apply

- ☒ By-products (e.g. glycerin, gelatin)

(1.22.13) % of procurement spend

Select from:

- ☒ Unknown

(1.22.14) % of revenue dependent on commodity

Select from:

- ☒ Unknown

(1.22.15) In the questionnaire setup did you indicate that you are disclosing on this commodity?

Select from:

- ☒ No, not disclosing

(1.22.16) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?

Select from:

- ☒ No

(1.22.17) Reason for not disclosing

Select all that apply

- ☒ Data is not available

(1.22.19) Explanation for not disclosing

We are in the process of identifying our dependency on high-risk commodity ingredients within our products.

(1.22.20) Please explain

We are in the process of identifying our dependency on high-risk commodity ingredients within our products.

Soy

(1.22.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

☒ Sourced

(1.22.2) Commodity value chain stage

Select all that apply

☒ Manufacturing

(1.22.3) Indicate if you have direct soy and/or embedded soy in your value chain

Select from:

☒ Embedded soy only

(1.22.4) Indicate if you are providing the total commodity volume that is produced and/or sourced

Select from:

☒ No, the total volume is unknown

(1.22.12) Form of commodity

Select all that apply

☒ Embedded soy

(1.22.13) % of procurement spend

Select from:

☒ Unknown

(1.22.14) % of revenue dependent on commodity

Select from:

☒ Unknown

(1.22.15) In the questionnaire setup did you indicate that you are disclosing on this commodity?

Select from:

☒ No, not disclosing

(1.22.16) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?

Select from:

☒ No

(1.22.17) Reason for not disclosing

Select all that apply

☒ Data is not available

(1.22.19) Explanation for not disclosing

We are in the process of identifying our dependency on high-risk commodity ingredients within our products.

(1.22.20) Please explain

We are in the process of identifying our dependency on high-risk commodity ingredients within our products.

Rubber

(1.22.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

☒ Sourced

(1.22.2) Commodity value chain stage

Select all that apply

☒ Manufacturing

(1.22.4) Indicate if you are providing the total commodity volume that is produced and/or sourced

Select from:

☒ No, the total volume is unknown

(1.22.12) Form of commodity

Select all that apply

☒ Other, please specify :Rubber stoppers

(1.22.13) % of procurement spend

Select from:

☒ Unknown

(1.22.14) % of revenue dependent on commodity

Select from:

☒ Unknown

(1.22.15) In the questionnaire setup did you indicate that you are disclosing on this commodity?

Select from:

☒ No, not disclosing

(1.22.16) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?

Select from:

☒ No

(1.22.17) Reason for not disclosing

Select all that apply

☒ Data is not available

(1.22.19) Explanation for not disclosing

We are in the process of identifying our dependency on high-risk commodity ingredients within our products.

(1.22.20) Please explain

We are in the process of identifying our dependency on high-risk commodity ingredients within our products.

[Fixed row]

(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?

(1.24.1) Value chain mapped

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping our value chain

(1.24.2) Value chain stages covered in mapping

Select all that apply

☒ Upstream value chain

☒ Downstream value chain

(1.24.3) Highest supplier tier mapped

Select from:

☒ Tier 1 suppliers

(1.24.4) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped

Select from:

☒ Tier 2 suppliers

(1.24.6) Smallholder inclusion in mapping

Select from:

☒ Smallholders not relevant, and not included

(1.24.7) Description of mapping process and coverage

Tier 1 suppliers and customers are tracked in internal systems.

[Fixed row]

(1.24.2) Which commodities has your organization mapped in your upstream value chain (i.e., supply chain)?

Timber products

(1.24.2.1) Value chain mapped for this sourced commodity

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.24.2.2) Highest supplier tier mapped for this sourced commodity

Select from:

☒ Tier 1 suppliers

(1.24.2.3) % of tier 1 suppliers mapped

Select from:

☒ Less than 1%

(1.24.2.7) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped for this sourced commodity

Select from:

☒ Tier 2 suppliers

[Fixed row]

C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Short-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

0

(2.1.3) To (years)

2

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

Our company's view of short term environmental sustainability related issues is generally aligned with the business view of operational and financial budgetary planning.

Medium-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

3

(2.1.3) To (years)

10

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

Our company's view of medium term environmental sustainability related issues deviates slightly from the business view of capital management planning which is typically in the 5 year range.

Long-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

11

(2.1.2) Is your long-term time horizon open ended?

Select from:

☒ No

(2.1.3) To (years)

25

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

Long term planning for other aspects of our business may not always align exactly with what we consider long term horizons for environmental sustainability related issues. For example, many of our buildings and their utility infrastructure are designed and built to have useful life well beyond 10 years however outside forces such as patent protection expiration may limit the amount of time that our products can be exclusively manufactured and sold which could be less than 10 years depending on time to market.

[Fixed row]

(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?

	Process in place	Dependencies and/or impacts evaluated in this process
	Select from: ☒ Yes	Select from: ☒ Both dependencies and impacts

[Fixed row]

(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?

	Process in place	Risks and/or opportunities evaluated in this process	Is this process informed by the dependencies and/or impacts process?
	Select from: ☒ Yes	Select from: ☒ Both risks and opportunities	Select from: ☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization's process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.

Row 1

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Water

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ Dependencies
- ☒ Impacts
- ☒ Risks
- ☒ Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Direct operations
- ☒ Upstream value chain
- ☒ Downstream value chain

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

- ☒ Full

(2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Tier 1 suppliers

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

- ☒ Qualitative only

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

- ☒ Annually

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Short-term
- ☒ Medium-term
- ☒ Long-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

- ☒ Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

- ☒ Site-specific
- ☒ Local
- ☒ Sub-national
- ☒ National

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Commercially/publicly available tools

- ☒ WRI Aqueduct
- ☒ WWF Water Risk Filter

Enterprise Risk Management

- ☒ Enterprise Risk Management

Other

- ☒ Desk-based research

- ✓ Internal company methods
- ✓ Materiality assessment
- ✓ Partner and stakeholder consultation/analysis

(2.2.2.15) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

- ✓ Drought
- ✓ Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)
- ✓ Heavy precipitation (rain, hail, snow/ice)
- ✓ Toxic spills

Chronic physical

- ✓ Water availability at a basin/catchment level
- ✓ Water stress
- ✓ Water quality at a basin/catchment level

Market

- ✓ Inadequate access to water, sanitation, and hygiene services (WASH)

Reputation

- ✓ Impact on human health
- ✓ Negative press coverage related to support of projects or activities with negative impacts on the environment (e.g., GHG emissions, deforestation & conversion, water stress, excessive bycatch)

Technology

- ✓ Transition to water efficient and low water intensity technologies and products

Liability

- ✓ Non-compliance with regulations and legislation

(2.2.2.16) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- ☒ NGOs
- ☒ Customers
- ☒ Employees
- ☒ Investors
- ☒ Suppliers
- ☒ Regulators
- ☒ Local communities
- ☒ Indigenous peoples
- ☒ Water utilities at a local level
- ☒ Other water users at the basin/catchment level

(2.2.2.17) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

- ☒ No

(2.2.2.18) Further details of process

Our Company leverages a multi-faceted approach to risk identification and mitigation. It encompasses our overarching enterprise risk management process (ERM) which includes functional input on financial, operational, hazard, compliance, and strategic risks. We also employ a sustainability-tailored assessment process that enables prioritization of the sustainability risks and opportunities that matter most to our stakeholders, our Company, and the world. A substantive risk or opportunity is defined as one that, if materialized, could have significant impact to operations (financial or non-financial), strategy, reputation, people, or the environment. As discussed in more detail in our 2026 Proxy Statement, overseeing risk is an important component of the Board's engagement on strategic planning. The Board's approach to overseeing risk management leverages the Board's leadership structure and ensures the Board oversees risk through both a Company-wide approach and specific areas of competency. Specifically, the Board oversees risk through a Company-wide Enterprise Risk Management ("ERM") process and functioning of Board Committees. The ERM process is reviewed by the Audit Committee of the Board to ensure it is robust and functioning effectively. The ERM process, among other things, seeks to identify emerging risks in business operations and address them appropriately to limit negative consequences to the Company and the data it maintains. Its goal is to provide an ongoing review, that is implemented across the Company and aligned to Company values and ethics, to identify and assess risk and to monitor risk and agreed-upon mitigating action. Through the ERM process, each Board Committee oversees specific areas of risk relevant to the Committee through direct interactions with the CEO, members of the Company's Executive Team and the heads of relevant business divisions, compliance and corporate functions. We assess water risk using the WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas to categorize operational sites based on current and future water stress levels. Sites in high-risk basins undergo catchment-specific assessments and develop water conservation plans if needed. We use product risk assessment information to establish or update our Environmental Quality Criteria (EQC) to ensure wastewater does not pose a risk to human health or the environment. Our manufacturing facilities are required to manage active pharmaceutical ingredients in their wastewater. Environmental sustainability and water security are key in supplier engagement, with wastewater discharge criteria provided to suppliers and detailed assessments performed. In 2025, a third-party consultant conducted a climate-related physical risk and resilience assessment using the RCP 1.9 and RCP8.5 emissions scenario to update our TCFD.

Row 2

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

☒ Upstream value chain

☒ Downstream value chain

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

☒ Full

(2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

Select all that apply

☒ Tier 1 suppliers

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

☒ Qualitative only

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

- ☒ Annually

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Short-term
- ☒ Medium-term
- ☒ Long-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

- ☒ Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

- ☒ Site-specific
- ☒ Local
- ☒ Sub-national
- ☒ National

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Commercially/publicly available tools

- ☒ Other commercially/publicly available tools, please specify :TCFD

Enterprise Risk Management

- ☒ Enterprise Risk Management

Other

- ☒ External consultants
- ☒ Internal company methods
- ☒ Materiality assessment
- ☒ Partner and stakeholder consultation/analysis
- ☒ Scenario analysis

(2.2.2.15) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

- ☒ Drought
- ☒ Heat wave
- ☒ Wildfires
- ☒ Cold wave/frost
- ☒ Cyclone, hurricane, typhoon
- ☒ Heavy precipitation (rain, hail, snow/ice)
- ☒ Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)
- ☒ Storm (including blizzards, dust, and sandstorms)

Chronic physical

- ☒ Changing temperature (air, freshwater, marine water)
- ☒ Heat stress
- ☒ Increased severity of extreme weather events
- ☒ Precipitation or hydrological variability
- ☒ Sea level rise

Policy

- ☒ Carbon pricing mechanisms
- ☒ Changes to national legislation

Market

- ☒ Changing customer behavior
- ☒ Lack of availability and/or increased cost of raw materials

Reputation

- ☒ Impact on human health
- ☒ Increased concern and/or negative feedback from partners and stakeholders

Technology

- ☒ Transition to lower emissions technology and products

(2.2.2.16) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- | | |
|---|---|
| ☒ NGOs | ☒ Regulators |
| ☒ Customers | ☒ Local communities |
| ☒ Employees | |
| ☒ Investors | |
| ☒ Suppliers | |

(2.2.2.17) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

- ☒ No

(2.2.2.18) Further details of process

Our Company leverages a multi-faceted approach to risk identification and mitigation. It encompasses our overarching enterprise risk management process (ERM) which includes functional input on financial, operational, hazard, compliance, and strategic risks. We also employ an assessment process that enables prioritization of the sustainability risks and opportunities that matter most to our stakeholders, our Company, and the world. A substantive risk or opportunity is defined as one that, if materialized, could have significant impact to operations (financial or non-financial), strategy, reputation, people, or the environment. As discussed in more detail in our 2026 Proxy Statement, overseeing risk is an important component of the Board's engagement on strategic planning. The Board's approach to overseeing risk management leverages the Board's leadership structure and ensures the Board oversees risk through both a Company-wide approach and specific areas of competency. Specifically, the Board oversees risk through a Company-wide Enterprise Risk Management ("ERM") process and functioning of Board Committees. The ERM process is reviewed by the Audit Committee of the Board to ensure it is robust and functioning effectively. The ERM process, among other things, seeks to identify emerging risks in business operations and address them appropriately to limit negative consequences to the Company. Its goal is to provide an ongoing review that is implemented across the Company and aligned to Company values and ethics, to identify and assess risk, and to monitor risk and agreed-upon mitigating action. Through the ERM process, each Board Committee oversees specific areas of risk relevant to the Committee through direct interactions with the

CEO, members of the Company's Executive Team and the heads of relevant business divisions, compliance and corporate functions. This assessment includes risks that would be considered transitional and physical climate-related risks. Climate-related risks, like any other identified risk, are evaluated for their impact such as potential financial implications and operational disruption. An example of the process we have in place to track and identify transitional risks is performed by our Global Safety & Environmental group which monitors legislation related to climate change at the global, regional, country, and local level. To help identify physical risks, we work with a consultant to do a high-level supply chain risk assessment which is based on our third-party spend data. Addressing risk at the asset level is performed by our site management and emergency services groups which plan for and react to immediate and near-term physical risks caused by climate change. In 2025 in coordination with a third party consultant, we conducted a climate related physical risk and resilience assessment using the RCP 1.9 and RCP8.5 emissions scenarios to identify material risks in preparation for TCFD and for compliance with CA-261. Row 3

Row 3

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ Forests
- ☒ Biodiversity

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ Dependencies
- ☒ Impacts
- ☒ Risks
- ☒ Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Direct operations
- ☒ Upstream value chain
- ☒ Downstream value chain
- ☒ End of life management

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

☒ Full

(2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

Select all that apply

☒ Tier 1 suppliers

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

☒ Qualitative only

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

☒ Annually

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

☒ Short-term

☒ Medium-term

☒ Long-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

☒ Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

- ☒ Site-specific
- ☒ National

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Commercially/publicly available tools

- ☒ IBAT – Integrated Biodiversity Assessment Tool
- ☒ LEAP (Locate, Evaluate, Assess and Prepare) approach, TNFD
- ☒ WWF Biodiversity Risk Filter

Enterprise Risk Management

- ☒ Enterprise Risk Management

Other

- ☒ Desk-based research
- ☒ External consultants
- ☒ Internal company methods
- ☒ Materiality assessment
- ☒ Partner and stakeholder consultation/analysis

(2.2.2.15) Risk types and criteria considered

Chronic physical

- ☒ Declining ecosystem services
- ☒ Increased ecosystem vulnerability

Market

- ☒ Changing customer behavior
- ☒ Lack of availability and/or increased cost of certified sustainable material
- ☒ Lack of availability and/or increased cost of raw materials

Reputation

- ☒ Impact on human health
- ☒ Negative press coverage related to support of projects or activities with negative impacts on the environment (e.g., GHG emissions, deforestation & conversion, water stress, excessive bycatch)

(2.2.2.16) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Customers
- ☒ Employees
- ☒ Investors
- ☒ NGOs
- ☒ Suppliers

(2.2.2.17) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

- ☒ No

(2.2.2.18) Further details of process

Our Company leverages a multi-faceted approach to risk identification and mitigation. It encompasses our overarching enterprise risk management process (ERM) which includes functional input on financial, operational, hazard, compliance, and strategic risks. We also employ an assessment process that enables prioritization of the risks and opportunities that matter most to our stakeholders, our Company, and the world. A substantive risk or opportunity is defined as one that, if materialized, could have significant impact to operations (financial or non-financial), strategy, reputation, people, or the environment. As discussed in more detail in our 2026 Proxy Statement, overseeing risk is an important component of the Board's engagement on strategic planning. The Board's approach to overseeing risk management leverages the Board's leadership structure and ensures the Board oversees risk through both a Company-wide approach and specific areas of competency. Specifically, the Board oversees risk through a Company-wide Enterprise Risk Management ("ERM") process and functioning of Board Committees. The ERM process is reviewed by the Audit Committee of the Board to ensure it is robust and functioning effectively. The ERM process, among other things, seeks to identify emerging risks in business operations and address them appropriately to limit negative consequences to the Company and the data it maintains. Its goal is to provide an ongoing review that is implemented across the Company and aligned to Company values and ethics, to identify and assess risk, and to monitor risk and agreed-upon mitigating action. Through the ERM process, each Board Committee oversees specific areas of risk relevant to the Committee through direct interactions with the CEO, members of the Company's Executive Team and the heads of relevant business divisions, compliance and corporate functions. This includes the assessment of impacts and risks associated with the use of forest related commodities such as timber and biodiversity. In 2025 a third party consultant conducted a climate related physical risk and resilience assessment using the RCP 1.9 and RCP8.5 emissions scenario to identify material risks in preparation of TCFD. In 2024,

we used the Task-Force on Nature-related Financial Disclosure's (TNFD) Locate, Evaluate, Assess, Prepare (LEAP) approach to further assess the impacts, dependencies, risks, and opportunities regarding nature in our direct operations and throughout our value chain. Within this project we utilized the World Wildlife Foundation (WWF) Biodiversity Risk Filter to identify sites that are in the vicinity of ecologically sensitive areas. This assessment identified areas of our business for further investigation that will be used to guide our strategic approach to nature and biodiversity.

[Add row]

(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?

(2.2.7.1) Interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed

Select from:

☒ Yes

(2.2.7.2) Description of how interconnections are assessed

Interdependencies are considered during the processes described in 2.2.2. For example, climate change's impact on water risk is part of our TCFD assessment. We have a long history of practicing environmental stewardship, and protecting nature has always been at the core of our approach. We recognize that our impacts and dependencies on nature derive from multiple areas, and as such we work to integrate our approach to nature into our environmental program as a whole. We recognize our responsibility to manage pharmaceuticals in the environment in order to prevent pollution from entering ecosystems and to prevent harm to individual species. Our broader environmental program, which includes actions in climate, water and waste, reduces our impacts and dependencies on nature. Furthermore, our product stewardship program is continuously working to minimize the raw materials required to make our products and associated waste, which reduces strain on species and ecosystems.

[Fixed row]

(2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain?

(2.3.1) Identification of priority locations

Select from:

☒ Yes, we are currently in the process of identifying priority locations

(2.3.2) Value chain stages where priority locations have been identified

Select all that apply

- ☒ Direct operations

(2.3.3) Types of priority locations identified

Sensitive locations

- ☒ Areas important for biodiversity
- ☒ Areas of high ecosystem integrity
- ☒ Areas of rapid decline in ecosystem integrity
- ☒ Areas of importance for ecosystem service provision

(2.3.4) Description of process to identify priority locations

In 2024, we used the WWF Biodiversity Risk filter tool to perform a high-level proximity assessment. In 2025 we completed an additional assessment using the IBAT tool to identify priority locations. We also consider other factors such as pollution, water, and climate risk when determining priority locations.

(2.3.5) Will you be disclosing a list/spatial map of priority locations?

Select from:

- ☒ No, we have a list/geospatial map of priority locations, but we will not be disclosing it

[Fixed row]

(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?

Risks

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

- ☒ Qualitative

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

- ☒ Frequency of effect occurring
- ☒ Time horizon over which the effect occurs
- ☒ Likelihood of effect occurring
- ☒ Other, please specify :Severity of effect

(2.4.7) Application of definition

A substantive risk is defined as one that, if materialized, could have significant impact to operations (financial or non-financial), strategy, reputation, people, or the environment.

Opportunities

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

- ☒ Qualitative

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

- ☒ Frequency of effect occurring
- ☒ Time horizon over which the effect occurs
- ☒ Likelihood of effect occurring
- ☒ Other, please specify :severity of effect

(2.4.7) Application of definition

A substantive opportunity is defined as one that, if materialized, could have significant impact to operations (financial or non-financial), strategy, reputation, people, or the environment.

[Add row]

(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?

(2.5.1) Identification and classification of potential water pollutants

Select from:

☒ Yes, we identify and classify our potential water pollutants

(2.5.2) Details of policies and processes in place to identify and classify potential water pollutants

Our company conducts environmental risk assessments on our products to manage product impacts from manufacturing and patient use. We conduct these assessments in accordance with applicable global regulations, including the regulatory review processes of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration and the European Medicines Agency. Our facilities comply with an internal Environmental Quality Criteria (EQC) process that evaluates potential human health and environmental impacts in waterbodies where we discharge wastewater. Each facility assesses the potential risk from operations using industry accepted risk assessment methods, minimizes impacts from wastewater discharges, and establishes procedures for managing and controlling active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs). The risk assessment defines allowable discharge rates that are used as metrics in implementing the EQC Program. Some of our production is performed by external suppliers who share our commitment to ethics and integrity. We have policies in place such as the Business Partner Code of Conduct and our Supplier Performance Expectations are communicated to all existing and potential third-party suppliers. In addition, we participate in the Pharmaceutical Supply Chain Initiative's (PSCI) Pharmaceutical Industry Principles and are a signatory to the 10 Principles of the United Nations Global Compact. We also provide the relevant EQC criteria to each of our suppliers.

[Fixed row]

(2.5.1) Describe how your organization minimizes the adverse impacts of potential water pollutants on water ecosystems or human health associated with your activities.

Row 1

(2.5.1.1) Water pollutant category

Select from:

☒ Other synthetic organic compounds

(2.5.1.2) Description of water pollutant and potential impacts

We recognize our most significant water pollution risk as our Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients (APIs). Environmental concentrations of APIs are driven by patient use, disposal and manufacturing. There is evidence that improper management of API's could lead to environmental impacts such as toxicity to fish or other aquatic

organisms. Biologics are not considered of environmental concern because they are nearly always metabolized in humans and/or readily biodegradable. To minimize potential impacts, we conduct environmental risk assessments on our products, small molecules, biologics and vaccines from the development phase through product launch to understand and manage product impacts both from manufacturing and patient use. We conduct these assessments in accordance with applicable stringent global regulations, including the regulatory review processes of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration and the European Medicines Agency.

(2.5.1.3) Value chain stage

Select all that apply

- ☒ Direct operations
- ☒ Upstream value chain
- ☒ Downstream value chain

(2.5.1.4) Actions and procedures to minimize adverse impacts

Select all that apply

- ☒ Improving wastewater treatment processes/capacity
- ☒ Discharge treatment using sector-specific processes
- ☒ Provision of best practice instructions on product use
- ☒ Implementation of integrated solid waste management systems
- ☒ Requirement for suppliers to comply with regulatory requirements
- ☒ Implementation of Best Available Techniques (BATs) for pollution control
- ☒ Industrial and chemical accidents prevention, preparedness, and response
- ☒ Assessment of critical infrastructure and storage condition (leakages, spillages, pipe erosion etc.) and their resilience

(2.5.1.5) Indicators for measuring and evaluating the success of the procedure

Select all that apply

- ☒ Compliance with discharge permits / regulatory limits
- ☒ Zero incidents (spills, exceedances, waterborne disease cases)
- ☒ Third-party audits and/or certification

(2.5.1.6) Please explain

The actions and procedures to minimize adverse impacts in our operations and supply chain are integrated into our EHS Management System using standards guidelines and tools and include specific expectations for sites and operating organizations. Our Company conducts environmental risk assessments of our products from the development phase through product launch to understand and manage potential product impacts from both manufacturing and patient use. We conduct these assessments in accordance with applicable stringent global regulations, including the regulatory review processes of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration and the European Medicines Agency. We also provide wastewater discharge criteria to suppliers that manufacture pharmaceutical compounds for us and have initiated detailed assessments of our suppliers to better understand and address potential impacts.

[Add row]

C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities

(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

Climate change

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☒ No

(3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

☒ Environmental risks exist, but none with the potential to have a substantive effect on our organization

(3.1.3) Please explain

Our company acknowledges that climate change or legal, regulatory or market measures to address climate change may negatively affect the company's business, results of operations, cash flows and prospects. These potential risks are integrated into our company's business planning, including investment in reducing energy usage and greenhouse gas emissions.

Forests

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☒ No

(3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

☒ Environmental risks exist, but none with the potential to have a substantive effect on our organization

(3.1.3) Please explain

Our company acknowledges that nature-related risks could negatively affect the company's business, results of operations, cash flows and prospects. We are in the process of assessing the potential risks associated with nature loss and degradation.

Water

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☒ No

(3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

☒ Environmental risks exist, but none with the potential to have a substantive effect on our organization

(3.1.3) Please explain

Our company acknowledges that water risk or climate-related water risks could negatively affect the company's business, results of operations, cash flows and prospects. These potential risks are integrated into our company's business planning, including investment in reducing water usage and mitigating wastewater discharge impacts.

[Fixed row]

(3.3) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?

	Water-related regulatory violations
	Select from: ☒ No

[Fixed row]

(3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(3.5.1) Select the carbon pricing regulation(s) which impact your operations.

Select all that apply

☒ EU ETS

☒ Singapore carbon tax

(3.5.2) Provide details of each Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) your organization is regulated by.

EU ETS

(3.5.2.1) % of Scope 1 emissions covered by the ETS

3

(3.5.2.2) Scope 2 emissions approach

Select from:

☒ No compliance obligation for scope 2 emissions

(3.5.2.3) % of Scope 2 emissions covered by the ETS

0

(3.5.2.4) Period start date

01/01/2025

(3.5.2.5) Period end date

12/31/2025

(3.5.2.6) Allowances allocated

803

(3.5.2.7) Allowances purchased

20086

(3.5.2.8) Verified Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e

20889

(3.5.2.9) Verified Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e

0

(3.5.2.10) Details of ownership

Select from:

☒ Facilities we own and operate

(3.5.2.11) Comment

n/a

[Fixed row]

(3.5.3) Complete the following table for each of the tax systems you are regulated by.

Singapore carbon tax

(3.5.3.1) Period start date

01/01/2024

(3.5.3.2) Period end date

12/31/2024

(3.5.3.3) % of total Scope 1 emissions covered by tax

8

(3.5.3.4) Total cost of tax paid

101407

(3.5.3.5) Comment

2025 NEA notification not yet received, 2024 values used as placeholder.

[Fixed row]

(3.5.4) What is your strategy for complying with the systems you are regulated by or anticipate being regulated by?

Our global greenhouse gas emission reduction goals, renewable electric goal and energy strategy will foster on-going reductions in greenhouse gas emissions, thereby helping facilities to comply while lowering operating/energy costs.

(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

Climate change

(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized

Forests

(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:

☒ No

(3.6.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental opportunities

Select from:

☒ Opportunities exist, but none anticipated to have a substantive effect on organization

(3.6.3) Please explain

Forest-related issues are not an identified priority on the Company's current impact materiality assessment as described on Page 11 of our 2025/2026 Impact Report.

Water

(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:

☒ No

(3.6.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental opportunities

Select from:

☒ Opportunities exist, but none anticipated to have a substantive effect on organization

(3.6.3) Please explain

While our sites employ a variety of technologies and techniques aimed at reducing our water footprint and improving operational performance none are anticipated have a substantive effect on the organization. Water use reduction initiatives include: • Consideration of water use in process design • Cooling system optimization • Prompt repairs and maintenance of steam distribution systems and traps • Recovery and reuse of steam condensate and “reject water” (for non-potable and non-process applications such as cooling tower feed water) • Process water purification system optimization • Avoiding the use of water in mechanical seals, such as those in pumps

[Fixed row]

(3.6.1) Provide details of the environmental opportunities identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☒ Opp1

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Products and services

☒ Other products and services opportunity, please specify :Increased need for existing products and innovation and need of new products.

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☒ United States of America

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

We recognize the rising impact of climate change on health. We collaborate to mitigate that impact through our environmental stewardship and compliance, and by advancing novel medicine and vaccine candidates to address diseases with an increasing prevalence due to changing climate patterns.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

- ☒ Increased revenues resulting from increased demand for products and services

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- ☒ Short-term
- ☒ Medium-term
- ☒ Long-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- ☒ Very likely (90–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

- ☒ Medium-high

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

The financial impacts for these products are not available and would be product specific across all future time horizons.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☒ No

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

0

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

The costs for these projects are not available.

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

According to the WHO, climate change is a significant contributing factor to the increase in the incidence and spread of dengue. Changes in climate patterns, including temperature and rainfall, can influence the breeding, survival, and behavior of mosquitoes that transmit the dengue virus. About half of the world's population, or 4 billion people, is at risk for dengue, primarily in tropical and sub-tropical regions. We are committed to addressing dengue through development of our investigational vaccine, currently in late-stage development. As the world's climate changes and as subtropical infectious diseases expand to new locations, our company continues to research, manufacture and supply medicine for these diseases. We began a joint venture with the MSD Welcome Trust Hilleman Laboratories, with a not-for-profit mission, to discover innovative and affordable vaccines. Current research at Hilleman Laboratories is aimed at a major, unmet need for low-income countries, such as developing a more thermostable, affordable rotavirus vaccine. Using innovative lyophilization and spray drying technologies, Hilleman Laboratories has made significant progress in developing new formulations of an optimized rotavirus vaccine with highly thermostable profiles that will allow for greater temperature consistency and less reliance on exact storage timing and refrigeration. Current program areas also include working to innovate vaccines for cholera, shigella and meningitis such that they are more affordable and more suited to meet the needs of lower-income country vaccination programs. Our approach to access to health is described in the document "Access to Health: Statement of Guiding Principles" on our corporate website.

[Add row]

(3.6.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics in the reporting year that are aligned with the substantive effects of environmental opportunities.

Climate change

(3.6.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :None

(3.6.2.2) Amount of financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

0

(3.6.2.3) % of total financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Less than 1%

(3.6.2.4) Explanation of financial figures

The financial impacts for these opportunities are not available and would be product specific across all future time horizons.
[Add row]

C4. Governance

(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?

(4.1.1) Board of directors or equivalent governing body

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.1.2) Frequency with which the board or equivalent meets

Select from:

☒ More frequently than quarterly

(4.1.3) Types of directors your board or equivalent is comprised of

Select all that apply

☒ Executive directors or equivalent

☒ Independent non-executive directors or equivalent

(4.1.4) Board diversity and inclusion policy

Select from:

☒ Yes, and it is publicly available

(4.1.5) Briefly describe what the policy covers

The Board's policy states: "The Board endorses the principle that it should have a variety of skills, experience and perspectives that can address the Company's current and future global business and strategic initiatives, opportunities, and challenges. The Board recognizes that maintaining a membership with varying backgrounds, skills, expertise and experiences enhances the Board's deliberations and contributes to the Board's overall effectiveness to better represent the long-term interests of the Company and its shareholders. The Governance Committee, acting on behalf of the Board, is committed to actively identifying and recruiting highly qualified candidates in the search process. In evaluating the suitability of individual candidates to the Board, the Governance Committee (or any search firm acting under the direction of the Governance Committee) considers the benefits of diversity of thought, educational and professional background, and experiences."

(4.1.6) Attach the policy (optional)

[Fixed row]

(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?

Climate change

(4.1.1.1) Board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Yes

Forests

(4.1.1.1) Board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(4.1.1.2) Primary reason for no board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

(4.1.1.3) Explain why your organization does not have board-level oversight of this environmental issue

We are committed to governance policies and practices that serve the interests of our business and shareholders. Our Board of Directors oversees sustainability matters for the Company, through its committees and as a whole. Our Executive Team and senior management are responsible for reviewing, refining and implementing our long-term sustainability strategy. Through groups such as the Strategic Policy & Sustainability Council, our senior leaders direct the day-to-day supervision of this strategy. Our Executive Team updates the Board on our long-term sustainability strategy and performance through both discussions as a full Board and through Committee discussions on specific topics. For example, the Board's Governance Committee, which monitors and assists the Board in its oversight of sustainability matters, ensures relevant issues are subject to review by Board Committees with relevant areas of competency. With respect to governance on environmental sustainability, in particular, our Environmental, Health and Safety (EHS) Council is a cross-functional body with leadership representation from each

area of our business and is responsible for overseeing our environmental sustainability strategy, policy and risk mitigation controls. It monitors performance against our targets and increases transparency on environmental issues within the Company, the Executive Team and the Board. The Global Safety and Environment (GSE) vice president communicates progress on environmental sustainability goals, objectives and other material issues to the Board, Executive Team and EHS Council. The GSE vice president is also a part of the Strategic Policy & Sustainability Council (SPSC). Additionally, the head of the Environmental Sustainability Center of Excellence (CoE) is a member of the Sustainability Strategy Management Team (SSMT). Our cross-functional Environmental Sustainability Implementation Steering Committee was designated by the EHS Council to oversee the progress of initiatives that support the achievement of our public targets and provide guidance on resourcing of our environmental sustainability strategy.

Water

(4.1.1.1) Board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Yes

Biodiversity

(4.1.1.1) Board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(4.1.1.2) Primary reason for no board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

(4.1.1.3) Explain why your organization does not have board-level oversight of this environmental issue

We are committed to governance policies and practices that serve the interests of our business and shareholders. Our Board of Directors oversees sustainability matters for the Company, through its committees and as a whole. Our Executive Team and senior management are responsible for reviewing, refining and implementing our long-term sustainability strategy. Through groups such as the Strategic Policy & Sustainability Council, our senior leaders direct the day-to-day supervision of this strategy. Our Executive Team updates the Board on our long-term sustainability strategy and performance through both discussions as a full Board and through Committee discussions on specific topics. For example, the Board's Governance Committee, which monitors and assists the Board in its oversight of sustainability matters, ensures relevant issues are subject to review by Board Committees with relevant areas of competency. With respect to governance on environmental sustainability, in particular, our Environmental, Health and Safety (EHS) Council is a cross-functional body with leadership representation from each

area of our business and is responsible for overseeing our environmental sustainability strategy, policy and risk mitigation controls. It monitors performance against our targets and increases transparency on environmental issues within the Company, the Executive Team and the Board. The Global Safety and Environment (GSE) vice president communicates progress on environmental sustainability goals, objectives and other material issues to the Board, Executive Team and EHS Council. The GSE vice president is also a part of the Strategic Policy & Sustainability Council (SPSC). Additionally, the head of the Environmental Sustainability Center of Excellence (CoE) is a member of the Sustainability Strategy Management Team (SSMT). Our cross-functional Environmental Sustainability Implementation Steering Committee was designated by the EHS Council to oversee the progress of initiatives that support the achievement of our public targets and provide guidance on resourcing of our environmental sustainability strategy.

[Fixed row]

(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board's oversight of environmental issues.

Climate change

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Board-level committee

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Other policy applicable to the board, please specify :Governance Committee Charter

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

☒ Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

- ☒ Monitoring progress towards corporate targets
- ☒ Other, please specify :Full Board and Governance Committee considers climate-related matters in their review of overall strategy and risk management.

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

The Board provides oversight with respect to sustainability related matters and strategy related thereto. The Governance Committee assists the Board in its oversight of these matters and strategy related thereto. As part of that, the Governance Committee reviews the Company's environmental sustainability practices, its supply chain manufacturing strategy and governance, as well as third party sourcing programs. The VP of Global Safety and the Environment reports to the Governance Committee at least annually.

Water

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ Board-level committee

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

- ☒ Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ Other policy applicable to the board, please specify :Governance Committee Charter

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

- ☒ Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

- ☒ Monitoring progress towards corporate targets
- ☒ Other, please specify :Full Board and Governance Committee considers water-related matters in their review of overall strategy and risk management.

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

The Board provides oversight with respect to sustainability related matters, and strategy related thereto. The Governance Committee assists the Board in its oversight of these matters and strategy related thereto. As part of that, the Governance Committee reviews the Company's environmental sustainability practices, its supply chain manufacturing strategy and governance, as well as third party sourcing programs. The VP of Safety and the Environment reports to the Governance Committee at least annually.

[Fixed row]

(4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues?

Climate change

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

- ☒ Yes

(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply

- ☒ Consulting regularly with an internal, permanent, subject-expert working group
- ☒ Having at least one board member with expertise on this environmental issue

(4.2.3) Environmental expertise of the board member

Experience

- ☒ Executive-level experience in a role focused on environmental issues

Forests

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

- ☒ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(4.2.4) Primary reason for no board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

- ☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

(4.2.5) Explain why your organization does not have a board with competence on this environmental issue

We are committed to governance policies and practices that serve the interests of our business and shareholders. Our Board of Directors oversees sustainability matters for the Company through its committees and as a whole; however, forest-related matters have not yet warranted a dedicated presence on the Board.

Water

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

- ☒ Yes

(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply

- ☒ Consulting regularly with an internal, permanent, subject-expert working group
☒ Having at least one board member with expertise on this environmental issue

(4.2.3) Environmental expertise of the board member

Experience

- ☒ Executive-level experience in a role focused on environmental issues

[Fixed row]

(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?

Climate change

(4.3.1) Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Yes

Forests

(4.3.1) Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ No, but we plan to within the next two years

(4.3.2) Primary reason for no management-level responsibility for environmental issues

Select from:

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

(4.3.3) Explain why your organization does not have management-level responsibility for environmental issues

Forest-related issues are not an identified priority on the Company's current sustainability impact materiality assessment as described on Page 11 of our 2025/2026 Impact Report.

Water

(4.3.1) Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Yes

Biodiversity

(4.3.1) Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ No, but we plan to within the next two years

(4.3.2) Primary reason for no management-level responsibility for environmental issues

Select from:

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

(4.3.3) Explain why your organization does not have management-level responsibility for environmental issues

Biodiversity issues are not an identified priority on the Company's current sustainability impact materiality assessment as described on Page 11 of our 2025/2026 Impact Report.

[Fixed row]

(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

☒ General Counsel

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Policies, commitments, and targets

☒ Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments

- ☒ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- ☒ Measuring progress towards environmental science-based targets
- ☒ Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- ☒ Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

- ☒ Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
- ☒ Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- ☒ Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- ☒ Annually

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

The General Counsel chairs our Environmental, Health and Safety (EHS) Council, which is a cross-functional body with leadership representation from each area of our business and is responsible for overseeing our environmental sustainability strategy, policy and risk mitigation controls. It monitors performance against our targets and increases transparency on environmental issues within the Company, the Executive Team and the Board. The Global Safety and Environment (GSE) vice president report to General Council and communicates progress on environmental sustainability goals, objectives and other material issues to the Board, Executive Team and EHS Council. The GSE vice president is also a part of the Strategic Policy & Sustainability Council (SPSC). Additionally, the head of the GSE Environmental Sustainability Center of Excellence (CoE) is a member of the Sustainability Strategy Management Team (SSMT). Our cross-functional Environmental Sustainability Implementation Steering Committee was designated by the EHS Council to oversee the progress of initiatives that support the achievement of our public targets and provide guidance on resourcing of our environmental sustainability strategy.

Water

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

☒ General Counsel

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Policies, commitments, and targets

- ☒ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- ☒ Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- ☒ Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

- ☒ Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
- ☒ Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- ☒ Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- ☒ Annually

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

The General Counsel chairs our Environmental, Health and Safety (EHS) Council, which is a cross-functional body with leadership representation from each area of our business and is responsible for overseeing our environmental sustainability strategy, policy and risk mitigation controls. It monitors performance against our targets and increases transparency on environmental issues within the Company, the Executive Team and the Board. The Global Safety and Environment (GSE) vice president report to General Council and communicates progress on environmental sustainability goals, objectives and other material issues to the Board, Executive Team and EHS Council. The GSE vice president is also a part of the Strategic Policy & Sustainability Council (SPSC). Additionally, the head of the GSE Environmental Sustainability Center of Excellence (CoE) is a member of the Sustainability Strategy Management Team (SSMT). Our cross-functional Environmental

Sustainability Implementation Steering Committee was designated by the EHS Council to oversee the progress of initiatives that support the achievement of our public targets and provide guidance on resourcing of our environmental sustainability strategy.
[Add row]

(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?

	Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue	Please explain
Climate change	Select from: ☒ No, and we do not plan to introduce them in the next two years	Monetary incentives are not provided related to this environmental issue.
Forests	Select from: ☒ No, and we do not plan to introduce them in the next two years	Monetary incentives are not provided related to this environmental issue.
Water	Select from: ☒ No, and we do not plan to introduce them in the next two years	Monetary incentives are not provided related to this environmental issue.

[Fixed row]

(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?

	Does your organization have any environmental policies?
	Select from: ☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies.

Row 1

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

☒ Upstream value chain

☒ Downstream value chain

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

As detailed in the Company's climate change public policy, the coverage of the policy is organization wide, including both direct operations and value chain. There are no regional or business activity exceptions.

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

☒ Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards

Climate-specific commitments

☒ Commitment to 100% renewable energy

☒ Other climate-related commitment, please specify :Achieve net-zero emissions across Scopes 1, 2, and 3 by 2045. Reduce our operational GHG emissions (i.e., Scopes 1 & 2) 46% by 2030, from a 2019 baseline. Reduce our value chain (Scope 3) GHG emissions by 30% by 2030, from a 2019 baseline.

Additional references/Descriptions

☒ Reference to timebound environmental milestones and targets

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

☒ Yes, in line with the Paris Agreement

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

☒ Publicly available

(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

[Climate-Change MRK.pdf](#)

Row 2

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Water

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

- ☒ Organization-wide

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Direct operations
- ☒ Upstream value chain
- ☒ Downstream value chain

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

As detailed in the Company's water stewardship public policy, the coverage of the policy is organization wide, including both direct operations and value chain. There are no regional or business activity exceptions. The scope of our policy is companywide as water is critical for the discovery and production of our medicines and vaccines. It also identifies water stewardship expectations in the supply chain through our Business Partner Code of Conduct.

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

- ☒ Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards
- ☒ Commitment to take environmental action beyond regulatory compliance

Water-specific commitments

- | | |
|--|--|
| ☒ Commitment to reduce water consumption volumes | ☒ Commitment to the conservation of freshwater ecosystems |
| ☒ Commitment to reduce water withdrawal volumes | ☒ Commitment to water stewardship and/or collective action |
| ☒ Commitment to reduce or phase out hazardous substances | |
| ☒ Commitment to control/reduce/eliminate water pollution | |
| ☒ Commitment to safely managed WASH in local communities | |

Additional references/Descriptions

- ☒ Acknowledgement of the human right to water and sanitation
- ☒ Reference to timebound environmental milestones and targets

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

- ☒ Yes, in line with Sustainable Development Goal 6 on Clean Water and Sanitation

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

- ☒ Publicly available

(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

[Water-Stewardship MRK.pdf](#)

[Add row]

(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

(4.10.1) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

Select from:

- ☒ Yes

(4.10.2) Collaborative framework or initiative

Select all that apply

- ☒ CEO Water Mandate
- ☒ Science-Based Targets Initiative (SBTi)
- ☒ Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD)
- ☒ UN Global Compact

(4.10.3) Describe your organization's role within each framework or initiative

We have endorsed the UN CEO Water Mandate, a public commitment to adopt and implement a comprehensive approach to water management and we have aligned our water program with its principles. CEO Water Mandate endorsers have a responsibility to make water resource management a priority and to work with governments, UN agencies, non-governmental organizations, NGOs, local communities, and other interested parties to address global water challenges. We continue to identify partnerships that will help us advance our water stewardship priorities in the areas in which we operate. These projects also support the goals of SDG 15 which strive to protect restore and promote sustainable use of terrestrial ecosystems. While we understand the potential risks there is limited data around the potential financial implications of these risks. We have approved near-term and long-term net-zero science-based targets through the SBTi. We have committed to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions across the value chain by 2045. The United Nations Global Compact UNGC is a voluntary initiative that encourages businesses to adopt sustainable and socially responsible policies and practices. It provides a framework for companies to align their operations and strategies with ten universally recognized principles in the areas of human rights, labor standards, environmental protection, and anti-corruption efforts. As a participant in the UNGC we have committed to integrating these principles into our business practices. We began reporting using the TCFD framework in 2024 and published a second TCFD aligned report in 2025. We intend to issue a TCFD aligned report every two years.

[Fixed row]

(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?

(4.11.1) External engagement activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Yes

☒ Yes, we engaged indirectly through, and/or provided financial or in-kind support to a trade association or other intermediary organization or individual whose activities could influence policy, law, or regulation

(4.11.2) Indicate whether your organization has a public commitment or position statement to conduct your engagement activities in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have a public commitment or position statement in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

(4.11.3) Global environmental treaties or policy goals in line with public commitment or position statement

Select all that apply

☒ Paris Agreement

(4.11.4) Attach commitment or position statement

[CLIMATE-POLICY-ALIGNMENT-ASSESSMENT \[REDACTED\].pdf](#)

(4.11.5) Indicate whether your organization is registered on a transparency register

Select from:

☒ Yes, my organization is registered

(4.11.6) Types of transparency register your organization is registered on

Select all that apply

☒ Mandatory government register

☒ Voluntary government register

(4.11.7) Disclose the transparency registers on which your organization is registered & the relevant ID numbers for your organization

Ida.senate.gov ID 24908-12 Lobbyingdisclosure.House.gov ID 31304 EU Transparency Register - 09824113589-92

(4.11.8) Describe the process your organization has in place to ensure that your external engagement activities are consistent with your environmental commitments and/or transition plan

In 2024 we conducted a climate policy alignment assessment of these trade associations by determining whether they had publicly disclosed positions on climate change and if so, reviewing those positions in the context of our company's own position on climate change. Our 2024 climate policy alignment assessment is available on our Sustainability Resources page.

[Fixed row]

(4.11.2) Provide details of your indirect engagement on policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment through trade associations or other intermediary organizations or individuals in the reporting year.

Row 1

(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

☒ Indirect engagement via a trade association

(4.11.2.4) Trade association

North America

☒ National Association of Manufacturers

(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

☒ Mixed

(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ No, we did not attempt to influence their position

(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

While the NAM's climate position acknowledges the overall goals of the Paris Climate Agreement, the position also calls for its renegotiation.

(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

75350

(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

Funding is not specifically for influencing policy, laws or regulations that may impact the environment. For more information, see our Transparency & Responsible Behavior page on our website.

(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☒ No, we have not evaluated

Row 2

(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

☒ Indirect engagement via a trade association

(4.11.2.4) Trade association

North America

☒ US Chamber of Commerce

(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

☒ Mixed

(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ No, we did not attempt to influence their position

(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

The Chamber supported the U.S. decision to re join the Paris Climate Agreement in 2021. The Chamber has no stated position on net-zero. Our position on climate change expressly supports the principles of the Paris Climate Agreement and we are committed to achieving net-zero.

(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

538900

(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

Funding is not specifically for influencing policy, laws or regulations that may impact the environment. For more information, see our Transparency & Responsible Behavior page on our website.

(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☒ No, we have not evaluated

Row 3

(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

- ☒ Indirect engagement via a trade association

(4.11.2.4) Trade association

North America

- ☒ Other trade association in North America, please specify :Business Roundtable

(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change

(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

- ☒ Mixed

(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

- ☒ No, we did not attempt to influence their position

(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

Similar to our company, Business Roundtable supports the principles of the Paris Climate Agreement and is committed to achieving GHG reductions, although not fully aligned to net-zero.

(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

157500

(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

Funding is not specifically for influencing policy, laws or regulations that may impact the environment. For more information, see our Transparency & Responsible Behavior page on our website.

(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☒ No, we have not evaluated

Row 4

(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

☒ Indirect engagement via a trade association

(4.11.2.4) Trade association

North America

☒ Other trade association in North America, please specify :U.S. Council for International Business

(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

☒ Mixed

(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ No, we did not attempt to influence their position

(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

The USCIB has published a formal position on Sustainable Development that includes a climate position.⁸ The USCIB's climate position supports "Cost-effective, science and risk based cooperative environmental and energy policies" and "Pro-growth, market-oriented policies that promote sustainable development".⁸ Our position on climate change expressly supports the principles of the Paris Climate Agreement and we are committed to achieving net-zero.

(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

652

(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

Funding is not specifically for influencing policy, laws or regulations that may impact the environment. For more information, see our Transparency & Responsible Behavior page on our website.

(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☒ No, we have not evaluated

Row 5

(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

- ☒ Indirect engagement via a trade association

(4.11.2.4) Trade association

North America

- ☒ Other trade association in North America, please specify :Pennsylvania Chamber of Business and Industry

(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change

(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

- ☒ Mixed

(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

- ☒ No, we did not attempt to influence their position

(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

The PA Chamber's statement does not mention the Paris Agreement or net-zero. However, it does state: "With regard to greenhouse gas emissions, the PA Chamber supports efforts in Pennsylvania which balance societal environmental, energy, and economic objectives, fit rationally within any finally adopted and applicable national or international strategy, and capitalize on the availability of Pennsylvania's diverse natural resources to facilitate economic development in the Commonwealth". Our position on climate change expressly supports the principles of the Paris Climate Agreement and we are committed to achieving net-zero.

(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

0

(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☒ No, we have not evaluated

[Add row]

(4.12) Have you published information about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.

Row 1

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

☒ In voluntary sustainability reports

(4.12.1.2) Is your publication in line with a standard or framework?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.12.1.3) Standard or framework the publication is in line with

Select all that apply

☒ GRI

☒ TCFD

☒ Other, please specify :UNGC, SDGs, COH4, WEF Stakeholder Capitalism Metrics

(4.12.1.4) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

☒ Forests

☒ Water

☒ Biodiversity

(4.12.1.5) Status of the publication

Select from:

☒ Complete

(4.12.1.6) Content elements

Select all that apply

☒ Strategy

☒ Governance

☒ Risks & Opportunities

☒ Water-related targets

☒ Value chain engagement

☒ Content of environmental policies

☒ Public policy engagement

☒ Climate-related targets

☒ Water accounting figures

☒ Water pollution indicators

☒ Greenhouse gas emissions figures

(4.12.1.7) Page/section reference

Environmental sustainability – pg. 69 Climate, energy and air emissions – pgs. 68-74-, 88-92 Water – pgs. 75-77, 93 Nature and Biodiversity (includes Forest) – pg. 78-80. Value chain engagement is included in the sections above. Reference to public policy engagement in sections above. Strategy and governance included in sections above as well as “Our approach to sustainability” – pgs. 9-11

(4.12.1.8) Attach the relevant publication

[PurposeforProgress](#)  [ImpactReport2025-2026.pdf](#)

(4.12.1.9) Comment

This is the 2025/2026 Impact Report of Merck & Co., Inc., Rahway, NJ, USA, which is known as MSD outside the United States (U.S.) and Canada. All data is current as of December 31, 2025, unless otherwise noted. Information on documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), such as our 2025 Form 10-K and 2025 proxy statement, can be found on our corporate website, which is intended only for residents of the U.S. and Canada. This report includes “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the safe harbor provisions of the U.S. Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements are based upon the current beliefs and expectations of the Company’s management and are subject to significant risks and uncertainties. There can be no guarantees with respect to pipeline candidates that the candidates will receive the necessary regulatory approvals or that they will prove to be commercially successful. If underlying assumptions prove inaccurate or risks or uncertainties materialize, actual results may differ materially from those set forth in the forward-looking statements. This report is in alignment with GRI frameworks. We also voluntarily published a TCFD report in 2025.

[Add row]

C5. Business strategy

(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?

Climate change

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

☒ Yes

(5.1.2) Frequency of analysis

Select from:

☒ Every two years

Forests

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(5.1.3) Primary reason why your organization has not used scenario analysis

Select from:

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

(5.1.4) Explain why your organization has not used scenario analysis

Forest-related issues currently are not an identified priority on the Company's current sustainability impact materiality assessment as described on Page 11 of our 2025/2026 Impact Report.

Water

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

☒ Yes

(5.1.2) Frequency of analysis

Select from:

☒ Annually

[Fixed row]

(5.1.1) Provide details of the scenarios used in your organization's scenario analysis.

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Physical climate scenarios

☒ RCP 8.5

(5.1.1.2) SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

Select from:

☒ SSP5

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☒ Qualitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☒ Acute physical

☒ Chronic physical

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

☒ 4.0°C and above

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2025

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☒ 2025

☒ 2030

☒ 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

☒ Changes to the state of nature

☒ Speed of change (to state of nature and/or ecosystem services)

☒ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Finance and insurance

☒ Cost of capital

Stakeholder and customer demands

- ✓ Consumer sentiment
- ✓ Impact of nature footprint on reputation
- ✓ Impact of nature service delivery on consumer

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

- ✓ Global regulation
- ✓ Level of action (from local to global)
- ✓ Global targets
- ✓ Methodologies and expectations for science-based targets

Relevant technology and science

- ✓ Granularity of available data (from aggregated to local)

Direct interaction with climate

- ✓ On asset values, on the corporate
- ✓ Perception of efficacy of climate regime

Macro and microeconomy

- ✓ Domestic growth
- ✓ Globalizing markets

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

For the scope of the climate-related physical and transition risk and opportunities assessment, we chose a representative subset of 65 of our most business-critical facilities which might identify critical issues and learnings that could also be applied to our other facilities. These facilities are company owned and operated locations. They provide a variety of critical business functions – e.g., headquarters, research and development, data center, manufacturing, packaging, and product distribution. All identified risks and opportunities were scored based on sensitivity (consequence) to our operations as well as exposure (likelihood).

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

A Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP) emission scenario was used in the physical and transition risks and opportunities scenario assessment. RCP scenarios were developed for use in Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) assessments. RCP8.5 reflects a future that takes a “business as usual” approach to fossil fuel consumption without significant mitigation measures.[BM1.1]RCP8.5 is consistent with global warming of 4.3°C by 2100 (range 3.2-5.4°C).

Water

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Water scenarios

☒ WRI Aqueduct

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☒ Qualitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☒ Acute physical

☒ Chronic physical

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2025

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

- ☑ 2025
- ☑ 2030
- ☑ 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

- ☑ Changes to the state of nature

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

- ☑ Level of action (from local to global)
- ☑ Global targets

Relevant technology and science

- ☑ Granularity of available data (from aggregated to local)

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

This scenario covered the entire organization and performed annually. Major assumptions include: 1) Accuracy of the WRI Aqueduct tool; 2) Evaluation is performed only for direct operational sites for which environmental data is collected and utilizing “water stress” indicator in WRI Aqueduct tool; 3) Sites identified as located in basins with “high” or “extremely high” risk are further assessed utilizing a catchment-specific approach to confirm that the catchments are experiencing high water stress; 4) Sites known to experience water risk, regardless of the WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas tool assessment, are included as high-risk sites; 5) Water conservation plans are put in place at high-risk sites that use more than 100,000 m3 of water per year. Uncertainties and constraints include those embedded in the WRI Aqueduct tool, the availability of local information to confirm the catchment is experiencing high water stress and the accuracy of the water use and stress information reported by our sites. Driving forces: Granularity of available data – we evaluate the basin specific data in the WRI Aqueduct rather than country level data assuming its greater level of accuracy. Similarly, when performing the catchment-specific evaluations, local, basin specific information is collected where available. Changes to the state of nature – in addition to evaluating the current state, we also evaluate the water stress rating of our sites based on the output of the future scenarios provided by WRI of optimistic, business as usual and pessimistic. This helps us to understand what changes to nature we will see over the next year as well as the next 15 to 25 for long term strategic planning. Level of action – the output of this scenario analysis each year guides where we will participate in a local, collective action project as part of our UNCEO Water Mandate commitment.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

This scenario is relevant to the resilience of the organization’s business strategy because the consistent availability of water is critical for the discovery and production of medicines and vaccines. If we do not have access to enough good quality water, there will be additional costs to purify water to an appropriate level needed to

manufacture our products. Performing this assessment ensures we can adapt our strategy to changing stressors in each catchment. It also enables us to better prioritize facilities and catchments for water stewardship activities and lays the foundation for potential future water targets in priority locations. As discussed above, the model used is the WRI Aqueduct tool with the scenarios of current and future state of the water stress physical risk indicator. For the catchment specific evaluations some of the data sources include local water utility websites, independent organizations, newspaper articles, research articles and geological surveys.

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Climate transition scenarios

☒ IEA NZE 2050

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☒ Qualitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☒ Policy

☒ Market

☒ Reputation

☒ Technology

☒ Liability

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

- ☒ 1.5°C or lower

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2025

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ 2025
- ☒ 2030
- ☒ 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

- ☒ Changes to the state of nature
- ☒ Speed of change (to state of nature and/or ecosystem services)
- ☒ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Finance and insurance

- ☒ Cost of capital

Stakeholder and customer demands

- ☒ Consumer sentiment
- ☒ Impact of nature footprint on reputation
- ☒ Impact of nature service delivery on consumer

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

- ☒ Global regulation
- ☒ Level of action (from local to global)
- ☒ Global targets
- ☒ Methodologies and expectations for science-based targets

Relevant technology and science

☑ Granularity of available data (from aggregated to local)

Direct interaction with climate

☑ On asset values, on the corporate

☑ Perception of efficacy of climate regime

Macro and microeconomy

☑ Domestic growth

☑ Globalizing markets

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

We utilized the International Energy Agency (IEA) Net Zero Emissions 2050 scenario for both the physical and transition risks and opportunities assessment. The International Energy Agency (IEA) develops and updates the WEO scenarios and serves as the world's most authoritative source of analysis and projections. This scenario shows a pathway where energy systems across the globe shift to Net Zero Emissions by 2050 in a fashion that leads to a greater than 50% probability that global temperature increase is <1.5°C[BM3.1].all identified risks and opportunities were scored based on sensitivity (consequence) to our operations as well as exposure (likelihood).

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

This scenario was chosen because it aligns with our 2045 Net Zero target. It also gives a representative picture of Our Company's exposure to transition risk in a 1.5 degree future

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Physical climate scenarios

☑ RCP 1.9

(5.1.1.2) SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

Select from:

☒ SSP1

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☒ Qualitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☒ Policy

☒ Market

☒ Reputation

☒ Technology

☒ Liability

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

☒ 1.5°C or lower

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2025

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

- ✓ 2025
- ✓ 2030
- ✓ 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

- ✓ Changes to the state of nature
- ✓ Speed of change (to state of nature and/or ecosystem services)
- ✓ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Finance and insurance

- ✓ Cost of capital

Stakeholder and customer demands

- ✓ Consumer sentiment
- ✓ Impact of nature footprint on reputation
- ✓ Impact of nature service delivery on consumer

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

- ✓ Global regulation
- ✓ Level of action (from local to global)
- ✓ Global targets
- ✓ Methodologies and expectations for science-based targets

Relevant technology and science

- ✓ Granularity of available data (from aggregated to local)

Direct interaction with climate

- ✓ On asset values, on the corporate
- ✓ Perception of efficacy of climate regime

Macro and microeconomy

- ☒ Domestic growth
- ☒ Globalizing markets

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

For the scope of the climate-related physical and transition risk and opportunities assessment, we chose a representative subset of 65 of our most business-critical facilities which might identify critical issues and learnings that could also be applied to our other facilities. These facilities are company owned and operated locations. They provide a variety of critical business functions – e.g., headquarters, research and development, data center, manufacturing, packaging, and product distribution. All identified risks and opportunities were scored based on sensitivity (consequence) to our operations as well as exposure (likelihood).

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

RCP scenarios were developed for use in Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) assessments. RCP1.9 reflects a future where there is a gradual but effective shift in development, policy, and economic growth that keeps global temperatures under 1.5°C of warming by 2100.[BM5.1] It gives a representative picture of Our Company's exposure to transition risk in a 1.5 degree future.

[Add row]

(5.1.2) Provide details of the outcomes of your organization's scenario analysis.

Climate change

(5.1.2.1) Business processes influenced by your analysis of the reported scenarios

Select all that apply

- ☒ Risk and opportunities identification, assessment and management
- ☒ Strategy and financial planning
- ☒ Target setting and transition planning

(5.1.2.2) Coverage of analysis

Select from:

- ☒ Organization-wide

(5.1.2.3) Summarize the influence of the scenario analysis on the selected business processes

The results of the scenario analysis reinforced our target year for net-zero and informs resiliency measures needed for sites. To reduce physical and transitional risk exposure and prepare our transition to a low-carbon economy, potential risks are integrated into our Company's business planning, including investment in reducing energy usage, water use, and GHG emissions. We have prioritized these reductions by establishing internal policies and practices focused on reducing energy use at our sites and minimizing GHG generation throughout our Company. By taking these steps, we are not only minimizing GHG emissions and mitigating the expected business impacts of future climate change regulations, but we also expect to reduce operating costs.

Water

(5.1.2.1) Business processes influenced by your analysis of the reported scenarios

Select all that apply

- ☒ Risk and opportunities identification, assessment and management
- ☒ Strategy and financial planning

(5.1.2.2) Coverage of analysis

Select from:

- ☒ Organization-wide

(5.1.2.3) Summarize the influence of the scenario analysis on the selected business processes

In 2025, the WRI Aqueduct Risk Atlas tool identified eleven (twelve in 2024) of our manufacturing and/or research facilities as being in areas with “extremely high” Baseline Water Stress, and six (six in 2024) as being in areas with “high” Baseline Water Stress. The change to our network's water risk profile, compared to 2024, is due to the closure of one site... As a result of the catchment-specific screening approach and the site water use assessment described in Section 5.1.1, we have one site with a water conservation plan in place and continue to monitor the others for operational risk.

[Fixed row]

(5.2) Does your organization's strategy include a climate transition plan?

(5.2.1) Transition plan

Select from:

☒ No, but we are developing a climate transition plan within the next two years

(5.2.20) Primary reason for not having a climate transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Work has begun but not completed

(5.2.21) Explain why your organization does not have a climate transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world

Our company has disclosed several elements included in climate transition plans but has not aggregated those elements into one document, or plan. We plan to complete the additional aspects considered best practice and disclose our climate transition plan when completed.

[Fixed row]

(5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?

(5.3.1) Environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy and/or financial planning

Select from:

☒ Yes, both strategy and financial planning

(5.3.2) Business areas where environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy

Select all that apply

☒ Products and services

☒ Upstream/downstream value chain

☒ Investment in R&D

☒ Operations

[Fixed row]

(5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy.

Products and services

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- ☒ Physical risks
- ☒ Transition risks
- ☒ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

The world's need for medicine is closely related to its need for a sustainable environment and the general health of the population. Our company is an innovative global healthcare leader that is committed to improving health and wellbeing around the world. With that in mind we realize that how we manufacture our products has far reaching climate and water related impacts. We have committed to Design for Environment processes which aim to improve the sustainability of our active pharmaceutical ingredient processes and product packaging. Our new human health active pharmaceutical ingredient processes are designed to meet internal sustainability targets at launch and packaging for our new human health products are reviewed for environmental impact and improvement as part of our standard process.

Upstream/downstream value chain

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- ☒ Physical risks
- ☒ Transition risks
- ☒ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

We have established a Scope 3 goal, recognizing that our impact goes beyond our operations and into our value chain. We have begun engaging with strategic suppliers by collecting climate change and carbon-related information in order to understand supplier behavior and ultimately influence positive change within the value chain. While we have many thousands of suppliers, we used our modeled Scope 3 input/output spend analysis data to identify suppliers with the biggest impact. In terms of supplier engagement, we are following a phased engagement program which is focused on understanding our supplier's maturity level, their ability to provide us with apportioned emissions data and identify emission reduction initiatives. To date, we have successfully engaged with approximately our top 650 suppliers, encompassing ~50% of our total Scope 3 emissions in 2024. We have successfully built and utilized supplier engagement toolkits to communicate our corporate climate goals, and have set clear expectations to our supplier base that we expect to receive supplier provided emissions apportioned to the Company by no later than 2025. Suppliers also must set near-term reduction targets aligned with SBTi by 2026, and work towards setting and achieving SBTi-aligned Net Zero targets. We have partnered with a 3rd party, leveraging a software tool to accelerate our Scope 3 strategy and perform a supplier GHG data collection campaign aligned with the before-mentioned phased supplier engagement timing. We have developed and launched an internal Scope 3 emissions dashboard to provide visibility in emissions data across categories and allow for prioritization and focus on those areas where we can drive the most value. In addition, we updated our sourcing and supplier selection process to ensure dedicated environmental sustainability criteria (10% weight) are included. We have launched our Sustainability Partner Exchange Series. A recurring event (3x per year) that brings together our top 400 suppliers, procurement and internal stakeholders to drive collaboration within the value chain, share lessons learned and focus on driving progress towards our climate goals. In the coming years, we will continue to focus on our high-emitting suppliers (top 400) to drive decarbonization, while also expanding our Scope 3 coverage through supplier engagement using digital tools for engagement & GHG data collection. Our engagement and interaction have enabled us to set a Scope 3 goal. By 2030, we aim to reduce our Scope 3 emissions by 30% from a 2019 baseline year.

Investment in R&D

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- ☒ Transition risks
- ☒ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

As the world's climate changes and as subtropical infectious diseases expand to new locations our company continues to research, manufacture and supply medicine for these diseases. We also recognize that there may be an expanded need for products to combat tropical diseases or diseases caused by unsanitary conditions

which result from the impact of extreme weather events. Through supply chain redesign, we are addressing accessibility challenges for infectious diseases prevalent in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs). For example, in partnership with Hilleman Labs, we are developing a more thermostable and cost-effective formulation of our ERVEBO® (Ebola Zaire Vaccine, Live) vaccine for Zaire ebolavirus. These advancements enable distribution in challenging storage conditions and reflect our commitment to delivering health solutions to populations who can benefit. We have also continued our commitment to the MECTIZAN Donation Program, which is our longest-running drug donation program for neglected tropical diseases. We have committed to providing as much MECTIZAN as needed for as long as it's needed to treat river blindness through the MECTIZAN Donation Program. Our donation commitment has expanded over the years to include the treatment of lymphatic filariasis. Since the program's inception, we have donated over 5 billion MECTIZAN treatments and have made significant impacts on health systems in some of the hardest-to-reach communities around the world. The MECTIZAN Donation Program is one of the most successful public-private health partnerships of its kind and has made significant impacts on health systems in some of the hardest-to-reach communities around the world. Our dedication to reducing our environmental footprint has earned us recognition, including being honored with the Green Chemistry Challenge Award for our efforts to develop more sustainable medicines eight times since 2017.

Operations

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- ☒ Physical risks
- ☒ Transition risks
- ☒ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

We realize that there are several climate related risks that could have potential impacts on our business. In order to address those risks we have enacted an active energy management program, we embedded sustainability principles and funding into all capital projects, regardless of their size and scope as well as a low carbon transition playbook to assist sites. We have been actively pursuing renewable energy installations and contracts. Renewable electricity is an important part of our strategy to drive down emissions which would help mitigate the risk of high carbon taxes and climate change. Where feasible our company is installing new and remodeled equipment in alignment with best practices for energy and water efficiency. All of our new buildings and major renovations are built following cost-effective and energy-efficient practices, and must reduce their GHG emissions by a minimum of 50% compared to a similar building. They are designed utilizing the LEED rating system as a guide in our standards and, at a minimum, our offices and laboratories must achieve LEED Gold certification. In 2025, we received ten LEED certifications, including one Platinum and six Gold, across our real estate portfolio, contributing to more than 6.6 million square feet of LEED space either completed or under construction. Lack of water could cause power outages and the ability to extract or process raw materials could be limited.

[Add row]

(5.3.2) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your financial planning.

Row 1

(5.3.2.1) Financial planning elements that have been affected

Select all that apply

- ☒ Indirect costs
- ☒ Capital expenditures
- ☒ Capital allocation
- ☒ Access to capital

(5.3.2.2) Effect type

Select all that apply

- ☒ Physical risks
- ☒ Transition risks
- ☒ Opportunities

(5.3.2.3) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected these financial planning elements

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change

(5.3.2.4) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected these financial planning elements

To advance our journey toward achieving net-zero GHG emissions, in 2024, we embedded sustainability principles and funding into all capital projects, regardless of their size and scope. In 2024, we also made a strategic decision to prioritize the creation of our net-zero roadmaps focused on energy consumption and decarbonization projects for our top emitting sites across our Company. As part of this initiative, we have incorporated over 90 new capital projects into our long-range capital plan. To ensure the successful implementation of our net-zero roadmap, our Enterprise Capital Committee—a cross-functional leadership team responsible for

ensuring our portfolio of capital projects aligns with our Company strategy and long-range operating plan—has incorporated emissions impact into its decision making process by approving the Environmental Sustainability Capital Principles that are now reflected in our building design standards. This means the Committee now considers the GHG emissions impact of all proposed capital projects or investments, which will enable us to achieve our goals. In addition, we updated the systems used for capital governance and reporting to provide greater transparency around emissions and sustainability-related spending for each of our capital investments. This enhancement not only enables us to track and report on our sustainability performance more effectively, but also reinforces our commitment to integrating environmental considerations into our financial decision-making processes. It also demonstrates our dedication to aligning financial decisions with environmental sustainability goals within our standard capital allocation business processes. This approach will allow us to prioritize our investments that not only drive business value but also contribute to reducing our overall carbon footprint in Scopes 1 & 2.

[Add row]

(5.4) In your organization’s financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization’s climate transition?

	Identification of spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization’s climate transition
	Select from: ☒ No, but we plan to in the next two years

[Fixed row]

(5.9) What is the trend in your organization’s water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year?

(5.9.1) Water-related CAPEX (+/- % change)

-41

(5.9.2) Anticipated forward trend for CAPEX (+/- % change)

(5.9.3) Water-related OPEX (+/- % change)

0

(5.9.4) Anticipated forward trend for OPEX (+/- % change)

0

(5.9.5) Please explain

Our Company has recently concluded several substantial capital projects to upgrade water infrastructure resulting in a decrease in spend in 2025. Investment is expected to continue to decrease in 2026.

[Fixed row]

(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?

Carbon

(5.10.1) Use of internal pricing for this environmental externality

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to in the next two years

(5.10.2) Primary reason for not pricing this environmental externality

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :We changed our design standards to incorporate carbon reduction and energy efficiency into all facilities, equipment and projects.

(5.10.3) Explain why your organization does not price this environmental externality

To advance our journey toward achieving net-zero GHG emissions, in 2024, we embedded sustainability principles and funding into all capital projects, regardless of their size and scope. In 2024, we also made a strategic decision to prioritize the creation of our net-zero roadmaps focused on energy consumption and decarbonization projects for our top emitting sites across our Company. As part of this initiative, we have incorporated over 90 new capital projects into our long-range

capital plan. To ensure the successful implementation of our net-zero roadmap, our Enterprise Capital Committee—a cross-functional leadership team responsible for ensuring our portfolio of capital projects aligns with our Company strategy and long-range operating plan—has incorporated emissions impact into its decision making process by approving the Environmental Sustainability Capital Principles that are now reflected in our building design standards. This means the Committee now considers the GHG emissions impact of all proposed capital projects or investments, which will enable us to achieve our goals. In addition, we updated the systems used for capital governance and reporting to provide greater transparency around emissions and sustainability-related spending for each of our capital investments.

Water

(5.10.1) Use of internal pricing for this environmental externality

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to in the next two years

(5.10.2) Primary reason for not pricing this environmental externality

Select from:

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

(5.10.3) Explain why your organization does not price this environmental externality

The company has not implemented an internal water pricing mechanism because water-related risks are currently managed through site-specific risk assessments, water conservation planning, and operational controls rather than through a financial pricing. Based on our water risk screening methodology, which considers both local water stress conditions and site water use, water-related impacts have not been identified as a strategic priority requiring internal pricing at this time. The company continues to monitor water-related risks and opportunities and will reassess the need for additional management tools, including internal water pricing, as circumstances evolve.

Other

(5.10.1) Use of internal pricing for this environmental externality

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to in the next two years

[Fixed row]

(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?

Suppliers

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

☒ Yes

(5.11.2) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

☒ Forests

☒ Water

Smallholders

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(5.11.3) Primary reason for not engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Have not mapped value chain beyond Tier 1 for timber products.

(5.11.4) Explain why you do not engage with this stakeholder on environmental issues

We have not mapped our value chain for timber products beyond Tier 1, therefore their relevance for this commodity is not yet known.

Customers

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

☒ Yes

(5.11.2) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

☒ Water

Investors and shareholders

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

☒ Yes

(5.11.2) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

☒ Water

Other value chain stakeholders

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

☒ Yes

(5.11.2) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

☒ Water

[Fixed row]

(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?

Climate change

(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☒ Yes, we assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers

(5.11.1.2) Criteria for assessing supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select all that apply

☒ Contribution to supplier-related Scope 3 emissions

☒ Other, please specify

(5.11.1.3) % Tier 1 suppliers assessed

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

(5.11.1.4) Define a threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

We used our previous input/output spend modelled data to determine supplier impacts and the key procurement categories with the highest shared emissions. Once identified, each of the key procurement categories engaged with the top 10-20 suppliers in each category (~30 categories) based on Scope 3 emissions. By following this strategy, we have engaged with and assessed over 50% of our total Scope 3 emissions (in 2024) and can begin to work on reduction strategies with those suppliers.

(5.11.1.5) % Tier 1 suppliers meeting the threshold for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

(5.11.1.6) Number of Tier 1 suppliers meeting the thresholds for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

650

Forests

(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☒ No, we do not currently assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers, but we plan to do so within the next two years

Water

(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☒ Yes, we assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers

(5.11.1.2) Criteria for assessing supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select all that apply

☒ Dependence on water

☒ Dependence on ecosystem services/environmental assets

☒ Impact on water availability

☒ Impact on pollution levels

☒ Other, please specify :impact on discharge of pharmaceuticals into the environment and anti-microbial resistance

(5.11.1.3) % Tier 1 suppliers assessed

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

(5.11.1.4) Define a threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

The threshold is dependent on the types of products and/or services the existing and prospective external suppliers are providing to the company. Strategic external producers (EPs) may be defined by business segmentation, revenue supported and/or annual spend. We screen external manufacturers of active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) and finished products for environmental health and safety (EHS) compliance, in addition to quality, supply and technical competence requirements.

(5.11.1.5) % Tier 1 suppliers meeting the threshold for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

(5.11.1.6) Number of Tier 1 suppliers meeting the thresholds for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

129

[Fixed row]

(5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?

Climate change

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ In line with the criteria used to classify suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts relating to climate change
- ☒ Material sourcing
- ☒ Procurement spend

- ☒ Strategic status of suppliers

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

We used our input/output spend modelled data to determine supplier impacts and the key procurement categories with the highest shared emissions. Once identified, each of the key procurement categories engaged with the top 10-20 suppliers in each category (~30 procurement categories) based on Scope 3 emissions. By following this strategy we have engaged with and assessed over 50% of our total Scope 3 emissions (based on 2024 reported emissions) and can begin to work on reduction strategies with those suppliers. In terms of supplier engagement, we are following a phased engagement program which is focused on understanding our supplier's maturity level, their ability to provide us with apportioned emissions data and identify emission reduction initiatives. To date, we have successfully engaged with our top ~650 suppliers, encompassing > 50% of our total Scope 3 emissions in 2024. We have successfully built and utilized supplier engagement toolkits to communicate our corporate climate goals, and have set clear expectations to our supplier base.

Forests

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

- ☒ Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ Material sourcing
- ☒ Procurement spend

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

Our engagement with suppliers related to this environmental issue is focused on the collection data on the consumption of secondary and tertiary packaging derived from timber products for CDP reporting. Suppliers are prioritized based on those with the highest spend on these materials.

Water

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

- ☒ Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ Material sourcing
- ☒ Procurement spend
- ☒ Regulatory compliance
- ☒ Business risk mitigation
- ☒ Supplier performance improvement
- ☒ Suppliers' exposure or vulnerability to physical risks
- ☒ In line with the criteria used to classify suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts relating to water

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

Strategic external producers (EPs) may be defined by business segmentation, revenue supported and/or annual spend and include only those EPs that operate under a supply agreement with Company. The criteria above align to the risk factors we have identified in our screening process, including but not limited to: 1) EHS risks with respect to types of processes and/or business activities, i.e. Chemical Processes, Formulation and Compounding, Packaging, and Other; 2) Business Significance; 3) Specific occupational health risks with respect to the potency of compounds to be handled; 4) Last EHS evaluation risk rating; and 5) Responses to Supplier Self-Assessment Questionnaires (SAQs). EPs that are not included in the current onsite EHS assessment plan will be subject to re-screening if there are changes in the business deal structure with Company, process and/or facility changes, new products or any changes that may warrant re-screening. This is in alignment with the rationale used by Pharmaceutical Supply Chain Initiative (PSCI) and its audit protocol.

[Fixed row]

(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization's purchasing process?

Climate change

(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process

Select from:

- ☒ Yes, environmental requirements related to this environmental issue are included in our supplier contracts

(5.11.5.2) Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance

Select from:

☒ No, we do not have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance

(5.11.5.3) Comment

Our contracts reference the Code of Conduct which has the following statement: Business partners are encouraged to conserve natural resources, reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, preserve biodiversity and clean water, and minimize and control the use of hazardous materials. Partners shall comply with applicable environmental laws and regulations. All required environmental permits, licenses, information registrations, and restrictions shall be obtained and their operational and reporting requirements followed. Partners shall manage Any waste, wastewater, or emissions with the potential to adversely impact human or environmental health shall be appropriately managed, controlled, and treated prior to release into the environment. This includes managing releases of active pharmaceuticals into the environment (PiE). Partners shall monitor and reduce their GHG emissions and encourage their suppliers to do the same. Partners shall strive to design out waste, take measures to improve efficiency, and reduce the consumption of resources, including water, favoring renewable and sustainable sources. They shall also take measures to reuse and recycle. Partners shall understand their impact on biodiversity and take reasonable measures to reduce their ecological footprint. Partners shall have effective systems in place to prevent and mitigate accidental spills and releases to the environment and adverse impacts on the local community.

Forests

(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process

Select from:

☒ Yes, environmental requirements related to this environmental issue are included in our supplier contracts

(5.11.5.2) Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance

Select from:

☒ No, we do not have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance

(5.11.5.3) Comment

Our contracts reference the Code of Conduct which has the following statement: Business partners are encouraged to conserve natural resources, reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, preserve biodiversity and clean water, and minimize and control the use of hazardous materials. Partners shall comply with applicable environmental laws and regulations. All required environmental permits, licenses, information registrations, and restrictions shall be obtained and their

operational and reporting requirements followed. Partners shall manage Any waste, wastewater, or emissions with the potential to adversely impact human or environmental health shall be appropriately managed, controlled, and treated prior to release into the environment. This includes managing releases of active pharmaceuticals into the environment (PiE). Partners shall monitor and reduce their GHG emissions and encourage their suppliers to do the same. Partners shall strive to design out waste, take measures to improve efficiency, and reduce the consumption of resources, including water, favoring renewable and sustainable sources. They shall also take measures to reuse and recycle. Partners shall understand their impact on biodiversity and take reasonable measures to reduce their ecological footprint. Partners shall have effective systems in place to prevent and mitigate accidental spills and releases to the environment and adverse impacts on the local community.

Water

(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process

Select from:

☒ Yes, environmental requirements related to this environmental issue are included in our supplier contracts

(5.11.5.2) Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance

Select from:

☒ No, we do not have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance

(5.11.5.3) Comment

Our contracts reference the Code of Conduct which has the following statement: Business partners are encouraged to conserve natural resources, reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, preserve biodiversity and clean water, and minimize and control the use of hazardous materials. Partners shall comply with applicable environmental laws and regulations. All required environmental permits, licenses, information registrations, and restrictions shall be obtained and their operational and reporting requirements followed. Partners shall manage Any waste, wastewater, or emissions with the potential to adversely impact human or environmental health shall be appropriately managed, controlled, and treated prior to release into the environment. This includes managing releases of active pharmaceuticals into the environment (PiE). Partners shall monitor and reduce their GHG emissions and encourage their suppliers to do the same. Partners shall strive to design out waste, take measures to improve efficiency, and reduce the consumption of resources, including water, favoring renewable and sustainable sources. They shall also take measures to reuse and recycle. Partners shall understand their impact on biodiversity and take reasonable measures to reduce their ecological footprint. Partners shall have effective systems in place to prevent and mitigate accidental spills and releases to the environment and adverse impacts on the local community.

[Fixed row]

(5.11.6) Provide details of the environmental requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization's purchasing process, and the compliance measures in place.

Climate change

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ Setting a science-based emissions reduction target

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

☒ First-party verification

☒ Supplier self-assessment

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ 100%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ 26-50%

(5.11.6.7) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ 100%

(5.11.6.8) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ 26-50%

(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ Retain and engage

(5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged

Select from:

☒ 26-50%

(5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers

Select all that apply

☒ Developing quantifiable, time-bound targets and milestones to bring suppliers back into compliance

☒ Providing information on appropriate actions that can be taken to address non-compliance

(5.11.6.12) Comment

In addition to setting a science-based emissions reduction target, our supplier engagement program has the following requirements: • Environmental disclosure through a non-public platform • Implementation of emissions reduction initiatives • Setting a low-carbon or renewable energy target • Disclosure of GHG emissions to your organization (Scope 1, 2 and 3) In general, our contracts reference the Code of Conduct which has the following statement Business partners are encouraged to conserve natural resources reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, preserve biodiversity and clean water, and minimize and control the use of hazardous materials. Partners shall monitor and reduce their GHG emissions and encourage their suppliers to do the same.

Forests

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :conserve natural resources

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

☒ No mechanism for monitoring compliance

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ 100%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ Less than 1%

(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ Retain and engage

(5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged

Select from:

☒ Unknown

(5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers

Select all that apply

☒ Providing information on appropriate actions that can be taken to address non-compliance

(5.11.6.12) Comment

Our contracts reference the Code of Conduct which has the following statement Business partners are expected to conserve natural resources and engage in activities aimed at reducing water usage energy consumption and greenhouse gas emissions.

Water

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

- ☒ Setting and monitoring water pollution-related targets

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

- ☒ Certification
- ☒ First-party verification
- ☒ On-site third-party audit
- ☒ Supplier self-assessment

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- ☒ 100%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- ☒ 1-25%

(5.11.6.5) % tier 1 suppliers with substantive environmental dependencies and/or impacts related to this environmental issue required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- ☒ 1-25%

(5.11.6.6) % tier 1 suppliers with substantive environmental dependencies and/or impacts related to this environmental issue that are in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ Retain and engage

(5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged

Select from:

☒ 100%

(5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers

Select all that apply

☒ Developing quantifiable, time-bound targets and milestones to bring suppliers back into compliance

☒ Providing information on appropriate actions that can be taken to address non-compliance

(5.11.6.12) Comment

We have a centralized third-party due diligence process that uses a single tool across risk domains. The central due diligence process integrates risk intelligence data with the third-party assessments to increase risk sensing, improve efficiency and provide stronger mitigation controls. Where assessments and audits identify deficiencies or opportunities for improvement, we monitor suppliers to ensure they address our concerns in a responsible and compliant manner. As part of our monitoring, we have mechanisms to report, track and monitor supplier plans to address nonconformance and drive continued improvement. We perform additional reviews for external manufacturing suppliers and suppliers that manage personal and private information. We monitor and track corrective actions and preventative actions (CAPAs) through completion. For this subset of Tier 1 suppliers, the severity of the findings are based on a potential to result in business interruption, financial liability, or adversely impact the External Partner's and/or Company's reputation or impair supply chain continuity, negatively affect EHS compliance versus the prevailing regulatory status or negatively impact the External Partner and/or Company with respect to the commitment to the PSCI principles for responsible supply chain management. The EHS Risk rating is assigned based on criteria of the number of critical and major findings, which then determines the acceptability level of compliance status.

[Add row]

(5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization's supplier engagement on environmental issues.

Climate change

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

- ☒ Emissions reduction

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Capacity building

- ☒ Provide training, support and best practices on how to measure GHG emissions
- ☒ Provide training, support and best practices on how to mitigate environmental impact
- ☒ Support suppliers to develop public time-bound action plans with clear milestones
- ☒ Support suppliers to set their own environmental commitments across their operations

Information collection

- ☒ Collect GHG emissions data at least annually from suppliers
- ☒ Collect targets information at least annually from suppliers

Innovation and collaboration

- ☒ Collaborate with suppliers on innovative business models and corporate renewable energy sourcing mechanisms

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

- ☒ Tier 1 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

- ☒ 51-75%

(5.11.7.6) % of tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions covered by engagement

Select from:

☒ 51-75%

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

We are following a phased engagement program which is focused on understanding our supplier's maturity level, their ability to provide us with Company apportioned emissions data and identify emission reduction initiatives. In 2024/2025, we successfully engaged with over 650 suppliers in support of our efforts to reduce GHG emissions, representing >50%% of our total Scope 3 emissions in 2024. We have successfully built and utilized supplier engagement toolkits to communicate our corporate climate goals, and have set clear expectations to our supplier base that we expect: In addition, we updated our sourcing and supplier selection process to ensure dedicated environmental sustainability criteria (10% weight) are included. We have launched our Sustainability Partner Exchange Series. A recurring event (3x per year) that brings together our top suppliers, procurement & internal stakeholders to drive collaboration within the value chain, share lessons learned and focus on driving progress towards our climate goals. In coming years, we continue to focus on our high-emitting suppliers to drive decarbonization, while also expanding our Scope 3 coverage through supplier engagement using digital tools for engagement & GHG data collection.

(5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Yes, please specify the environmental requirement :Setting a science-based emissions reduction target

(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

☒ Yes

Forests

(5.11.7.1) Commodity

Select from:

☒ Timber products

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :collection of timber product consumption data of secondary and tertiary packaging for CDP Forest report.

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Information collection

☒ Other information collection activity, please specify :Sourcing information on timber used in primary and secondary packaging.

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

☒ Tier 1 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

☒ Less than 1%

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

We engage with a subset of our suppliers of secondary and tertiary packaging to collect timber product consumption data for the CDP Forest questionnaire. This engagement has resulted in the collection of the requested data from our suppliers for the 2024/2025 CDP Forest report.

(5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ No, this engagement is unrelated to meeting an environmental requirement

(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

☒ Unknown

Water

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

- ☒ Other, please specify :Adherence to requirements associated with pharmaceuticals in the environment and anti-microbial resistance.

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Capacity building

- ☒ Provide training, support and best practices on how to mitigate environmental impact
- ☒ Support suppliers to set their own environmental commitments across their operations

Information collection

- ☒ Collect targets information at least annually from suppliers
- ☒ Collect WASH information at least annually from suppliers
- ☒ Collect water quality information at least annually from suppliers (e.g., discharge quality, pollution incidents, hazardous substances)
- ☒ Collect water quantity information at least annually from suppliers (e.g., withdrawal and discharge volumes)

Innovation and collaboration

- ☒ Collaborate with suppliers on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services
- ☒ Engage with suppliers to advocate for policy or regulatory change to address environmental challenges

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

- ☒ Tier 1 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

- ☒ 1-25%

(5.11.7.7) % tier 1 suppliers with substantive impacts and/or dependencies related to this environmental issue covered by engagement

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

We screen external manufacturers of active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) and finished products for environmental health and safety (EHS) compliance, in addition to quality, supply and technical competence requirements. The GSE organization leads the EHS screening and on-site assessment, including a survey covering topics, such as regulatory compliance, fatalities and major incidents. Based on the screening results and activities undertaken by the supplier, certain external manufacturers are subject to a more detailed on-site assessment by a multidisciplinary team, which may include our Quality, GSE, Global Technical Operations and GSMG representatives. We periodically reassess our external manufacturers using a risk-based approach; higher-risk external manufacturers are subject to more frequent on-site assessments. We expect our external manufacturers will remediate EHS observations, and we monitor and track corrective actions and preventative actions (CAPAs) through completion. For 2024, all assessments referenced in our Impact Report 24/25 were performed in person. In total in 2024 102 assessments were performed. As a member of the Antimicrobial Resistance (AMR) Alliance and signatory to the Industry Roadmap for Progress on Combating AMR, we are delivering on our commitments to reduce the environmental impacts from antibiotic residue in wastewater through implementation of the AMR Alliance Antibiotic Manufacturing Standard. We have reviewed the operations of our human health antibiotic manufacturing facilities and third-party human health antibiotic suppliers to assess their wastewater treatment controls. We also have developed a mechanism for transparently demonstrating that our supply chain meets the standards in this framework, which was presented in the AMR Industry Alliance Progress Report. Additionally, we work with the Pharmaceutical Supply Chain Initiative (PSCI) to provide environmental training, tools and resources to our suppliers on PSCI's platform and in webinars. These initiatives ensure a consistent message and approach with our suppliers across the industry through live training sessions, tools, primers, and session recordings. In 2024 the number of suppliers reached regarding ESG means the aggregate number of our suppliers who have attended PSCI's capability-building program through the Company's membership in PSCI.

(5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Yes, please specify the environmental requirement

(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

☒ Unknown

[Add row]

(5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☒ Investors and shareholders

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

☒ Share information about your products and relevant certification schemes

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☒ Less than 1%

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

☒ None

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

Stakeholders were investors interested in our environmental performance and governance. We engaged to directly articulate our goals, strategy and performance. We have not attributed any shared emissions in relation to their investments at this time.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

Engagement has led to better understanding of expectations of our disclosure of climate related strategy, risks, opportunities, performance and governance.

Water

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☒ Other value chain stakeholder, please specify :Communities in which we operate, collective action organizations, our employees

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Other

☒ Other, please specify :Encourage stakeholders to work collaboratively with other users in their river basins toward sustainable water management.

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☒ 100%

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

Our company endorses the UN CEO Water Mandate, a public commitment to adopt and implement a comprehensive approach to water management, and we have aligned our water program with its principles. CEO Water Mandate endorsers have a responsibility to make water resource management a priority and to work with governments, UN agencies, NGOs, local communities and other interested parties to address global water challenges. We continue to work to identify partnerships that will help us advance our water stewardship priorities in the areas in which we operate.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

In 2025, along with River Partners, we supported largescale habitat restoration at the Willow Bend Preserve along the Sacramento River in California, the state's largest river. Converting 175 acres of former farmland into a native floodplain ecosystem, this restoration reconnects the river to its natural floodplain, improving water quality, conserving freshwater, and creating critical habitat for imperiled species, including multiple runs of Chinook salmon and steelhead. By restoring the natural function of the historic floodplain and supporting fish migration, the Willow Bend Preserve project delivers measurable conservation outcomes. These include expanded rearing habitat for endangered salmon, increased biodiversity for aquatic and terrestrial species, improved flood resilience, and long-term climate benefits through natural carbon storage and groundwater recharge. Through this investment, we are helping advance nature-based solutions that protect vulnerable species, strengthen ecosystems, and support healthier waterways. River Partners is working to transfer the Willow Bend property to a local tribe and the wider tribal community in the area for long-term ownership and stewardship of what was once part of their historic homeland. The transfer, and partnership with the tribe in helping develop the restoration vision for the property, creates an important foundation for community engagement, educational programs, and cultural revitalization.

[Add row]

(5.12) Indicate any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives you could collaborate on with specific CDP Supply Chain members.

	Details of initiative	Please explain
Row 1	There are no planned initiatives at this time.	There are no planned initiatives at this time.

[Add row]

(5.13) Has your organization already implemented any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement?

	Environmental initiatives implemented due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement	Primary reason for not implementing environmental initiatives	Explain why your organization has not implemented any environmental initiatives
	Select from: ☒ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	Select from: ☒ Other, please specify :NA	NA

[Fixed row]

C6. Environmental performance - Consolidation approach

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.

	Consolidation approach used	Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach
Climate change	Select from: ☒ Operational control	<i>We use the same consolidation approach as used in our financial accounting.</i>
Forests	Select from: ☒ Operational control	<i>We use the same consolidation approach as used in our financial accounting.</i>
Water	Select from: ☒ Operational control	<i>We use the same consolidation approach as used in our financial accounting.</i>
Biodiversity	Select from: ☒ Operational control	<i>We use the same consolidation approach as used in our financial accounting.</i>

[Fixed row]

C7. Environmental performance - Climate change

(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?

	Has there been a structural change?
	Select all that apply ☒ No

[Fixed row]

(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?

(7.1.2.1) Change(s) in methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition?

Select all that apply

☒ Yes, a change in methodology

(7.1.2.2) Details of methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition change(s)

In 2025, we re-baselined due to updates in our methodology from the launch of a new digital platform for Scope 3 GHG emissions calculations. Relevant changes include change of spend-based emissions factor library from U.S. EEIO to CEDA, and the use of a higher percentage of supplier-specific emission factors. Additionally, we updated our vehicle fleet methodology, from using mileage data with fuel consumption estimated, to using fuel and electric consumption activity data. Our 2019-2025 Scope 1, 2, and 3 performance data and goal progress were updated accordingly.
[Fixed row]

(7.1.3) Have your organization's base year emissions and past years' emissions been recalculated as a result of any changes or errors reported in 7.1.1 and/or 7.1.2?

(7.1.3.1) Base year recalculation

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.1.3.2) Scopes recalculated

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 1

☒ Scope 2, location-based

☒ Scope 2, market-based

☒ Scope 3

(7.1.3.3) Scope 3 categories recalculated

Select all that apply

☒ Franchises

☒ Capital goods

☒ Business travel

☒ Employee commuting

☒ Use of sold products

☒ Purchased goods and services

☒ Waste generated in operations

☒ End of life treatment of sold products

☒ Upstream transportation and distribution

☒ Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.1.3.4) Base year emissions recalculation policy, including significance threshold

Publicly reported values for Scope 1, 2, and 3 may require adjustments to the baseline, previous, or subsequent years due to a change in calculation methodology or detection of an error. Adjustments to calculations and emissions factors will be made and documented if justified by regulatory changes, scientific discovery, engineering judgment, requirements due to public commitment (e.g., SBTi Net Zero targets), updates to the WRI GHG Protocol guidance or detection of errors in our previous calculations as per the following:

- Scope 1 or 2 changes in methodology or error results in a greater than 5% change to our overall Scope 1 and 2
- Scope 3 change in methodology or error results in a greater than 5% change to our overall Scope 3

Updated emissions factors will be applied to subsequent years and not to previous years unless partial application of newer version of factors prevents comparison of performance over time.

(7.1.3.5) Past years' recalculation

Select from:

☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.

Select all that apply

☒ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition)

☒ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Scope 2 Guidance

☒ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard

(7.3) Describe your organization's approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.

(7.3.1) Scope 2, location-based

Select from:

☒ We are reporting a Scope 2, location-based figure

(7.3.2) Scope 2, market-based

Select from:

☒ We are reporting a Scope 2, market-based figure

(7.3.3) Comment

Limited market-based Scope 2 factors were found. When factors were not found according to the Greenhouse Gas Protocol, grid average factors were used. For sites that utilized a green tariff, their market-based emissions for green tariff electric were zero. When accounting for the RECs received from our VPPAs, RECs were first applied to applicable LEED projects and then to the sites with the highest emission factors within the region of the VPPA.

[Fixed row]

(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are excluded from your disclosure of climate-related data?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.4.1) Provide details of the sources of Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 emissions which are not included in your disclosure.

Row 1

(7.4.1.1) Source of excluded emissions

Pollution Control - thermal oxidizers

(7.4.1.2) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 1

(7.4.1.3) Relevance of Scope 1 emissions from this source

Select from:

☒ Emissions are not relevant

(7.4.1.8) Estimated percentage of total Scope 1+2 emissions this excluded source represents

0.3

(7.4.1.10) Explain why this source is excluded

A small number of facilities have thermal oxidizers. CO2e emissions from the fuel used in these units are already captured, but the CO2e emissions from combustion of solvent vapor are not calculated at every site. It is estimated that these emissions will contribute much less than 1% of the corporate emissions.

(7.4.1.11) Explain how you estimated the percentage of emissions this excluded source represents

Only a small amount of facilities in our network have thermal oxidizers. We evaluated the process emissions at the site with the largest thermal oxidizer and found the resulting emissions to be negligible to our overall corporate emissions. If the additional smaller units emissions were added to this, the emissions would still result in less than 1% of the corporate emissions.

Row 2

(7.4.1.1) Source of excluded emissions

Acetylene Cylinders

(7.4.1.2) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 1

(7.4.1.3) Relevance of Scope 1 emissions from this source

Select from:

☒ Emissions are not relevant

(7.4.1.8) Estimated percentage of total Scope 1+2 emissions this excluded source represents

0.1

(7.4.1.10) Explain why this source is excluded

A small number of facilities have acetylene cylinders. Process CO2e emissions from acetylene cylinders are not included. It is estimated that these emissions will contribute much less than 1% of the corporate emissions. Acetylene used by 3rd party service providers is accounted in our Scope 3.

(7.4.1.11) Explain how you estimated the percentage of emissions this excluded source represents

Only a small amount of facilities in our network have acetylene cylinders. We evaluated the process emissions at the site with the largest acetylene cylinder and found the resulting emissions to be negligible to our overall corporate emissions. If the additional smaller sites' emissions were added to this, the emissions would still result in less than 1% of the corporate emissions.

Row 3

(7.4.1.1) Source of excluded emissions

Process Emissions

(7.4.1.2) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 1

(7.4.1.3) Relevance of Scope 1 emissions from this source

Select from:

☒ Emissions are not relevant

(7.4.1.8) Estimated percentage of total Scope 1+2 emissions this excluded source represents

0.1

(7.4.1.10) Explain why this source is excluded

We calculated the impact of our fermentation and cell culture process and the impacts were found to be much less than 1%.

(7.4.1.11) Explain how you estimated the percentage of emissions this excluded source represents

We calculated the impact of our fermentation and cell culture processes and the impacts were found to be much less than 1%.

Row 4

(7.4.1.1) Source of excluded emissions

Dry Ice

(7.4.1.2) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 1

(7.4.1.3) Relevance of Scope 1 emissions from this source

Select from:

☒ Emissions are not relevant

(7.4.1.8) Estimated percentage of total Scope 1+2 emissions this excluded source represents

0.3

(7.4.1.10) Explain why this source is excluded

We calculated based off the amount of purchased dry ice and assumed all the dry ice evaporated to atmosphere. It is estimated that these emissions will contribute much less than 1% of the corporate emissions.

(7.4.1.11) Explain how you estimated the percentage of emissions this excluded source represents

We calculated based off the amount of purchased dry ice and assumed all the dry ice evaporated to atmosphere. It is estimated that these emissions will contribute much less than 1% of the corporate emissions.

[Add row]

(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.

Scope 1

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

719600

(7.5.3) Methodological details

The operational control approach is used to account for GHG emissions from our facilities globally. All facilities under our operational control in 2025 were included. Facilities incorporated in the Environmental Data Collection (EDC) program are known as “EDC Sites”. All other company-owned and leased facilities are known as “Non-EDC” sites. Direct emissions are calculated for EDC sites. Direct emissions are estimated for Non-EDC sites based on square footage. EPA Climate Leaders GHG Inventory Protocol Emission Factors are used for mobile and stationary fuels. IPCC GWP factors, EPA Climate Leaders refrigerant GWP factors, and US EPA SNAP “Percentage Composition of Substitute Refrigerant Blends” are used for fugitive emissions, or manufacturer’s GWP factors are used if a GWP is not available based on IPCC and EPA sources. EPA Energy Star Site Energy Use Intensity factors are used for non-EDC sites. Inputs include combustion of fossil fuels, which includes fuel oil (fuel oil, gasoline, diesel fuel, kerosene, propane gas, and liquefied petroleum gas), natural gas, fleet fuel (jet fuel, gasoline, diesel, and biofuels [CH4 and N2O only]), biofuels for stationary use (CH4 and N2O only), on-site combustion of Waste and Solvents, Fugitive Emissions, and Non-EDC Sites square footage. Non-EDC site emissions estimates assume natural gas is used for heating, accounting for 33.3% of the site energy use (the remainder is assumed electric) based on guidance published by the U.S. Energy Information Administration in the 2018 Commercial Buildings Energy Consumption Survey. We follow the WRI GHG protocol and this data has undergone limited assurance. For additional details, please refer to our 2025 Management Assertion.

Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

375400

(7.5.3) Methodological details

The operational control approach is used to account for GHG emissions from our facilities globally. All facilities under our operational control in 2025 were included. Facilities incorporated in the Environmental Data Collection (EDC) program are known as “EDC Sites”. All other company-owned and leased facilities are known as “Non-EDC” sites. Indirect emissions are calculated for EDC sites. Indirect emissions are estimated for Non-EDC sites based on square footage. US EPA Center for

Corporate Leadership Emission factors for Greenhouse Gas Inventories, IEA, Canada National Inventory Report, and UK DESNZ are used for purchased electric emission factors and for the calculation of purchased cooling water emissions. EPA Climate Leaders GHG Inventory Protocol Emission Factors are used for purchased steam. EPA Energy Star Site Energy Use Intensity factors are used for non-EDC sites. Inputs include consumption of purchased electric for sites and battery electric fleet vehicles, purchased cooling water, purchased steam, and Non-EDC Sites square footage. Purchased cooling water emissions are calculated using energy star assumptions for electric consumption (and local purchased electric factors) based on the temperature demand (delta T) of the purchased cooling water. Non-EDC site emissions estimates assume electric accounts for 66.7% of the site energy use (the remainder is assumed natural gas) based on guidance published by the U.S. Energy Information Administration in the 2018 Commercial Buildings Energy Consumption Survey. We follow the WRI GHG protocol. For additional details, please refer to our 2025 Management Assertion.

Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

300900

(7.5.3) Methodological details

The operational control approach is used to account for GHG emissions from our facilities globally. All facilities under our operational control in 2025 were included. Facilities incorporated in the Environmental Data Collection (EDC) program are known as “EDC Sites”. All other company-owned and leased facilities are known as “Non-EDC” sites. Indirect emissions are calculated for EDC sites. Indirect emissions are estimated for Non-EDC sites based on square footage. US EPA Center for Corporate Leadership Emission factors for Greenhouse Gas Inventories, IEA, Australia NGA, EU Residual Mix, UK DEFRA, and are used for purchased electric emission factors and for the calculation of purchased cooling water emissions. EPA Climate Leaders GHG Inventory Protocol Emission Factors are used for purchased steam. EPA Energy Star Site Energy Use Intensity factors are used for non-EDC sites. Where market-based electric factors were not found according to the Greenhouse Gas Protocol, grid average factors were used. Inputs include consumption of purchased electric for sites and battery electric fleet vehicles, purchased cooling water, purchased steam, Non-EDC Sites square footage, and amount of electric utilized in equivalence to that generated by our VPPAs and EACs. Purchased cooling water emissions are calculated using energy star assumptions for electric consumption (and local purchased electric factors) based on the temperature demand (delta T) of the purchased cooling water. Non-EDC site emissions estimates assume electric accounts for 66.7% of the site energy use (the remainder is assumed natural gas) based on guidance published by the U.S. Energy Information Administration in the 2018 Commercial Buildings Energy Consumption Survey. We follow the WRI GHG protocol. For additional details, please refer to our 2025 Management Assertion.

Scope 3 category 1: Purchased goods and services

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3592100

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Based on vendor activity data where available, or economic input-output modeling using spend data and CEDA and IPCC emission factors.

Scope 3 category 2: Capital goods

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

366300

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Based on vendor activity data where available, or economic input-output modeling using spend data and CEDA and IPCC emission factors.

Scope 3 category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

205500

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Based on primary fuel and energy-use data and emission factors from IPCC, DESNZ, IEA, Australian NGA, Green-e® Residual Mix, AIB European Residual Mix, New Zealand Measuring Emissions Guide, and EPA eGRID.

Scope 3 category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

199700

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Based on vendor activity data where available, or economic input-output modeling using spend data and CEDA and IPCC emission factors.

Scope 3 category 5: Waste generated in operations

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

89000

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Based on primary waste data and emission factors from IPCC and DESNZ.

Scope 3 category 6: Business travel

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

287100

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Based on primary travel vendor data with Thrust Carbon calculations or employee-reimbursable mileage with emission factors from EPA Emissions Factor Hub, DESNZ, Australian NGA, and New Zealand Measuring Emissions Guide.

Scope 3 category 7: Employee commuting

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

123300

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Based on number of employees and emission factors from EPA Emission Factor Hub and DESNZ. Calculations consider commuting days, on-site EV chargers, and vanpool, where data is available.

Scope 3 category 8: Upstream leased assets

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not applicable. We account for leased assets that are used for direct business activities as part of our direct Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions calculations. No other upstream leased assets have been identified at this time.

Scope 3 category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

124800

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Based on activity data for the products shipped via our wholesalers transportation and emission factors from DESNZ and ecoinvent.

Scope 3 category 10: Processing of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not applicable. Our company makes pharmaceutical products for human or animal health. These products are typically ingested, injected or applied topically.

Scope 3 category 11: Use of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

16500

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Due to recent acquisitions, we are currently evaluating the applicability of additional products to this category. Includes Animal Health products ENGEMYCIN® (oxytetracycline), NEO SPRAY CAF® (oxytetracyclinum), OXYTETRIN® LA (oxytetracycline), as well as sales in the U.S. of Biomark, Hyperinfusion, Falcon, and Vaki products. GHG Emissions are based on amount of propellant per product sold and IPCC emission factors or by lifetime energy consumption and IEA emission factors. In 2025, we added Vaki products, 2019-2025 performance data were updated accordingly.

Scope 3 category 12: End of life treatment of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

164600

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Based on packaging material data and emission factors from IPCC and DESNZ. Calculations assume all primary, secondary and tertiary packaging purchased is disposed of by our customers.

Scope 3 category 13: Downstream leased assets

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not applicable. Our company does not utilize downstream leased assets.

Scope 3 category 14: Franchises

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2000

(7.5.3) Methodological details

While our Company does not operate the traditional definition of franchises, we do have products that were out-licensed, which are manufactured and or sold by other companies for which we receive payment. Based on revenue data for licensed products and the Scope 1 & 2 portion of CEDA emission factors.

Scope 3 category 15: Investments

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not applicable. As per the Greenhouse Gas Protocol, we do not believe we qualify as an Investor.

Scope 3: Other (upstream)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not applicable. Does not apply to our company.

Scope 3: Other (downstream)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not applicable. Does not apply to our company.

[Fixed row]

(7.6) What were your organization's gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

684500

(7.6.3) Methodological details

The operational control approach is used to account for GHG emissions from our facilities globally. All facilities under our operational control in 2025 were included. Facilities incorporated in the Environmental Data Collection (EDC) program are known as “EDC Sites”. All other company-owned and leased facilities are known as “Non-EDC” sites. Direct emissions are calculated for EDC sites. Direct emissions are estimated for Non-EDC sites based on square footage. EPA Climate Leaders GHG Inventory Protocol Emission Factors are used for mobile and stationary fuels. IPCC GWP factors, EPA Climate Leaders refrigerant GWP factors, and US EPA SNAP “Percentage Composition of Substitute Refrigerant Blends” are used for fugitive emissions, or manufacturer’s GWP factors are used if a GWP is not available based on IPCC and EPA sources. EPA Energy Star Site Energy Use Intensity factors are used for non-EDC sites. Inputs include combustion of fossil fuels, which includes fuel oil (fuel oil, gasoline, diesel fuel, kerosene, propane gas, and liquefied petroleum gas), natural gas, fleet fuel (jet fuel, gasoline, diesel, and biofuels [CH₄ and N₂O only]), biofuels for stationary use (CH₄ and N₂O only), on-site combustion of Waste and Solvents, Fugitive Emissions, and Non-EDC Sites square footage. Non-EDC site emissions estimates assume natural gas is used for heating, accounting for 33.3% of the site energy use (the remainder is assumed electric) based on guidance published by the U.S. Energy Information Administration in the 2018 Commercial Buildings Energy Consumption Survey. We follow the WRI GHG protocol and this data has undergone limited assurance. For additional details, please refer to our 2025 Management Assertion.

Past year 1

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

691400

(7.6.2) End date

12/31/2024

(7.6.3) Methodological details

The operational control approach is used to account for GHG emissions from our facilities globally. All facilities under our operational control in 2025 were included. Facilities incorporated in the Environmental Data Collection (EDC) program are known as “EDC Sites”. All other company-owned and leased facilities are known as “Non-EDC” sites. Direct emissions are calculated for EDC sites. Direct emissions are estimated for Non-EDC sites based on square footage. EPA Climate Leaders GHG Inventory Protocol Emission Factors are used for mobile and stationary fuels. IPCC GWP factors, EPA Climate Leaders refrigerant GWP factors, and US EPA SNAP “Percentage Composition of Substitute Refrigerant Blends” are used for fugitive emissions, or manufacturer’s GWP factors are used if a GWP is not available based on IPCC and EPA sources. EPA Energy Star Site Energy Use Intensity factors are used for non-EDC sites. Inputs include combustion of fossil fuels, which includes fuel oil (fuel oil, gasoline, diesel fuel, kerosene, propane gas, and liquefied petroleum gas), natural gas, fleet fuel (jet fuel, gasoline, diesel, and biofuels [CH₄ and N₂O only]), biofuels for stationary use (CH₄ and N₂O only), on-site combustion of Waste and Solvents, Fugitive Emissions, and Non-EDC Sites square footage. Non-EDC site emissions estimates assume natural gas is used for heating, accounting for 33.3% of the site energy use (the remainder is assumed electric) based on guidance published by the U.S. Energy Information Administration in the 2018 Commercial Buildings Energy Consumption Survey. We follow the WRI GHG protocol and this data has undergone limited assurance. For additional details, please refer to our 2025 Management Assertion.

Past year 2

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

697100

(7.6.2) End date

12/31/2023

(7.6.3) Methodological details

The operational control approach is used to account for GHG emissions from our facilities globally. All facilities under our operational control in 2025 were included. Facilities incorporated in the Environmental Data Collection (EDC) program are known as “EDC Sites”. All other company-owned and leased facilities are known as “Non-EDC” sites. Direct emissions are calculated for EDC sites. Direct emissions are estimated for Non-EDC sites based on square footage. EPA Climate Leaders GHG Inventory Protocol Emission Factors are used for mobile and stationary fuels. IPCC GWP factors, EPA Climate Leaders refrigerant GWP factors, and US EPA SNAP “Percentage Composition of Substitute Refrigerant Blends” are used for fugitive emissions, or manufacturer’s GWP factors are used if a GWP is not available based on IPCC and EPA sources. EPA Energy Star Site Energy Use Intensity factors are used for non-EDC sites. Inputs include combustion of fossil fuels, which includes fuel oil (fuel oil, gasoline, diesel fuel, kerosene, propane gas, and liquefied petroleum gas), natural gas, fleet fuel (jet fuel, gasoline, diesel, and biofuels [CH4 and N2O only]), biofuels for stationary use (CH4 and N2O only), on-site combustion of Waste and Solvents, Fugitive Emissions, and Non-EDC Sites square footage. Non-EDC site emissions estimates assume natural gas is used for heating, accounting for 33.3% of the site energy use (the remainder is assumed electric) based on guidance published by the U.S. Energy Information Administration in the 2018 Commercial Buildings Energy Consumption Survey. We follow the WRI GHG protocol and this data has undergone limited assurance. For additional details, please refer to our 2025 Management Assertion.

Past year 3

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

705500

(7.6.2) End date

12/31/2022

(7.6.3) Methodological details

The operational control approach is used to account for GHG emissions from our facilities globally. All facilities under our operational control in 2025 were included. Facilities incorporated in the Environmental Data Collection (EDC) program are known as “EDC Sites”. All other company-owned and leased facilities are known as “Non-EDC” sites. Direct emissions are calculated for EDC sites. Direct emissions are estimated for Non-EDC sites based on square footage. EPA Climate Leaders

GHG Inventory Protocol Emission Factors are used for mobile and stationary fuels. IPCC GWP factors, EPA Climate Leaders refrigerant GWP factors, and US EPA SNAP “Percentage Composition of Substitute Refrigerant Blends” are used for fugitive emissions, or manufacturer's GWP factors are used if a GWP is not available based on IPCC and EPA sources. EPA Energy Star Site Energy Use Intensity factors are used for non-EDC sites. Inputs include combustion of fossil fuels, which includes fuel oil (fuel oil, gasoline, diesel fuel, kerosene, propane gas, and liquefied petroleum gas), natural gas, fleet fuel (jet fuel, gasoline, diesel, and biofuels [CH₄ and N₂O only]), biofuels for stationary use (CH₄ and N₂O only), on-site combustion of Waste and Solvents, Fugitive Emissions, and Non-EDC Sites square footage. Non-EDC site emissions estimates assume natural gas is used for heating, accounting for 33.3% of the site energy use (the remainder is assumed electric) based on guidance published by the U.S. Energy Information Administration in the 2018 Commercial Buildings Energy Consumption Survey. We follow the WRI GHG protocol and this data has undergone limited assurance. For additional details, please refer to our 2025 Management Assertion.

Past year 4

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

674600

(7.6.2) End date

12/31/2021

(7.6.3) Methodological details

The operational control approach is used to account for GHG emissions from our facilities globally. All facilities under our operational control in 2025 were included. Facilities incorporated in the Environmental Data Collection (EDC) program are known as “EDC Sites”. All other company-owned and leased facilities are known as “Non-EDC” sites. Direct emissions are calculated for EDC sites. Direct emissions are estimated for Non-EDC sites based on square footage. EPA Climate Leaders GHG Inventory Protocol Emission Factors are used for mobile and stationary fuels. IPCC GWP factors, EPA Climate Leaders refrigerant GWP factors, and US EPA SNAP “Percentage Composition of Substitute Refrigerant Blends” are used for fugitive emissions, or manufacturer's GWP factors are used if a GWP is not available based on IPCC and EPA sources. EPA Energy Star Site Energy Use Intensity factors are used for non-EDC sites. Inputs include combustion of fossil fuels, which includes fuel oil (fuel oil, gasoline, diesel fuel, kerosene, propane gas, and liquefied petroleum gas), natural gas, fleet fuel (jet fuel, gasoline, diesel, and biofuels [CH₄ and N₂O only]), biofuels for stationary use (CH₄ and N₂O only), on-site combustion of Waste and Solvents, Fugitive Emissions, and Non-EDC Sites square footage. Non-EDC site emissions estimates assume natural gas is used for heating, accounting for 33.3% of the site energy use (the remainder is assumed electric) based on guidance published by the U.S. Energy Information Administration in the 2018 Commercial Buildings Energy Consumption Survey. We follow the WRI GHG protocol and this data has undergone limited assurance. For additional details, please refer to our 2025 Management Assertion.

[Fixed row]

(7.7) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO₂e?

Reporting year

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

303400

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

23800

(7.7.4) Methodological details

The operational control approach is used to account for GHG emissions from our facilities globally. All facilities under our operational control in 2025 were included. Facilities incorporated in the Environmental Data Collection (EDC) program are known as “EDC Sites”. All other company-owned and leased facilities are known as “Non-EDC” sites. Indirect emissions are calculated for EDC sites. Indirect emissions are estimated for Non-EDC sites based on square footage. US EPA Center for Corporate Leadership Emission factors for Greenhouse Gas Inventories, IEA, EU Residual Mix, and UK DESNZ are used for purchased electric emission factors and for the calculation of purchased cooling water emissions. EPA Climate Leaders GHG Inventory Protocol Emission Factors are used for purchased steam. EPA Energy Star Site Energy Use Intensity factors are used for non-EDC sites. Where market-based electric factors were not found according to the Greenhouse Gas Protocol, grid average factors were used. Inputs include consumption of purchased electric for sites and battery electric fleet vehicles, purchased cooling water, purchased steam, Non-EDC Sites square footage, and amount of electric utilized in equivalence to that generated by our VPPAs and EACs. Purchased cooling water emissions are calculated using energy star assumptions for electric consumption (and local purchased electric factors) based on the temperature demand (delta T) of the purchased cooling water. Non-EDC site emissions estimates assume electric accounts for 66.7% of the site energy use (the remainder is assumed natural gas) based on guidance published by the U.S. Energy Information Administration in the 2018 Commercial Buildings Energy Consumption Survey. We follow the WRI GHG protocol. For additional details, please refer to our 2025 Management Assertion.

Past year 1

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

324600

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

164400

(7.7.3) End date

(7.7.4) Methodological details

The operational control approach is used to account for GHG emissions from our facilities globally. All facilities under our operational control in 2025 were included. Facilities incorporated in the Environmental Data Collection (EDC) program are known as “EDC Sites”. All other company-owned and leased facilities are known as “Non-EDC” sites. Indirect emissions are calculated for EDC sites. Indirect emissions are estimated for Non-EDC sites based on square footage. US EPA Center for Corporate Leadership Emission factors for Greenhouse Gas Inventories, IEA, EU Residual Mix, and UK DESNZ are used for purchased electric emission factors and for the calculation of purchased cooling water emissions. EPA Climate Leaders GHG Inventory Protocol Emission Factors are used for purchased steam. EPA Energy Star Site Energy Use Intensity factors are used for non-EDC sites. Where market-based electric factors were not found according to the Greenhouse Gas Protocol, grid average factors were used. Inputs include consumption of purchased electric for sites and battery electric fleet vehicles, purchased cooling water, purchased steam, Non-EDC Sites square footage, and amount of electric utilized in equivalence to that generated by our VPPAs and EACs. Purchased cooling water emissions are calculated using energy star assumptions for electric consumption (and local purchased electric factors) based on the temperature demand (delta T) of the purchased cooling water. Non-EDC site emissions estimates assume electric accounts for 66.7% of the site energy use (the remainder is assumed natural gas) based on guidance published by the U.S. Energy Information Administration in the 2018 Commercial Buildings Energy Consumption Survey. We follow the WRI GHG protocol. For additional details, please refer to our 2025 Management Assertion.

Past year 2

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

340600

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

170600

(7.7.3) End date

12/31/2023

(7.7.4) Methodological details

The operational control approach is used to account for GHG emissions from our facilities globally. All facilities under our operational control in 2025 were included. Facilities incorporated in the Environmental Data Collection (EDC) program are known as “EDC Sites”. All other company-owned and leased facilities are known as “Non-EDC” sites. Indirect emissions are calculated for EDC sites. Indirect emissions are estimated for Non-EDC sites based on square footage. US EPA Center for Corporate Leadership Emission factors for Greenhouse Gas Inventories, IEA, EU Residual Mix, and UK DESNZ are used for purchased electric emission factors and

for the calculation of purchased cooling water emissions. EPA Climate Leaders GHG Inventory Protocol Emission Factors are used for purchased steam. EPA Energy Star Site Energy Use Intensity factors are used for non-EDC sites. Where market-based electric factors were not found according to the Greenhouse Gas Protocol, grid average factors were used. Inputs include consumption of purchased electric for sites and battery electric fleet vehicles, purchased cooling water, purchased steam, Non-EDC Sites square footage, and amount of electric utilized in equivalence to that generated by our VPPAs and EACs. Purchased cooling water emissions are calculated using energy star assumptions for electric consumption (and local purchased electric factors) based on the temperature demand (delta T) of the purchased cooling water. Non-EDC site emissions estimates assume electric accounts for 66.7% of the site energy use (the remainder is assumed natural gas) based on guidance published by the U.S. Energy Information Administration in the 2018 Commercial Buildings Energy Consumption Survey. We follow the WRI GHG protocol. For additional details, please refer to our 2025 Management Assertion.

Past year 3

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

350600

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

217500

(7.7.3) End date

12/31/2022

(7.7.4) Methodological details

The operational control approach is used to account for GHG emissions from our facilities globally. All facilities under our operational control in 2025 were included. Facilities incorporated in the Environmental Data Collection (EDC) program are known as “EDC Sites”. All other company-owned and leased facilities are known as “Non-EDC” sites. Indirect emissions are calculated for EDC sites. Indirect emissions are estimated for Non-EDC sites based on square footage. US EPA Center for Corporate Leadership Emission factors for Greenhouse Gas Inventories, IEA, EU Residual Mix, and UK DESNZ are used for purchased electric emission factors and for the calculation of purchased cooling water emissions. EPA Climate Leaders GHG Inventory Protocol Emission Factors are used for purchased steam. EPA Energy Star Site Energy Use Intensity factors are used for non-EDC sites. Where market-based electric factors were not found according to the Greenhouse Gas Protocol, grid average factors were used. Inputs include consumption of purchased electric for sites and battery electric fleet vehicles, purchased cooling water, purchased steam, Non-EDC Sites square footage, and amount of electric utilized in equivalence to that generated by our VPPAs and EACs. Purchased cooling water emissions are calculated using energy star assumptions for electric consumption (and local purchased electric factors) based on the temperature demand (delta T) of the purchased cooling water. Non-EDC site emissions estimates assume electric accounts for 66.7% of the site energy use (the remainder is assumed natural gas) based on guidance published by the U.S. Energy Information Administration in the 2018 Commercial Buildings Energy Consumption Survey. We follow the WRI GHG protocol. For additional details, please refer to our 2025 Management Assertion.

Past year 4

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

375600

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

240900

(7.7.3) End date

12/31/2021

(7.7.4) Methodological details

The operational control approach is used to account for GHG emissions from our facilities globally. All facilities under our operational control in 2025 were included. Facilities incorporated in the Environmental Data Collection (EDC) program are known as “EDC Sites”. All other company-owned and leased facilities are known as “Non-EDC” sites. Indirect emissions are calculated for EDC sites. Indirect emissions are estimated for Non-EDC sites based on square footage. US EPA Center for Corporate Leadership Emission factors for Greenhouse Gas Inventories, IEA, EU Residual Mix, and UK DESNZ are used for purchased electric emission factors and for the calculation of purchased cooling water emissions. EPA Climate Leaders GHG Inventory Protocol Emission Factors are used for purchased steam. EPA Energy Star Site Energy Use Intensity factors are used for non-EDC sites. Where market-based electric factors were not found according to the Greenhouse Gas Protocol, grid average factors were used. Inputs include consumption of purchased electric for sites and battery electric fleet vehicles, purchased cooling water, purchased steam, Non-EDC Sites square footage, and amount of electric utilized in equivalence to that generated by our VPPAs and EACs. Purchased cooling water emissions are calculated using energy star assumptions for electric consumption (and local purchased electric factors) based on the temperature demand (delta T) of the purchased cooling water. Non-EDC site emissions estimates assume electric accounts for 66.7% of the site energy use (the remainder is assumed natural gas) based on guidance published by the U.S. Energy Information Administration in the 2018 Commercial Buildings Energy Consumption Survey. We follow the WRI GHG protocol. For additional details, please refer to our 2025 Management Assertion.

[Fixed row]

(7.8) Account for your organization’s gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.

Purchased goods and services

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

3527300

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Hybrid method

☒ Spend-based method

☒ Supplier-specific method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

48

(7.8.6) Please explain

Based on vendor activity data where available, or economic input-output modelling using spend data and CEDA and IPCC emission factors.

Capital goods

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

260300

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

- ☒ Average spend-based method
- ☒ Hybrid method
- ☒ Supplier-specific method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

12

(7.8.6) Please explain

Based on vendor activity data where available, or economic input-output modelling using spend data and CEDA and IPCC emission factors.

Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

- ☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

205100

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

- ☒ Other, please specify :Fuel-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

100

(7.8.6) Please explain

Based on primary fuel and energy-use data and emission factors from IPCC, DESNZ, IEA, Australian NGA, Green-e® Residual Mix, AIB European Residual Mix, New Zealand Measuring Emissions Guide, and EPA eGRID

Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

219400

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Spend-based method

☒ Other, please specify :Supplier-specific method Hybrid method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

37

(7.8.6) Please explain

Based on vendor activity data where available, or economic input-output modelling using spend data and CEDA and IPCC emission factors.

Waste generated in operations

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

81400

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Waste-type-specific method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

100

(7.8.6) Please explain

Based on primary waste data and emission factors from IPCC and DESNZ.

Business travel

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

158000

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Other, please specify :Hybrid method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

(7.8.6) Please explain

Based on primary travel vendor data with Thrust Carbon Calculations or employee-reimbursable mileage with emission factors from EPA Emissions Factor Hub, DESNZ, Australian NGA, and New Zealand Measuring Emissions Guide.

Employee commuting

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

92200

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Distance-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

100

(7.8.6) Please explain

Based on number of employees and emission factors from EPA Emission Factor Hub and DESNZ. Calculations consider commuting days, on-site EV chargers, and vanpool, where data is available.

Upstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Reason for this category not being relevant

Select from:

☒ Emissions covered under scope 1 or 2

(7.8.6) Please explain

Not applicable. We account for leased assets that are used for direct business activities as part of our direct Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions calculations. No other upstream leased assets have been identified at this time

Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

8000

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Other, please specify :Hybrid method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

50

(7.8.6) Please explain

Based on activity data for the products shipped via our wholesalers and emission factors from DESNZ and Ecoinvent.

Processing of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Reason for this category not being relevant

Select from:

☒ Not applicable to our organization's activities

(7.8.6) Please explain

Not applicable. Our company makes pharmaceutical products for human or animal health. These products are typically ingested, injected or applied topically.

Use of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

19900

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Other, please specify :Average Product method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

(7.8.6) Please explain

Due to recent acquisitions, we are currently evaluating the applicability of additional products to this category. Includes Animal Health products ENGEMYCIN® (oxytetracycline), NEO SPRAY CAF® (oxytetracyclinum), OXYTETRIN® LA (oxytetracycline), as well as U.S.A. sales of Biomark, Hyperinfusion, Falcon, and Vaki products. GHG Emissions are based on amount of propellant per product sold and IPCC emission factors or by lifetime energy consumption and IEA emission factors. In 2025, we added Vaki products, 2019-2025 performance data were updated.

End of life treatment of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

42600

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Waste-type-specific method

☒ Other, please specify :Spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.6) Please explain

Based on packaging material data and emission factors from IPCC and DESNZ. Calculations assume all primary, secondary, and tertiary packaging purchased is disposed of by our customers.

Downstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Reason for this category not being relevant

Select from:

☒ Not applicable to our organization's activities

(7.8.6) Please explain

Not applicable. Our company does not utilize downstream leased assets.

Franchises

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

17500

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Other, please specify :Hybrid method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

25

(7.8.6) Please explain

Based on revenue data for licensed products and the Scope 1 and 2 portions of CEDA emission factors.

Investments

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Reason for this category not being relevant

Select from:

☒ Not applicable to our organization's activities

(7.8.6) Please explain

Not applicable. As per the Greenhouse Gas Protocol, we do not believe we qualify as an Investor.

Other (upstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Reason for this category not being relevant

Select from:

☒ Not applicable to our organization's activities

(7.8.6) Please explain

Not applicable. Does not apply to our company.

Other (downstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Reason for this category not being relevant

Select from:

☒ Not applicable to our organization's activities

(7.8.6) Please explain

Not applicable. Does not apply to our company.

[Fixed row]

(7.8.1) Disclose or restate your Scope 3 emissions data for previous years.

Past year 1

(7.8.1.1) End date

12/31/2024

(7.8.1.2) Scope 3: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)

3391000

(7.8.1.3) Scope 3: Capital goods (metric tons CO2e)

221400

(7.8.1.4) Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)

208600

(7.8.1.5) Scope 3: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

225000

(7.8.1.6) Scope 3: Waste generated in operations (metric tons CO2e)

93500

(7.8.1.7) Scope 3: Business travel (metric tons CO2e)

199000

(7.8.1.8) Scope 3: Employee commuting (metric tons CO2e)

92200

(7.8.1.9) Scope 3: Upstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.10) Scope 3: Downstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

8200

(7.8.1.11) Scope 3: Processing of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.12) Scope 3: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

18600

(7.8.1.13) Scope 3: End of life treatment of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

47500

(7.8.1.14) Scope 3: Downstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.15) Scope 3: Franchises (metric tons CO2e)

13100

(7.8.1.16) Scope 3: Investments (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.17) Scope 3: Other (upstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.18) Scope 3: Other (downstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.19) Comment

We re-baselined due to updates in our methodology from the launch of a new digital platform for Scope 3 GHG Emissions calculations. Our 2019-2025 Scope 3 performance data were updated.

Past year 2

(7.8.1.1) End date

12/31/2023

(7.8.1.2) Scope 3: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)

3611300

(7.8.1.3) Scope 3: Capital goods (metric tons CO2e)

319500

(7.8.1.4) Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)

209800

(7.8.1.5) Scope 3: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

228000

(7.8.1.6) Scope 3: Waste generated in operations (metric tons CO2e)

85800

(7.8.1.7) Scope 3: Business travel (metric tons CO2e)

204100

(7.8.1.8) Scope 3: Employee commuting (metric tons CO2e)

90900

(7.8.1.9) Scope 3: Upstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.10) Scope 3: Downstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

16200

(7.8.1.11) Scope 3: Processing of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.12) Scope 3: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

23600

(7.8.1.13) Scope 3: End of life treatment of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

59600

(7.8.1.14) Scope 3: Downstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.15) Scope 3: Franchises (metric tons CO2e)

8900

(7.8.1.16) Scope 3: Investments (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.17) Scope 3: Other (upstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.18) Scope 3: Other (downstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.19) Comment

We re-baselined due to updates in our methodology from the launch of a new digital platform for Scope 3 GHG Emissions calculations. Our 2019-2025 Scope 3 performance data were updated.

Past year 3

(7.8.1.1) End date

12/31/2022

(7.8.1.2) Scope 3: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)

3690200

(7.8.1.3) Scope 3: Capital goods (metric tons CO2e)

360000

(7.8.1.4) Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)

216500

(7.8.1.5) Scope 3: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

246400

(7.8.1.6) Scope 3: Waste generated in operations (metric tons CO2e)

108500

(7.8.1.7) Scope 3: Business travel (metric tons CO2e)

64600

(7.8.1.8) Scope 3: Employee commuting (metric tons CO2e)

67000

(7.8.1.9) Scope 3: Upstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.10) Scope 3: Downstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

87100

(7.8.1.11) Scope 3: Processing of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.12) Scope 3: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

25500

(7.8.1.13) Scope 3: End of life treatment of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

66800

(7.8.1.14) Scope 3: Downstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.15) Scope 3: Franchises (metric tons CO2e)

6900

(7.8.1.16) Scope 3: Investments (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.17) Scope 3: Other (upstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.18) Scope 3: Other (downstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.19) Comment

We re-baselined due to updates in our methodology from the launch of a new digital platform for Scope 3 GHG Emissions calculations. Our 2019-2025 Scope 3 performance data were updated.

Past year 4

(7.8.1.1) End date

12/31/2021

(7.8.1.2) Scope 3: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)

4136000

(7.8.1.3) Scope 3: Capital goods (metric tons CO2e)

438400

(7.8.1.4) Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)

218900

(7.8.1.5) Scope 3: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

229000

(7.8.1.6) Scope 3: Waste generated in operations (metric tons CO2e)

103300

(7.8.1.7) Scope 3: Business travel (metric tons CO2e)

36500

(7.8.1.8) Scope 3: Employee commuting (metric tons CO2e)

67700

(7.8.1.9) Scope 3: Upstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.10) Scope 3: Downstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

134800

(7.8.1.11) Scope 3: Processing of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.12) Scope 3: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

16200

(7.8.1.13) Scope 3: End of life treatment of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

137100

(7.8.1.14) Scope 3: Downstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.15) Scope 3: Franchises (metric tons CO2e)

4000

(7.8.1.16) Scope 3: Investments (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.17) Scope 3: Other (upstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.18) Scope 3: Other (downstream) (metric tons CO2e)

(7.8.1.19) Comment

We re-baselined due to updates in our methodology from the launch of a new digital platform for Scope 3 GHG Emissions calculations. Our 2019-2025 Scope 3 performance data were updated.

[Fixed row]

(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.

	Verification/assurance status
Scope 1	Select from: ☒ Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 2 (location-based or market-based)	Select from: ☒ Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 3	Select from: ☒ Third-party verification or assurance process in place

[Fixed row]

(7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.1.1) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☒ Annual process

(7.9.1.2) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☒ Complete

(7.9.1.3) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☒ Limited assurance

(7.9.1.4) Attach the statement

[ESG Attest - Report of Independent Accountants - FINAL.pdf](#)

(7.9.1.5) Page/section reference

pages 1-9

(7.9.1.6) Relevant standard

Select all that apply

☒ Attestation standards established by AICPA (AT105)

(7.9.1.7) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

[Add row]

(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:

☒ Scope 2 location-based

(7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☒ Annual process

(7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☒ Complete

(7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☒ Limited assurance

(7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

[FY25 ESG Attest - Report of Independent Accountants - FINAL.pdf](#)

(7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

pages 1-9

(7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select all that apply

☒ Attestation standards established by AICPA (AT105)

(7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

Row 2**(7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach***Select from:*☒ Scope 2 market-based**(7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place***Select from:*☒ Annual process**(7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year***Select from:*☒ Complete**(7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance***Select from:*☒ Limited assurance**(7.9.2.5) Attach the statement** [FY25 ESG Attest - Report of Independent Accountants - FINAL.pdf](#)**(7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference***pages 1-9***(7.9.2.7) Relevant standard***Select all that apply*

- ☒ Attestation standards established by AICPA (AT105)

(7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

[Add row]

(7.9.3) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 3 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.3.1) Scope 3 category

Select all that apply

- ☒ Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)
- ☒ Scope 3: Business travel

(7.9.3.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

- ☒ Annual process

(7.9.3.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

- ☒ Complete

(7.9.3.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

- ☒ Limited assurance

(7.9.3.5) Attach the statement

 [ESG Attest - Report of Independent Accountants - FINAL.pdf](#)

(7.9.3.6) Page/section reference

pages 1-9

(7.9.3.7) Relevant standard

Select all that apply

☒ Attestation standards established by AICPA (AT105)

(7.9.3.8) Proportion of selected emissions categories verified (%)

100

(7.9.3.9) Proportion of total reported scope 3 emissions verified (%)

8

[Add row]

(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?

Select from:

☒ Decreased

(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.

Change in renewable energy consumption

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

91100

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

10.6

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

*Our company total Scope 1 & 2 global gross emissions were 708,300 metric tons of CO₂e in the current reporting year (2025), and 855,800 metric tons of CO₂e in the previous reporting year (2024). Emissions reduced 147,500 metric tons of CO₂e, equal to a 17.2% decrease, according to the formula in the explanation of terms: $(-147,500/855,800) * 100 = 17.2\%$ reduction. Emissions change due to renewables: $(-91,100/855,800)*100 = -10.6\%$. This represents an 10.6% decrease in emissions due to renewables.*

Other emissions reduction activities

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

56400

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

6.6

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Our company total Scope 1 & 2 global gross emissions were 708,300 metric tons of CO2e in the current reporting year (2025), and 855,800 metric tons of CO2e in the previous reporting year (2024). Emissions reduced 147,500 metric tons of CO2e, equal to a 17.2% decrease, according to the formula in the explanation of terms, above: $(-174,500/855,800) * 100 = 17.2\%$ reduction. Emissions change due to other reduction activities: $(-54,400/855,800)*100\% = -6.6\%$. This represents a 6.6% decrease in emissions from reduction activities. These reduction activities include reduced fugitive emissions, site fuel consumption, and scope 2 energy consumption.

Divestment

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A

Acquisitions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A

Mergers

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A

Change in output

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A

Change in methodology

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A, change in emissions factors resulted in a <1% difference in the gross global emissions.

Change in boundary

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A

Change in physical operating conditions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A

Unidentified

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A

Other

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

147600

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

17

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

XXXXXX

[Fixed row]

(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?

Select from:

☒ Market-based

(7.12) Does your organization have significant land sector activities in its operations or value chain?

(7.12.1) Agriculture, forestry or other land sector activities

Select from:

☒ Yes, in both our direct operations and our upstream/downstream value chain

(7.12.2) Indicate if these activities are only related to forest lands and/or non-productive, non-forest lands

Select from:

☒ Yes, these activities are only related to forest lands and/or non-productive, non-forest lands

(7.12.3) Specify the reason for no significant activities

Select from:

☒ Activities related to agricultural lands identified but evaluated to be below the significance threshold

(7.12.4) Significance threshold

Our Company's FLAG-related emissions in our GHG inventory are in our scope 3 and come from the small quantities of paper purchased for our offices, secondary packaging of our products and growth media. FLAG emissions are limited to the emissions associated with those purchases. Our estimated FLAG emissions, relative to total scope 1, 2 and 3 emissions are less than 3%. We utilized the SBTi recommendation of 20% as being the significant threshold across all scopes. This threshold was discussed with SBTi during review of our Net Zero target application.

[Fixed row]

(7.12.2) Provide the emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization in metric tons CO2.

	CO2 emissions from biogenic carbon (metric tons CO2)	Comment
	1125	Emissions reported here are from direct sources that uses bio-mass. The N2O and CH4 content are reported as part of our Scope 1.

[Fixed row]

(7.13) Does your organization choose to account for and report technological CO2 removals or captured CO2 with geologic storage, or have you done so in previous years?

	Technological CO2 removals or captured CO2 with geologic storage in the reporting year	Specify the reason for no technological CO2 removals or captured CO2 with geological storage in the reporting year	Technological CO2 removals or captured CO2 with geologic storage were accounted for and reported in previous years
	Select from: ☒ No, explanation provided	Select from: ☒ No relevant activities identified	Select from: ☒ No

[Fixed row]

(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 and/or Scope 2 emissions by greenhouse gas type?

(7.15.1) Emissions breakdown by greenhouse gas type

Select from:

☒ Yes, Scope 1 emissions only

[Fixed row]

(7.15.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions by greenhouse gas type and provide the source of each used global warming potential (GWP).

Row 1

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

☒ CO2

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

636900

(7.15.1.3) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

636900

(7.15.1.8) GWP Reference

Select from:

☒ IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6 - 100 year)

Row 2

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

☒ CH4

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

15

(7.15.1.3) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

400

(7.15.1.8) GWP Reference

Select from:

☒ IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6 - 100 year)

Row 3

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

☒ N2O

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

2

(7.15.1.3) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

500

(7.15.1.8) GWP Reference

Select from:

☒ IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6 - 100 year)

Row 4

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

☒ HFCs

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

18

(7.15.1.3) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

46700

(7.15.1.8) GWP Reference

Select from:

☒ IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6 - 100 year)

[Add row]

(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area.

Algeria

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Argentina

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1400

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

750

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Australia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1030

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1500

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Austria

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3760

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1730

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Belarus

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Belgium

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

800

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

180

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

80

Bermuda

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Bosnia and Herzegovina

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

10

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

10

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Brazil

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

5330

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

930

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Bulgaria

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

250

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

20

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Canada

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1760

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

390

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Chile

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1010

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

300

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3880

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

17290

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1380

China, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

20

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

110

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

China, Macao Special Administrative Region

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Colombia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1460

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

240

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1

Costa Rica

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

530

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

30

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Croatia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

220

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

10

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Cyprus

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

80

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

50

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Czechia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

760

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

930

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Denmark

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

220

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

70

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

100

Dominican Republic

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Ecuador

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

160

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

20

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Egypt

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

10

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

60

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Estonia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

20

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

10

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Finland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

120

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

10

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

60

France

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2770

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

720

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2

Germany

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

11220

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

7150

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

890

Greece

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

500

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

140

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

50

Guatemala

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

110

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

10

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Honduras

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Hungary

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

700

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Iceland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

30

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

India

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

60

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1450

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Indonesia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

60

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

180

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Ireland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

29670

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

20010

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

60

Israel

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1140

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

850

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Italy

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4550

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

450

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

10

Japan

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

8170

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

8270

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Jordan

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

10

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

30

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Korea (The Republic of)

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

210

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

240

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Latvia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

40

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

10

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

10

Lebanon

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

10

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

60

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Lithuania

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

70

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

10

Luxembourg

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Malaysia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

60

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

420

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Mexico

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1560

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

780

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Morocco

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

80

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

50

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Netherlands

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

11560

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

13160

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

750

New Zealand

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2880

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

730

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Norway

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

30

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

10

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

50

Panama

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

330

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

60

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Peru

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

450

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Philippines

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

460

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

230

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Poland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2060

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1830

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Portugal

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

770

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

40

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

10

Puerto Rico

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6830

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

15550

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Republic of North Macedonia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

10

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Romania

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

960

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

250

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1

Russian Federation

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

210

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

140

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Saudi Arabia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

20

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

120

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Serbia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

250

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

80

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Singapore

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

56480

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

22210

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

9630

Slovakia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

230

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

10

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Slovenia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

60

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

40

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

40

South Africa

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

380

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4580

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Spain

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

7130

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2440

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

20

Sweden

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

180

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

20

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

20

Switzerland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3170

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

330

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Taiwan, China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

130

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

280

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Thailand

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

220

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

140

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Türkiye

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1110

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

160

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Ukraine

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

280

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

50

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

United Arab Emirates

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

30

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

United Kingdom

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4120

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2110

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

410

United States of America

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

498742

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

172058

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

10216

Uruguay

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1310

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

20

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Viet Nam

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

260

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1070

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

[Fixed row]

(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply

☒ By activity

(7.17.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business activity.

	Activity	Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	Direct Aviation Fuel Combustion	6700
Row 2	Direct Stationary Combustion	562300
Row 3	Direct Mobile Combustion	68800
Row 4	Direct Fugitive Emissions	46700

[Add row]

(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply

☒ By activity

(7.20.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business activity.

	Activity	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	Cooling Water	2800	2800
Row 2	Purchased Electric	281500	1800
Row 3	Purchased Steam	19200	19200

[Add row]

(7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response.

Consolidated accounting group

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

684500

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

303400

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

23800

(7.22.4) Please explain

Emissions reported for 2025 do not include any other entities.

All other entities

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.4) Please explain

Emissions reported for 2025 do not include any other entities.

[Fixed row]

(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.26) Allocate your emissions to your customers listed below according to the goods or services you have sold them in this reporting period.

Row 1

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☒ Zoetis Inc

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☒ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

0

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

0

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

0

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

N/A

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

There were no product sales to this requesting member during 2025.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

Our company has used our own (primary) data. This information is published in our Impact Report. The latest report can be found on our Sustainability Resources page.

Row 2

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☒ Zoetis Inc

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☒ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

0

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

0

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

0

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

N/A

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

There were no product sales to this requesting member during 2025.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

Our company has used our own (primary) data. This information is published in our Impact Report. The latest report can be found on our Sustainability Resources page.

Row 3

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☒ Philip Morris International Inc.

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☒ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

0

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

0

(7.26.10) Uncertainty ($\pm\%$)

0

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

N/A

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

There were no product sales to this requesting member during 2025.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

Our company has used our own (primary) data. This information is published in our Impact Report. The latest report can be found on our Sustainability Resources page.

Row 4

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☒ Philip Morris International Inc.

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☒ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

0

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

0

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

0

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

N/A

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

There were no product sales to this requesting member during 2025.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

Our company has used our own (primary) data. This information is published in our Impact Report. The latest report can be found on our Sustainability Resources page.

Row 5

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☒ UnitedHealth Group Incorporated

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☒ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

0

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

0

(7.26.10) Uncertainty ($\pm\%$)

0

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

N/A

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

There were no product sales to this requesting member during 2025.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

Our company has used our own (primary) data. This information is published in our Impact Report. The latest report can be found on our Sustainability Resources page.

Row 6

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☒ UnitedHealth Group Incorporated

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☒ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

0

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

0

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

N/A

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?*Select from:*☒ No**(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made***There were no product sales to this requesting member during 2025.***(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference***Our company has used our own (primary) data. This information is published in our Impact Report. The latest report can be found on our Sustainability Resources page.***Row 7****(7.26.1) Requesting member***Select from:*☒ Loblaw Companies Limited**(7.26.2) Scope of emissions***Select from:*☒ Scope 1**(7.26.4) Allocation level**

Select from:

☒ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☒ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

0

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

0

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

0

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

N/A

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

There were no product sales to this requesting member during 2025.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

Our company has used our own (primary) data. This information is published in our Impact Report. The latest report can be found on our Sustainability Resources page.

Row 8

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☒ Loblaw Companies Limited

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☒ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

0

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

0

(7.26.10) Uncertainty ($\pm\%$)

0

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

N/A

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

There were no product sales to this requesting member during 2025.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

Our company has used our own (primary) data. This information is published in our Impact Report. The latest report can be found on our Sustainability Resources page.

Row 9

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☒ Daiichi Sankyo Co., Ltd.

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☒ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

0

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

0

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

N/A

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?*Select from:*☒ No**(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made***There were no product sales to this requesting member during 2025.***(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference***Our company has used our own (primary) data. This information is published in our Impact Report. The latest report can be found on our Sustainability Resources page.***Row 10****(7.26.1) Requesting member***Select from:*☒ Daiichi Sankyo Co., Ltd.**(7.26.2) Scope of emissions***Select from:*☒ Scope 2: market-based**(7.26.4) Allocation level**

Select from:

☒ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☒ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

0

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

0

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

0

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

N/A

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

There were no product sales to this requesting member during 2025.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

Our company has used our own (primary) data. This information is published in our Impact Report. The latest report can be found on our Sustainability Resources page.

Row 11

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☒ CVS Health

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☒ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

1895309371

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

19956

(7.26.10) Uncertainty ($\pm\%$)

20

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

These emissions are associated with manufacturing of ethical pharmaceutical products at our facilities. Our facilities use natural gas, fuel oils and a small but increasing amount of solar to produce electricity and steam locally. We also use fuel in our sales fleet and have fugitive emissions from our facilities. Electricity and steam are used to provide heating, ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC), refrigeration, lighting, water for production and to power manufacturing and environmental control equipment.

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

GHG sources are all company Scope 1 sources. The allocation is spend-based, company total emissions allocated by portion of sales to the requestor compared to total company revenue.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

Our company has used our own (primary) data. This information is published in our Impact Report. The latest report can be found on our Sustainability Resources page.

Row 12

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☒ CVS Health

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☒ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

1895309371

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

694

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

20

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

These Scope 2 market-based emissions are associated with purchased energy (electricity and steam) associated with manufacturing ethical pharmaceutical products at our facilities. Electricity and steam are used to provide HVAC, refrigeration, lighting, water for production and to power manufacturing and environmental control equipment. Electricity is also used in our battery electric fleet vehicles.

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

GHG sources are all company Scope 2 MB sources. The allocation is spend-based, company total emissions allocated by portion of sales to the requestor compared to total company revenue.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

Our company has used our own (primary) data. This information is published in our Impact Report. The latest report can be found on our Sustainability Resources page.

Row 13

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☒ Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Limited

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☒ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

0

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

0

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

0

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

N/A

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

There were no product sales to this requesting member during 2025.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

Our company has used our own (primary) data. This information is published in our Impact Report. The latest report can be found on our Sustainability Resources page.

Row 14

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☒ Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Limited

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☒ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

0

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

0

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

0

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

N/A

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

There were no product sales to this requesting member during 2025.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

Our company has used our own (primary) data. This information is published in our Impact Report. The latest report can be found on our Sustainability Resources page.

Row 15

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☒ Zoetis Inc

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 2: location-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☒ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

0

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

0

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

N/A

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?*Select from:*☒ No**(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made***There were no product sales to this requesting member during 2025.***(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference***Our company has used our own (primary) data. This information is published in our Impact Report. The latest report can be found on our Sustainability Resources page.***Row 16****(7.26.1) Requesting member***Select from:*☒ Philip Morris International Inc.**(7.26.2) Scope of emissions***Select from:*☒ Scope 2: location-based**(7.26.4) Allocation level**

Select from:

☒ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☒ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

0

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

0

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

0

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

N/A

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

There were no product sales to this requesting member during 2025.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

Our company has used our own (primary) data. This information is published in our Impact Report. The latest report can be found on our Sustainability Resources page.

Row 17

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☒ UnitedHealth Group Incorporated

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 2: location-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☒ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

0

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

0

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

0

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

N/A

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

There were no product sales to this requesting member during 2025.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

Our company has used our own (primary) data. This information is published in our Impact Report. The latest report can be found on our Sustainability Resources page.

Row 18

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☒ Loblaw Companies Limited

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 2: location-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☒ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

0

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

0

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

N/A

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?*Select from:*☒ No**(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made***There were no product sales to this requesting member during 2025.***(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference***Our company has used our own (primary) data. This information is published in our Impact Report. The latest report can be found on our Sustainability Resources page.***Row 19****(7.26.1) Requesting member***Select from:*☒ Daiichi Sankyo Co., Ltd.**(7.26.2) Scope of emissions***Select from:*☒ Scope 2: location-based**(7.26.4) Allocation level**

Select from:

☒ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☒ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

0

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

0

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

0

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

N/A

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

There were no product sales to this requesting member during 2025.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

Our company has used our own (primary) data. This information is published in our Impact Report. The latest report can be found on our Sustainability Resources page.

Row 20

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☒ CVS Health

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 2: location-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☒ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

1895309371

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

8845

(7.26.10) Uncertainty ($\pm\%$)

20

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

These Scope 2 location-based emissions are associated with purchased energy (electricity and steam) associated with manufacturing ethical pharmaceutical products at our facilities. Electricity and steam are used to provide HVAC, refrigeration, lighting, water for production and to power manufacturing and environmental control equipment. Electricity is also used in our battery electric fleet vehicles.

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

GHG sources are all company Scope 2 LB sources. The allocation is spend-based, company total emissions allocated by portion of sales to the requestor compared to total company revenue.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

Our company has used our own (primary) data. This information is published in our Impact Report. The latest report can be found on our Sustainability Resources page.

Row 21

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☒ Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Limited

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☒ Scope 2: location-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☒ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☒ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☒ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

0

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

0

(7.26.10) Uncertainty ($\pm\%$)

0

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

N/A

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

There were no product sales to this requesting member during 2025.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

Our company has used our own (primary) data. This information is published in our Impact Report. The latest report can be found on our Sustainability Resources page.

[Add row]

(7.27) What are the challenges in allocating emissions to different customers, and what would help you to overcome these challenges?

Row 1

(7.27.1) Allocation challenges

Select from:

☒ Diversity of product lines makes accurately accounting for each product/product line cost ineffective

(7.27.2) Please explain what would help you overcome these challenges

At this time, our company has not identified any cost benefits or reduction advantages from evaluating greenhouse gas emissions based on individual product lines. Reductions of emissions are managed more effectively at the facility level since each product has its own unique energy needs and often complex supply chain. Detailed life cycle assessment of our products would need to be performed before product-by-product emissions could be allocated to customers or specific product lines.

[Add row]

(7.28) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?

(7.28.1) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.28.2) Describe how you plan to develop your capabilities

Our company has estimated the upstream greenhouse gas emissions in our supply chain through an economic input/output analysis. Our company is gathering product use data for specific products that require electricity during usage, as reported in our Scope 3 emissions. We are also developing capabilities to perform life cycle assessments (LCA) at the product level. LCA tools may be used to analyze the life cycle environmental impacts of our manufacturing processes and could be used to help reduce the impacts of future products. We are in the early stages of this analysis and more detailed life cycle assessments would need to be performed before we could allocate greenhouse gas emissions by product line to specific customers.

[Fixed row]

(7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?

Select from:

☒ More than 0% but less than or equal to 5%

(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstocks)	Select from: ☒ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity	Select from: ☒ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired heat	Select from: ☒ No
Consumption of purchased or acquired steam	Select from: ☒ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling	Select from: ☒ Yes
Generation of electricity, heat, steam, or cooling	Select from: ☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(7.30.1) Report your organization's energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.

Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstock)

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ HHV (higher heating value)

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

3410300

(7.30.1.5) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

3410300.00

Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

985477

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

5054

(7.30.1.4) MWh from non-renewable zero or near-zero emission sources

0

(7.30.1.5) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

990531.00

Consumption of purchased or acquired steam

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

92600

(7.30.1.5) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

92600.00

Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

7600

(7.30.1.5) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

7600.00

Consumption of self-generated non-fuel renewable energy

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

20700

(7.30.1.5) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

20700.00

Total energy consumption

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

1006177

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

3515554

(7.30.1.4) MWh from non-renewable zero or near-zero emission sources

0

(7.30.1.5) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

4521731.00

[Fixed row]

(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization's consumption of fuel.

	Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application
Consumption of fuel for the generation of electricity	Select from: ☒ Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of heat	Select from: ☒ Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of steam	Select from: ☒ Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of cooling	Select from: ☒ No
Consumption of fuel for co-generation or tri-generation	Select from: ☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.

Sustainable biomass

(7.30.7.1) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.2) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.7) Comment

Did not use

Other biomass

(7.30.7.1) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

4000

(7.30.7.2) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

4000

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.7) Comment

bio diesel

Other renewable fuels (e.g. renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.2) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.7) Comment

Did not use

Coal

(7.30.7.1) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.2) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.7) Comment

Did not use

Oil

(7.30.7.1) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

358400

(7.30.7.2) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

45300

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

313100

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.7) Comment

Includes Number 2 fuel oil, kerosene, diesel, gasoline

Gas

(7.30.7.1) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

3032000

(7.30.7.2) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

36700

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

11600

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

619800

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

2363900

(7.30.7.7) Comment

Natural gas

Other non-renewable fuels (e.g. non-renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

15900

(7.30.7.2) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

15900

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.7) Comment

Includes LPG and Propane gas

Total fuel

(7.30.7.1) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

3410300

(7.30.7.2) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

82000

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

340600

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

623800

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

2363900

(7.30.7.7) Comment

N/A

[Fixed row]

(7.30.9) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and cooling your organization has generated and consumed in the reporting year.

Electricity

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

417056

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

412688

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

20956

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

20688

Heat

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

Steam

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

2673600

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

2673600

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

Cooling

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

[Fixed row]

(7.30.14) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year.

Algeria

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Argentina

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

2740

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

170

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

2910.00

Australia

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

2603

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

250

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

2500

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

5353.00

Austria

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

17276

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

620

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

17920

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

35816.00

Belarus

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Belgium

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1204

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

310

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1514.00

Bermuda

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

28

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

10

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

38.00

Bosnia and Herzegovina

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

8

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

4

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

12.00

Brazil

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

13499

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

480

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

13979.00

Bulgaria

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

97

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

147.00

Canada**(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)**

3738

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

1870

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

5608.00

Chile**(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)**

1590

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

790

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

2380.00

China

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

29238

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

6090

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

15440

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

50768.00

China, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

176

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

90

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

266.00

China, Macao Special Administrative Region

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

5

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

2

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

7.00

Colombia

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

961

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

480

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1441.00

Costa Rica

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

415

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

210

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

625.00

Croatia

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

106

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

50

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

156.00

Cyprus

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

82

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

40

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

122.00

Czechia

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

2723

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

1360

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

4083.00

Denmark

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1323

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

550

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1873.00

Dominican Republic

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Ecuador

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

95

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

50

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

145.00

Egypt

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

149

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

70

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

219.00

Estonia

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

30

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

20

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

50.00

Finland

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

136

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

136.00

France

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

18541

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

4430

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

22971.00

Germany

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

24044

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

3660

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

1

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

34880

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

62585.00

Greece

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

498

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

180

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

678.00

Guatemala

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

35

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

20

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

55.00

Honduras

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Hungary

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

645

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

320

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

965.00

Iceland

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

359

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

180

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

539.00

India

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1924

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

290

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

2214.00

Indonesia

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

243

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

120

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

363.00

Ireland

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

86701

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

22496

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

138679

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

247876.00

Israel

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

2342

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

360

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

2702.00

Italy

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

2108

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

2860

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

5930

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

10898.00

Japan

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

18624

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

18480

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

37104.00

Jordan

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

59

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

30

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

89.00

Korea (The Republic of)

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

581

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

290

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

871.00

Latvia

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

72

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

30

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

102.00

Lebanon

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

113

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

60

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

173.00

Lithuania

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

50

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

20

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

70.00

Luxembourg

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

12

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

10

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

22.00

Malaysia

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

674

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

340

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1014.00

Mexico

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1865

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

930

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

2795.00

Morocco

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

72

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

40

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

112.00

Netherlands

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

56886

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

1340

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

3290

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

57659

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

119175.00

New Zealand

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

6255

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

9730

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

15985.00

Norway

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1309

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

30

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1339.00

Panama

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

186

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

90

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

276.00

Peru

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Philippines

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

294

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

150

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

444.00

Poland

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

3866

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

1300

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

5166.00

Portugal

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

549

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

260

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

809.00

Puerto Rico

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

22128

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

17860

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

39988.00

Republic of North Macedonia

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

13

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

10

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

23.00

Romania

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1035

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

510

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1545.00

Russian Federation

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

431

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

220

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

651.00

Saudi Arabia

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

202

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

100

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

302.00

Serbia

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

130

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

60

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

190.00

Singapore

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

34223

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

59666

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

37800

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

177479

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

309168.00

Slovakia

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

81

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

121.00

Slovenia**(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)**

225

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

60

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

285.00

South Africa**(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)**

5107

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

720

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

990

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

6817.00

Spain

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

21522

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

2180

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

21969

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

45671.00

Sweden

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

645

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

190

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

835.00

Switzerland

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

10610

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

7860

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

18470.00

Taiwan, China

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

430

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

210

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

640.00

Thailand

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

311

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

160

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

471.00

Türkiye

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

419

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

210

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

629.00

Ukraine

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

165

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

80

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

245.00

United Arab Emirates

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

284

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

140

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

424.00

United Kingdom

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

11931

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

23089

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

35020.00

United States of America

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

568095

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

318896

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

52948

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

2102009

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

3041948.00

Uruguay

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

3590

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

2140

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

5730.00

Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

19

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

10

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

29.00

Viet Nam

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1813

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

900

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

2713.00

[Fixed row]

(7.30.15) Provide details on the electricity purchases that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the reporting year.

Row 1

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Financial (virtual) power purchase agreement (VPPA)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Onshore wind

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

268341

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ REC [US and Canada]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.30.15.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2019

(7.30.15.14) Comment

Virtual Power Purchase Agreement in Texas ERCOT Market

Row 2

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Financial (virtual) power purchase agreement (VPPA)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

113037

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ REC [US and Canada]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.30.15.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2024

(7.30.15.14) Comment

Virtual Power Purchase Agreement in Texas ERCOT Market

Row 3

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :Wind and Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

39402

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ REC [US and Canada]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

Retail supply contracts with different utility providers at different locations in the United States.

Row 4

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :Wind and Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

147770

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ REC [US and Canada]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

Unbundled EACs.

Row 5

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Puerto Rico

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :Wind and Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

22146

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ REC [US and Canada]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

Unbundled EACs.

Row 6

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Canada

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :Wind and Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

3741

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ REC [US and Canada]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

Unbundled EACs.

Row 7

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Belgium

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Financial (virtual) power purchase agreement (VPPA)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Onshore wind

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used*Select from:*☒ GO [Europe]**(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute***Select from:*☒ Spain**(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?***Select from:*☒ Yes**(7.30.15.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)**

2023

(7.30.15.14) Comment*Virtual Power Purchase Agreement in Spain (AIB)***Row 8****(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption***Select from:*☒ Denmark**(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method***Select from:*

☒ Financial (virtual) power purchase agreement (VPPA)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Onshore wind

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

1095

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Spain

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.30.15.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2023

(7.30.15.14) Comment

Virtual Power Purchase Agreement in Spain (AIB)

Row 9

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ France

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Financial (virtual) power purchase agreement (VPPA)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Onshore wind

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

12583

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Spain

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.30.15.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

(7.30.15.14) Comment*Virtual Power Purchase Agreement in Spain (AIB)***Row 10****(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption***Select from:*☒ Greece**(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method***Select from:*☒ Financial (virtual) power purchase agreement (VPPA)**(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type***Select from:*☒ Onshore wind**(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)**

363

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used*Select from:*☒ GO [Europe]**(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute***Select from:*

☒ Spain

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.30.15.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2023

(7.30.15.14) Comment

Virtual Power Purchase Agreement in Spain (AIB)

Row 11

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Ireland

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Financial (virtual) power purchase agreement (VPPA)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Onshore wind

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

80386

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Spain

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.30.15.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2023

(7.30.15.14) Comment

Virtual Power Purchase Agreement in Spain (AIB)

Row 12

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Ireland

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Financial (virtual) power purchase agreement (VPPA)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

5585

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Spain

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.30.15.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2025

(7.30.15.14) Comment

Virtual Power Purchase Agreement in Spain (AIB)

Row 13

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Netherlands

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Financial (virtual) power purchase agreement (VPPA)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

52101

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Spain

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.30.15.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2025

(7.30.15.14) Comment

Virtual Power Purchase Agreement in Spain (AIB)

Row 14

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Norway

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Financial (virtual) power purchase agreement (VPPA)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

1160

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Spain

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.30.15.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2025

(7.30.15.14) Comment

Virtual Power Purchase Agreement in Spain (AIB)

Row 15

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Switzerland

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Financial (virtual) power purchase agreement (VPPA)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

915

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Spain

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.30.15.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2025

(7.30.15.14) Comment

Virtual Power Purchase Agreement in Spain (AIB)

Row 16

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Austria

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :Wind and Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

16493

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Spain

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

Retail supply contracts with different utility providers at different locations in the region.

Row 17

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Germany

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :Wind and Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

20070

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Spain

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

Retail supply contracts with different utility providers at different locations in the region.

Row 18

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Netherlands

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :Wind and Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

3676

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Spain

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

Retail supply contracts with different utility providers at different locations in the region.

Row 19

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Spain

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :Wind and Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

19882

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Spain

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

Retail supply contracts with different utility providers at different locations in the region.

Row 20

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Switzerland

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :Wind and Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

6938

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Spain

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

Retail supply contracts with different utility providers at different locations in the region.

Row 21

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Austria

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :Wind and Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

508

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Netherlands

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations in the AIB.

Row 22

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Croatia

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :Wind solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Netherlands

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations in the AIB.

Row 23

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Cyprus

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :wind solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

82

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Netherlands

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations in the AIB.

Row 24

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Czechia

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :Wind solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

2725

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Netherlands

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations in the AIB.

Row 25

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Estonia

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :wind solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

30

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Netherlands

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations in the AIB.

Row 26

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ France

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :wind solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

5877

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Netherlands

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations in the AIB.

Row 27

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Germany

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :wind solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

2770

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Netherlands

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations in the AIB.

Row 28

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Hungary

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :wind solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

645

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Netherlands

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations in the AIB.

Row 29

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Ireland

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :wind solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

644

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Netherlands

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations in the AIB.

Row 30

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Italy

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :wind solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used*Select from:*☒ GO [Europe]**(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute***Select from:*☒ Netherlands**(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?***Select from:*☒ No**(7.30.15.14) Comment***EAC supply comes from providers at different locations in the AIB.***Row 31****(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption***Select from:*☒ Latvia**(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method***Select from:*☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)**(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type**

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :wind solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

57

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Netherlands

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations in the AIB.

Row 32

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Lithuania

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :wind solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

40

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Netherlands

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations in the AIB.

Row 33

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Luxembourg

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :wind solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

12

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Netherlands

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations in the AIB.

Row 34

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Netherlands

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :wind solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

579

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Netherlands

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations in the AIB.

Row 35

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Republic of North Macedonia

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :wind solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

13

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Netherlands

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations in the AIB.

Row 36

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Norway

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :wind solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

55

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Netherlands

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations in the AIB.

Row 37

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Portugal

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :wind solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Netherlands

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations in the AIB.

Row 38

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Serbia

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :wind solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

130

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Netherlands

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations in the AIB.

Row 39

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Slovakia

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :wind solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

81

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Netherlands

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations in the AIB.

Row 40

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Slovenia

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :wind solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

126

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Netherlands

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations in the AIB.

Row 41

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Spain

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :wind solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

144

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Netherlands

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations in the AIB.

Row 42

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Sweden

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :wind solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

376

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Netherlands

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations in the AIB.

Row 43

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Switzerland

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :wind solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

2680

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Netherlands

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations in the AIB.

Row 44

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Bosnia and Herzegovina

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Onshore wind

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

8

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Finland

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations within the country of need or closest country within the region.

Row 45

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Bulgaria

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :wind solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used*Select from:*☒ GO [Europe]**(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute***Select from:*☒ Bulgaria**(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?***Select from:*☒ No**(7.30.15.14) Comment***EAC supply comes from providers at different locations within the country.***Row 46****(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption***Select from:*☒ Poland**(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method***Select from:*☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)**(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type**

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

3869

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Poland

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations within the country.

Row 47

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Romania

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :wind solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

1032

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Bulgaria

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations within the country of need or closest country within the region.

Row 48

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Russian Federation

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :wind solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

432

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Bulgaria

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations within the country of need or closest country within the region.

Row 49

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Türkiye

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

419

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ I-REC [International]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Türkiye

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations within the country.

Row 50

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Ukraine

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :wind solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

165

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Bulgaria

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations within the country of need or closest country within the region.

Row 51

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ United Kingdom

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Onshore wind

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

10977

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ REGO [UK]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ United Kingdom

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations within the country.

Row 52

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ China

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :wind solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

1893

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GEC [China]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ China

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

Retail supply contracts with different utility providers at different locations in the region.

Row 53

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Japan

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :wind solar tide

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

12131

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GEC [Japan]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Japan

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

Retail supply contracts with different utility providers at different locations in the region.

Row 54

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Australia

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

2212

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ LGC [Australia]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Australia

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations within the country of need.

Row 55

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ China

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :wind solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

27363

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GEC [China]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ China

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations within the country of need.

Row 56

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ China, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :wind solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

176

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GEC [China]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ China

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations within the country of need or closest country within the region.

Row 57

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ India

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

1925

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ Indian national EAC system

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ India

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations within the country of need.

Row 58

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Indonesia

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

243

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ I-REC [International]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Indonesia

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations within the country of need.

Row 59

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Japan

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

6508

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GEC [Japan]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Japan

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations within the country of need.

Row 60

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ China, Macao Special Administrative Region

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :wind solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used*Select from:*☒ GEC [China]**(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute***Select from:*☒ China**(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?***Select from:*☒ No**(7.30.15.14) Comment***EAC supply comes from providers at different locations within the country of need or closest country within the region.***Row 61****(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption***Select from:*☒ Malaysia**(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method***Select from:*☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)**(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type**

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

675

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ I-REC [International]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Malaysia

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations within the country of need.

Row 62

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ New Zealand

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

6260

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ NZECS [New Zealand]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ New Zealand

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations within the country of need.

Row 63

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Philippines

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Hydropower (capacity unknown)

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

294

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ I-REC [International]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Philippines

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations within the country of need.

Row 64

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Singapore

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

34251

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ I-REC [International]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Singapore

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations within the country of need.

Row 65

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Korea (The Republic of)

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :wind solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

581

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GEC [China]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ China

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations within the country of need or closest country within the region.

Row 66

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Taiwan, China

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :wind solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

430

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ T-REC [Taiwan, China]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Taiwan, China

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations within the country of need.

Row 67

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Thailand

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Onshore wind

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ I-REC [International]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Thailand

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations within the country of need.

Row 68

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Viet Nam

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

1814

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ I-REC [International]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Viet Nam

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations within the country of need.

Row 69

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Egypt

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

149

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ I-REC [International]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Egypt

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations within the country of need.

Row 70

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Israel

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

2344

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ I-REC [International]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Israel

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations within the country of need.

Row 71

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Jordan

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

59

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ I-REC [International]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Jordan

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations within the country of need.

Row 72

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Lebanon

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

113

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ I-REC [International]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Israel

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations within the country of need or closest country within the region.

Row 73

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Morocco

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Onshore wind

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

72

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ I-REC [International]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Morocco

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations within the country of need.

Row 74

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Saudi Arabia

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

202

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ I-REC [International]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Saudi Arabia

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations within the country of need.

Row 75

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ South Africa

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Onshore wind

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used*Select from:*☒ zaREC [South Africa]**(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute***Select from:*☒ South Africa**(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?***Select from:*☒ No**(7.30.15.14) Comment***EAC supply comes from providers at different locations within the country of need.***Row 76****(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption***Select from:*☒ United Arab Emirates**(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method***Select from:*☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)**(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type**

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

284

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ I-REC [International]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ United Arab Emirates

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations within the country of need.

Row 77

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Argentina

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

2742

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ I-REC [International]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Argentina

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations within the country of need.

Row 78

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Brazil

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Onshore wind

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

13510

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ I-REC [International]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Brazil

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations within the country of need.

Row 79

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Chile

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

1591

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ I-REC [International]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Chile

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations within the country of need.

Row 80

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Colombia

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

956

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ I-REC [International]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Colombia

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations within the country of need.

Row 81

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Costa Rica

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Onshore wind

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

415

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ I-REC [International]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Costa Rica

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations within the country of need.

Row 82

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Ecuador

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Hydropower (capacity unknown)

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ I-REC [International]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Ecuador

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations within the country of need.

Row 83

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Guatemala

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

36

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ I-REC [International]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Guatemala

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations within the country of need.

Row 84

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Mexico

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :wind solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

1866

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ I-REC [International]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Mexico

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations within the country of need.

Row 85

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Panama

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

186

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ I-REC [International]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Panama

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations within the country of need.

Row 86

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Uruguay

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Onshore wind

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

3593

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ I-REC [International]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Brazil

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations within the country of need or closest country within the region.

Row 87

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Onshore wind

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

19

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ I-REC [International]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Brazil

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

EAC supply comes from providers at different locations within the country of need or closest country within the region.

Row 88

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Bermuda

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Financial (virtual) power purchase agreement (VPPA)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Onshore wind

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

28

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ REC [US and Canada]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.30.15.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2019

(7.30.15.14) Comment

Virtual Power Purchase Agreement in Texas ERCOT Market

Row 89

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Iceland

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Financial (virtual) power purchase agreement (VPPA)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Onshore wind

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]**(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute**

Select from:

☒ Spain**(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?**

Select from:

☒ Yes**(7.30.15.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)**

2023

(7.30.15.14) Comment*Virtual Power Purchase Agreement in Spain (AIB)**[Add row]*

(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.

Row 1**(7.45.1) Intensity figure**

0.0000109

(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)

708200

(7.45.3) Metric denominator

Select from:

☒ unit total revenue

(7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total

65011000000

(7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used

Select from:

☒ Market-based

(7.45.6) % change from previous year

18

(7.45.7) Direction of change

Select from:

☒ Decreased

(7.45.8) Reasons for change

Select all that apply

☒ Change in renewable energy consumption

☒ Other emissions reduction activities

(7.45.9) Please explain

Based on 708,200 metric tons of CO2 divided by revenue of 65,011,000,000 USD vs. 2024 recalculated intensity figure of 0.0000133. Revenue grew and emissions decreased, reducing our emissions intensity. Intensity equals 708,200 (metric tons CO2e)/65,011,000,000 (US) equals 0.0000109. Emissions reductions activities include additional purchases of renewable electric, as well as other reductions in fugitive emissions, site fuel consumption, and scope 2 energy consumption.
[Add row]

(7.52) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business.

Row 1

(7.52.1) Description

Select from:

☒ Waste

(7.52.2) Metric value

12

(7.52.3) Metric numerator

% waste to landfill/incin w/o enrgy recover

(7.52.4) Metric denominator (intensity metric only)

Total global operational waste

(7.52.5) % change from previous year

9

(7.52.6) Direction of change

Select from:

☒ Increased

(7.52.7) Please explain

Our company met its goal of "By 2025, 20% or less of our global operational waste will be sent to landfills and incinerators without energy recovery." The percentage of operational waste that was reported as sent to landfill and incinerators without energy recovery increased slightly from 11 percent in 2024 to 12 percent in 2025.
[Add row]

(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

☒ Absolute target

(7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.

Row 1

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:


☒ Abs 1

(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

☒ Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

(7.53.1.3) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

 [SBTi Net Zero Approval Letter-.pdf](#)

(7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:

☒ 1.5°C aligned

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

09/02/2021

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

☒ Methane (CH₄)

☒ Nitrous oxide (N₂O)

☒ Carbon dioxide (CO₂)

☒ Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)

☒ Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)

☒ Sulphur hexafluoride (SF₆)

☒ Nitrogen trifluoride (NF₃)

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 1

☒ Scope 2

(7.53.1.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:

☒ Market-based

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

12/31/2019

(7.53.1.12) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO₂e)

719600

(7.53.1.13) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

300900

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

1020500.000

(7.53.1.33) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 1

100

(7.53.1.34) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 2

100

(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes

100

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

12/31/2030

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

46.2

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

549029.000

(7.53.1.57) Scope 1 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

684500

(7.53.1.58) Scope 2 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

23800

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

708300.000

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

☒ No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

66.22

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ Underway

(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Our goal is a 46.2% absolute reduction in GHG emissions from 2019 to 2030. We used the "Science-based Target Setting Tool" to calculate and set this goal as allowed by the SBTi. The base year emissions have been adjusted in accordance with the Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition) to include changes in our operating boundary and any new information that allows us to quantify emissions more accurately. Note: All values are rounded. As a result, the total values shown may not equal the sum of the individual source totals.

(7.53.1.83) Target objective

We have adopted a set of climate goals to help us succeed in an increasingly resource constrained world. We developed these goals to align with the latest climate science and to address the rising expectations of our customers, investors, external stakeholders and employees regarding the environmental impact of our operations and supply chain. We recognize the important role we play in identifying, adapting, and responding to the public health risks associated with climate change. Energy-demand reduction and the use of renewable energy are essential to our climate mitigation strategy, as they positively impact our efforts to reduce our direct GHG emissions. Our longstanding support of stronger health systems in underserved areas is even more important given the evidence that certain disease patterns are associated with changing climate conditions. We have internal policies and practices focused on reducing energy use at our sites, including optimizing systems and equipment, consolidating excess facility space, and designing with the environment in mind. In addition, we have launched initiatives to better understand and reduce our supply-chain-related impacts. By taking these steps, we are minimizing GHG emissions, mitigating the business impacts associated with climate change and expecting to reduce operating costs.

(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

In 2024, our Company made a strategic decision to prioritize the creation of our net-zero roadmaps focused on energy consumption and decarbonization projects for our top emitting sites. We integrated capital projects into our long-range capital plan as part of this initiative, applying the same governance and structured approach to investments used across our broader capital portfolio. To ensure execution rigor and strategic alignment, we reevaluate the portfolio annually and align it with our Enterprise Capital Committee—a cross-functional leadership team responsible for ensuring our portfolio of capital projects aligns with our Company strategy and long-range operating plan. Our Company’s rigorous review process ensures that the financial evaluation, resource requirements, and justification for sustainability initiatives are aligned with business priorities. For approved initiatives, we estimate emissions impacts to inform our net-zero roadmap. Approved initiatives are also incorporated into the budgeting cycle, where finance partners closely with business owners to monitor costs, milestones, KPIs, and compliance. These investments are not expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company’s financial condition, results of operations, liquidity, or capital resources for any year. 100% of our purchased electric is now sourced from renewable energy.

(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

☒ Yes

Row 2

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Abs 2

(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

☒ Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

(7.53.1.3) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

[SBTi Net Zero Approval Letter-.pdf](#)

(7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:

☒ Well-below 2°C aligned

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

09/02/2021

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

☒ Methane (CH₄)

☒ Nitrous oxide (N₂O)

☒ Carbon dioxide (CO₂)

☒ Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)

☒ Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)

☒ Sulphur hexafluoride (SF₆)

☒ Nitrogen trifluoride (NF₃)

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 3

(7.53.1.10) Scope 3 categories

Select all that apply

- | | |
|---|--|
| ☒ Scope 3, Category 14 – Franchises | ☒ Scope 3, Category 1 – Purchased goods and services |
| ☒ Scope 3, Category 2 – Capital goods | ☒ Scope 3, Category 5 – Waste generated in operations |
| ☒ Scope 3, Category 6 – Business travel | ☒ Scope 3, Category 12 – End-of-life treatment of sold products |
| ☒ Scope 3, Category 7 – Employee commuting | ☒ Scope 3, Category 4 – Upstream transportation and distribution |
| ☒ Scope 3, Category 11 – Use of sold products | ☒ Scope 3, Category 9 – Downstream transportation and distribution |
| ☒ Scope 3, Category 3 – Fuel- and energy- related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2) | |

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

12/31/2019

(7.53.1.14) Base year Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

3592100

(7.53.1.15) Base year Scope 3, Category 2: Capital goods emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

366300

(7.53.1.16) Base year Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

205500

(7.53.1.17) Base year Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

199700

(7.53.1.18) Base year Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

89000

(7.53.1.19) Base year Scope 3, Category 6: Business travel emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

287100

(7.53.1.20) Base year Scope 3, Category 7: Employee commuting emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

123300

(7.53.1.22) Base year Scope 3, Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

124800

(7.53.1.24) Base year Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

16500

(7.53.1.25) Base year Scope 3, Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

164600

(7.53.1.27) Base year Scope 3, Category 14: Franchises emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

2000

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

5170900.000

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

5170900.000

(7.53.1.35) Base year Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)

100.0

(7.53.1.36) Base year Scope 3, Category 2: Capital goods emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 2: Capital goods (metric tons CO2e)

100.0

(7.53.1.37) Base year Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)

100.0

(7.53.1.38) Base year Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

100.0

(7.53.1.39) Base year Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations (metric tons CO2e)

100.0

(7.53.1.40) Base year Scope 3, Category 6: Business travel emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 6: Business travel (metric tons CO2e)

100.0

(7.53.1.41) Base year Scope 3, Category 7: Employee commuting covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 7: Employee commuting (metric tons CO2e)

100.0

(7.53.1.43) Base year Scope 3, Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

100.0

(7.53.1.45) Base year Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

100.0

(7.53.1.46) Base year Scope 3, Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

100.0

(7.53.1.48) Base year Scope 3, Category 14: Franchises emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 14: Franchises (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.52) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3 (in all Scope 3 categories)

100.0

(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes

100.0

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

12/31/2030

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

30

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

3619630.000

(7.53.1.59) Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

3527300

(7.53.1.60) Scope 3, Category 2: Capital goods emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

260300

(7.53.1.61) Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

205100

(7.53.1.62) Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

219400

(7.53.1.63) Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

81400

(7.53.1.64) Scope 3, Category 6: Business travel emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

158000

(7.53.1.65) Scope 3, Category 7: Employee commuting emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

92200

(7.53.1.67) Scope 3, Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

8000

(7.53.1.69) Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

19900

(7.53.1.70) Scope 3, Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

42600

(7.53.1.72) Scope 3, Category 14: Franchises emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

17500

(7.53.1.76) Total Scope 3 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

4631700.000

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

4631700.000

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

☒ No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

34.76

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ Underway

(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Our target is to reduce our Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions by 30 percent by 2030, from a 2019 baseline. This target includes all categories which we identified as applicable to us. We have excluded categories 8, 10, 13, 15, 16 & 17 that have been identified as not applicable. Note: All values are rounded. As a result, the total values shown may not equal the sum of the individual source totals.

(7.53.1.83) Target objective

We have adopted a set of climate goals to help us succeed in an increasingly resource constrained world. We developed these goals to align with the latest climate science and to address the rising expectations of our customers, investors, external stakeholders and employees regarding the environmental impact of our operations and supply chain. We recognize the important role we play in identifying, adapting, and responding to the public health risks associated with climate change. Energy-demand reduction and the use of renewable energy are essential to our climate mitigation strategy, as they positively impact our efforts to reduce our direct GHG emissions. Our longstanding support of stronger health systems in underserved areas is even more important given the evidence that certain disease patterns are associated with changing climate conditions. We have internal policies and practices focused on reducing energy use at our sites, including optimizing systems and equipment, consolidating excess facility space, and designing with the environment in mind. In addition, we have launched initiatives to better understand and reduce our supply-chain-related impacts. By taking these steps, we are minimizing GHG emissions, mitigating the business impacts associated with climate change and expecting to reduce operating costs.

(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

Our value chain represents the largest portion of our GHG footprint, which is why engaging suppliers on climate performance remains central to achieving our goals. We have significantly increased our efforts to reduce our value chain impacts and associated Scope 3 GHG emissions. - We set clear environmental sustainability expectations for suppliers, and communicate them transparently and regularly. - We recognize that our suppliers are at different stages of their sustainability journeys. That's why we focus on practical enablement, meeting suppliers where they are and helping them build the capabilities they need to decarbonize. In 2025, we engaged directly with suppliers through trainings, workshops, and webinars focused on emissions measurement and decarbonization pathways. More than 300 supplier representatives from over 195 companies joined our Sustainability Partner Exchange webinar to share best practices and learn from peers. We also published and trained suppliers on our Company's Decarbonization Playbook, a practical toolkit designed to help companies identify and prioritize emissions reduction opportunities. - In 2025, we delivered training and guidance to procurement professionals on supplier decarbonization, emissions data, and engagement

approaches. - In 2025, we strengthened sourcing and contracting processes to more comprehensively consider supplier environmental performance. - Industry collaboration is an important part of our approach. We are an active member and co-founder of the Energize Program and actively participate in the Pharmaceutical Supply Chain Initiative. - Better data enables us to identify emissions hotspots, prioritize high-impact reduction efforts, design tailored engagement programs, and track progress over time. In the last year, we expanded efforts to increase primary emissions data coverage through direct supplier outreach. We are launching a new digital platform to streamline data collection and continue providing targeted training to improve data quality. - Our Scope 3 journey is a long-term commitment built on partnership, transparency, and continuous improvement.

(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

☒ Yes

[Add row]

(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

☒ Targets to increase or maintain low-carbon energy consumption or production

☒ Net-zero targets

(7.54.1) Provide details of your targets to increase or maintain low-carbon energy consumption or production.

Row 1

(7.54.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Low 1

(7.54.1.2) Date target was set

04/01/2021

(7.54.1.3) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(7.54.1.4) Target type: energy carrier

Select from:

☒ Electricity

(7.54.1.5) Target type: activity

Select from:

☒ Consumption

(7.54.1.6) Target type: energy source

Select from:

☒ Renewable energy source(s) only

(7.54.1.7) End date of base year

12/31/2024

(7.54.1.8) Consumption or production of selected energy carrier in base year (MWh)

1052870

(7.54.1.9) % share of low-carbon or renewable energy in base year

61

(7.54.1.10) End date of target

12/31/2025

(7.54.1.11) % share of low-carbon or renewable energy at end date of target

100

(7.54.1.12) % share of low-carbon or renewable energy in reporting year

100

(7.54.1.13) % of target achieved relative to base year

100.00

(7.54.1.14) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ Achieved

(7.54.1.16) Is this target part of an emissions target?

This is a separate goal but it is an essential part of our target described in Abs 1.

(7.54.1.17) Is this target part of an overarching initiative?

Select all that apply

☒ No, it's not part of an overarching initiative

(7.54.1.19) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

By 2025, 100% of our purchased electricity will come from renewable sources of energy. Note: We have defined "purchased electricity" as electricity sourced from external suppliers as well as renewable electricity that was generated and utilized on-site where we retained the renewable attributes or where we have obtained renewable attributes through contract.

(7.54.1.20) Target objective

We have adopted a set of climate goals to help us succeed in an increasingly resource constrained world. We developed these goals to align with the latest climate science and to address the rising expectations of our customers, investors, external stakeholders and employees regarding the environmental impact of our operations and supply chain. We recognize the important role we play in identifying, adapting, and responding to the public health risks associated with climate change. Energy-demand reduction and the use of renewable energy are essential to our climate mitigation strategy, as they positively impact our efforts to reduce our direct GHG emissions. Our longstanding support of stronger health systems in underserved areas is even more important given the evidence that certain disease patterns are associated with changing climate conditions. We have internal policies and practices focused on reducing energy use at our sites, including optimizing

systems and equipment, consolidating excess facility space, and designing with the environment in mind. In addition, we have launched initiatives to better understand and reduce our supply-chain-related impacts. By taking these steps, we are minimizing GHG emissions, mitigating the business impacts associated with climate change and expecting to reduce operating costs.

(7.54.1.22) List the actions which contributed most to achieving this target

Energy use reductions, Virtual Power Purchase Agreements, green tariffs and emission attribute procurement for gap filling.

[Add row]

(7.54.3) Provide details of your net-zero target(s).

Row 1

(7.54.3.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ NZ1

(7.54.3.2) Date target was set

08/19/2024

(7.54.3.3) Target Coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(7.54.3.4) Targets linked to this net zero target

Select all that apply

☒ Abs1

☒ Abs2

(7.54.3.5) End date of target for achieving net zero

(7.54.3.6) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

- ☒ Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

(7.54.3.7) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

[SBTi Net Zero Approval Letter-.pdf](#)

(7.54.3.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

- ☒ Scope 1
☒ Scope 2
☒ Scope 3

(7.54.3.9) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

- | | |
|---|---|
| ☒ Methane (CH ₄) | ☒ Sulphur hexafluoride (SF ₆) |
| ☒ Nitrous oxide (N ₂ O) | ☒ Nitrogen trifluoride (NF ₃) |
| ☒ Carbon dioxide (CO ₂) | |
| ☒ Perfluorocarbons (PFCs) | |
| ☒ Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs) | |

(7.54.3.10) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Scientific data support that climate change is occurring, and we are taking action to reduce the economic, human and animal health risks associated with a changing climate. We have adopted a set of climate goals including our net zero target to help us succeed in an increasingly resource constrained world. We developed these goals to align with the latest climate science and to address the rising expectations of our customers, investors, external stakeholders and employees regarding the environmental impact of our operations and supply chain. Our net zero target covers our entire Scope 1, 2 and 3 impacts. Our inventory baseline year and target baseline are the same.

(7.54.3.11) Target objective

We recognize the important role we play in identifying, adapting, and responding to the public health risks associated with climate change. Energy-demand reduction and the use of renewable energy are essential to our climate mitigation strategy, as they positively impact our efforts to reduce our direct GHG emissions. Our longstanding support of stronger health systems in underserved areas is even more important given the evidence that certain disease patterns are associated with changing climate conditions. We have internal policies and practices focused on reducing energy use at our sites, including optimizing systems and equipment, consolidating excess facility space, and designing with the environment in mind. In addition, we have launched initiatives to better understand and reduce our supply-chain-related impacts. By taking these steps, we are minimizing GHG emissions, mitigating the business impacts associated with climate change and expecting to reduce operating costs.

(7.54.3.12) Do you intend to neutralize any residual emissions with permanent carbon removals at the end of the target?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.54.3.13) Do you plan to mitigate emissions beyond your value chain?

Select from:

☒ No, we do not plan to mitigate emissions beyond our value chain

(7.54.3.14) Do you intend to purchase and cancel carbon credits for neutralization and/or beyond value chain mitigation?

Select all that apply

☒ Yes, we plan to purchase and cancel carbon credits for neutralization at the end of the target

(7.54.3.15) Planned milestones and/or near-term investments for neutralization at the end of the target

As per the guidance of the SBTi, upon reaching our net-zero target date and in the years beyond, we would strive to reduce greater than 90% of our emissions verses our baseline. We would only neutralize hard to abate residual emissions and are evaluating the type of projects that will align with SBTi guidance. We intend to neutralize these residual emissions through the permanent removal and storage of carbon from the atmosphere.

(7.54.3.17) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ Underway

(7.54.3.19) Process for reviewing target

Our Scope 1, 2 and 3 emissions are reported publicly on an annual basis. We also receive 3rd Party assurance on our emissions. We have set near-term targets for Scope 1 and 2 as well as Scope 3 for 2030. We will consult with SBTi guidance after 2030 our goals are met and we continue on our way to meet our 2045 net zero target.

[Add row]

(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.

	Emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year
	Select from: ☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.

	Number of initiatives	Total estimated annual CO2e savings in metric tonnes CO2e
Under investigation	79	
To be implemented	57	66100
Implementation commenced	21	17400

	Number of initiatives	Total estimated annual CO2e savings in metric tonnes CO2e
Implemented	92	26400
Not to be implemented	0	0

[Fixed row]

(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.

Row 1

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Low-carbon energy consumption

☒ Solar PV

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO₂e savings (metric tonnes CO₂e)

1600

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 2 (location-based)

☒ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ No payback

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ 16-20 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

N/A

Row 2

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

☒ Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC)

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO₂e savings (metric tonnes CO₂e)

3600

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

- ☒ Scope 1
- ☒ Scope 2 (location-based)
- ☒ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

- ☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

- ☒ No payback

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

- ☒ 11-15 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

N/A

Row 3

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

☒ Lighting

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO₂e savings (metric tonnes CO₂e)

1600

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 1

☒ Scope 2 (location-based)

☒ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ No payback

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ 11-15 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

N/A

Row 4

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

☒ Insulation

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO₂e savings (metric tonnes CO₂e)

100

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 1

☒ Scope 2 (location-based)

☒ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ No payback

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ 16-20 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

N/A

Row 5

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

☒ Waste heat recovery

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO₂e savings (metric tonnes CO₂e)

700

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 1

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ No payback

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ 11-15 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

N/A

Row 6

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

☒ Process optimization

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO₂e savings (metric tonnes CO₂e)

3600

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

- ☒ Scope 1
- ☒ Scope 2 (location-based)
- ☒ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

- ☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

- ☒ No payback

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

- ☒ 11-15 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

N/A

Row 7

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

☒ Machine/equipment replacement

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO₂e savings (metric tonnes CO₂e)

14300

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 1

☒ Scope 2 (location-based)

☒ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ No payback

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ 11-15 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

N/A

Row 8

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

☒ Combined heat and power (cogeneration)

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO₂e savings (metric tonnes CO₂e)

900

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 1

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ No payback

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ 6-10 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

N/A
[Add row]

(7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?

Row 1

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☒ Internal finance mechanisms

(7.55.3.2) Comment

To advance our journey toward achieving net-zero GHG emissions, we embedded sustainability principles and funding into all capital projects, regardless of their size and scope. In 2024, our Company made a strategic decision to prioritize the creation of our net-zero roadmaps focused on energy consumption and decarbonization projects for our top emitting sites. We integrated capital projects into our long-range capital plan as part of this initiative, applying the same governance and structured

approach to investments used across our broader capital portfolio. To ensure execution rigor and strategic alignment, we reevaluate the portfolio annually and align it with our Enterprise Capital Committee—a cross-functional leadership team responsible for ensuring our portfolio of capital projects aligns with our Company strategy and long-range operating plan. Our Company's rigorous review process ensures that the financial evaluation, resource requirements, and justification for sustainability initiatives are aligned with business priorities. For approved initiatives, we estimate emissions impacts to inform our net-zero roadmap. Approved initiatives are also incorporated into the budgeting cycle, where finance partners closely with business owners to monitor costs, milestones, KPIs, and compliance. These investments are not expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations, liquidity, or capital resources for any year.

Row 2

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☒ Compliance with regulatory requirements/standards

(7.55.3.2) Comment

We follow all regulatory requirements/standards. Often these standards drive emission reductions. An example is that we are actively managing our European fleet group's fuel efficiency by investing in new vehicles to meet that region's 2025 fuel efficiency requirements. We also have several sites in Europe that are certified as ISO50001 for energy management, in compliance with the EU Energy Efficiency Directive.

Row 3

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☒ Employee engagement

(7.55.3.2) Comment

Our energy policies specify expectations for employee behavior related to energy. Our Global Energy & Sustainability Center of Excellence (Global Workplace & Enterprise Services) and Environmental Sustainability Center of Excellence (Global Safety & Environment) each have an intranet site where employees can find and share information on energy best practices, as well as ask questions and engage in conversations related to multiple environmental sustainability topics. All employees are eligible to take optional on-line "certified energy manager" training.

[Add row]

(7.73) Are you providing product level data for your organization's goods or services?

Select from:

☒ No, I am not providing data

(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.79) Has your organization retired any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.79.1) Provide details of the project-based carbon credits retired by your organization in the reporting year.

Row 1

(7.79.1.1) Project type

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :The carbon offsets were generated by a mix of projects, including nitrous oxide (N2O) abatement, industrial process efficiency and hydrofluorocarbon (HFC) abatement.

(7.79.1.2) Type of mitigation activity

Select from:

☒ Emissions reduction

(7.79.1.3) Project description

The carbon offsets are from Schneider Electric's Ecomix Offsets and are Green-e Climate Certified. The carbon credits that we purchased are registered with American Carbon Registry (ACR) and Climate Action Reserve, each of which require independent, third-party verification of projects and corresponding emission

reductions achieved. The carbon offsets were generated by a mix of projects, including landfill gas capture and combustion for energy generation with carbon credit vintages ranging from 2011 to 2012.

(7.79.1.4) Credits retired by your organization from this project in the reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

2173.91

(7.79.1.5) Purpose of retirement

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Requirement of Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) Zero Carbon certification.

(7.79.1.6) Are you able to report the vintage of the credits at retirement?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.79.1.7) Vintage of credits at retirement

2011

(7.79.1.8) Were these credits issued to or purchased by your organization?

Select from:

☒ Purchased

(7.79.1.9) Carbon-crediting program by which the credits were issued

Select from:

☒ Climate Action Reserve (CAR)

(7.79.1.10) Method the program uses to assess additionality for this project

Select all that apply

☒ Investment analysis

(7.79.1.11) Approaches by which the selected program requires this project to address reversal risk

Select all that apply

☒ No requirements

(7.79.1.12) Potential sources of leakage the selected program requires this project to have assessed

Select all that apply

☒ Not assessed

(7.79.1.13) Provide details of other issues the selected program requires projects to address

Buncombe County Landfill – Climate Action Reserve (CAR531). The project in Buncombe County is a gas collection and control system that was installed in 2005 in conjunction with a leachate recirculation system. The project also includes the installation of 25 vertical gas wells in Cells 1-5. An internal combustion generator set will be used to produce 1.4 MW of electrical power. Project Type: Landfill Gas Capture/Combustion Oneida Herkimer Landfill Ava, NY – Climate Action Reserve (CAR674). The Project consists of the collection of landfill gas (LFG) and its subsequent destruction by combustion in two electric generators and three open flares. OHSWA owns and operates the Landfill, gas collection system, and flares, while Waste Management Renewable Energy (WMRE) owns the electricity generation facility. Project Type: Landfill Gas Capture/Combustion

(7.79.1.14) Please explain

These projects are landfill gas capture and combustion for energy generation with carbon credit vintages (issuances) ranging from 2011 - 2012. Project facilities are voluntarily implementing abatement technologies or measures incentivized as a result of carbon credit funding

[Add row]

C8. Environmental performance - Forests

(8.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of forests-related data?

	Exclusion from disclosure
Timber products	Select from: ☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(8.1.1) Provide details on these exclusions.

Timber products

(8.1.1.1) Exclusion

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Supplies

(8.1.1.2) Description of exclusion

We have identified the following timber products as excluded from this disclosure: any timber products being used in construction; paper-based products used across the enterprise not used in product packaging or that is paper; micro crystalline cellulose used as an ingredient in products. We recognize that there may be other materials that utilize this commodity that we have not yet identified in our value chain.

(8.1.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Upstream value chain

(8.1.1.4) Reason for exclusion

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Unavailable data

(8.1.1.5) Primary reason why data is not collected and/or reported for your disclosed commodity

Select from:

☒ Challenges associated with data collection and/or quality

(8.1.1.8) Indicate if you are providing the commodity volume that is being excluded from your disclosure of forests-related data

Select from:

☒ No, the volume excluded is unknown

(8.1.1.10) Please explain

We are in the process of identifying and assessing our exposure and impacts of nature-based materials.
[Add row]

(8.2) Provide a breakdown of your disclosure volume per commodity.

	Disclosure volume (metric tons)	Volume type	Sourced volume (metric tons)
Timber products	915654	Select all that apply ☒ Sourced	915654

[Fixed row]

(8.5) Provide details on the origins of your sourced volumes.

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☒ Finland

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☒ Unknown

(8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

457704

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☒ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

(8.5.7) Please explain

Volume sourced from country/area of origin data was not available from our packaging suppliers. Most suppliers reported that total volume cannot be broken down by source country. Total volume was divided evenly by countries disclosed.

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☒ Sweden

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☒ Unknown

(8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

457704

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☒ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

(8.5.7) Please explain

Volume sourced from country/area of origin data was not available from our packaging suppliers. Most suppliers reported that total volume cannot be broken down by source country. Total volume was divided evenly by countries disclosed.

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☒ Unknown origin

(8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

246

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☒ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

(8.5.7) Please explain

Volume sourced from country/area of origin data was not available from our packaging suppliers. Most suppliers reported that total volume cannot be broken down by source country.

[Add row]

(8.7) Did your organization have a no-deforestation or no-conversion target, or any other targets for sustainable production/ sourcing of your disclosed commodities, active in the reporting year?

Timber products

(8.7.1) Active no-deforestation or no-conversion target

No, and we do not plan to

☒ No, and we do not plan to have a no-deforestation or no-conversion target in the next two years

(8.7.2) Primary reason for not having an active no-deforestation or no-conversion target in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

(8.7.3) Explain why you did not have an active no-deforestation or no-conversion target in the reporting year

Forest-related issues are not an identified priority on the Company's current ESG impact materiality assessment as described on Page 11 of our 2025/2026 Purpose for Progress Impact Report.

(8.7.4) Other active targets related to this commodity, including any which contribute to your no-deforestation or no-conversion target

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to have other targets related to this commodity in the next two years

(8.7.5) Primary reason for not having other active targets in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

(8.7.6) Explain why you did not have other active targets in the reporting year

Forest-related issues are not an identified priority on the Company's current ESG impact materiality assessment as described on Page 11 of our 2025/2026 Purpose for Progress Impact Report.

[Fixed row]

(8.8) Indicate if your organization has a traceability system to determine the origins of your sourced volumes and provide details of the methods and tools used.

Timber products

(8.8.1) Traceability system

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to establish one within the next two years

(8.8.4) Primary reason your organization does not have a traceability system

Select from:

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

(8.8.5) Explain why your organization does not have a traceability system

Forest-related issues are not an identified priority on the Company's current double materiality assessment as described on Page XX of our 2025/2026 Impact Report.

[Fixed row]

(8.9) Provide details of your organization's assessment of the deforestation-free (DF) or deforestation- and conversion-free (DCF) status of its disclosed commodities.

Timber products

(8.9.1) DF/DCF status assessed for this commodity

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to do so within the next two years

(8.9.6) Is a proportion of your disclosure volume certified through a scheme not providing full DF/DCF assurance?

Select from:

☒ No

(8.9.7) Primary reason for not assessing DF/DCF status

Select from:

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

(8.9.8) Explain why you have not assessed DF/DCF status

Forest-related issues currently are not an identified priority on the Company's current ESG impact materiality assessment as described on Page 12 of our 2024/2025 Impact Report.

[Fixed row]

(8.10) Indicate whether you have monitored or estimated the deforestation and conversion of other natural ecosystems footprint for your disclosed commodities.

Timber products

(8.10.1) Monitoring or estimating your deforestation and conversion footprint

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to monitor or estimate our deforestation and conversion footprint in the next two years

(8.10.2) Primary reason for not monitoring or estimating deforestation and conversion footprint

Select from:

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

(8.10.3) Explain why you do not monitor or estimate your deforestation and conversion footprint

Forest-related issues currently are not an identified priority on the Company's current double materiality assessment as described on Page XX of our 2025/2026 Impact Report.

[Fixed row]

(8.11) For volumes not assessed and determined as deforestation- and conversion-free (DCF), indicate if you have taken actions in the reporting year to increase production or sourcing of DCF volumes.

	Actions taken to increase production or sourcing of DCF volumes
Timber products	Select from: ☒ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

[Fixed row]

(8.12) Indicate if certification details are available for the commodity volumes sold to requesting CDP Supply Chain members.

Timber products

(8.12.1) Third-party certification scheme adopted

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to adopt third-party certification within the next two years

(8.12.5) Primary reason that third-party certification has not been adopted

Select from:

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

(8.12.6) Explain why third-party certification has not been adopted

Forest-related issues are not an identified priority on the Company's current double materiality assessment as described on Page XX of our 2025/2026 Impact Report.

[Fixed row]

(8.13) Does your organization calculate the GHG emission reductions and/or removals from land use management and land use change that have occurred in your direct operations and/or upstream value chain?

Timber products

(8.13.1) GHG emissions reductions and removals from land use management and land use change calculated

Select from:

☒ No, and do not plan to do so in the next two years

(8.13.2) Primary reason your organization does not calculate GHG emissions reductions and removals from land use management and land use change

Select from:

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

(8.13.3) Explain why your organization does not calculate GHG emissions reductions and removals from land use management and land use change

Forest-related issues are not an identified priority on the Company's current double materiality assessment as described on Page XX of our 2025/2026 Impact Report.

[Fixed row]

(8.14) Indicate if you assess your own compliance and/or the compliance of your suppliers with forest regulations and/or mandatory standards, and provide details.

	Assess legal compliance with forest regulations	Please explain
	Select from: ☒ No, but we plan to within the next two years	<i>We are preparing for our own operations and suppliers' compliance with applicable forest regulations and/or mandatory standards.</i>

[Fixed row]

(8.15) Do you engage in landscape (including jurisdictional) initiatives to progress shared sustainable land use goals?

(8.15.1) Engagement in landscape/jurisdictional initiatives

Select from:

☒ No, we do not engage in landscape/jurisdictional initiatives, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(8.15.2) Primary reason for not engaging in landscape/jurisdictional initiatives

Select from:

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

(8.15.3) Explain why your organization does not engage in landscape/jurisdictional initiatives

Forest-related issues are not an identified priority on the Company's current ESG impact materiality assessment as described on Page 12 of our 2024/2025 Impact Report.

[Fixed row]

(8.16) Do you participate in any other external activities to support the implementation of policies and commitments related to deforestation, ecosystem conversion, or human rights issues in commodity value chains?

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(8.17) Is your organization supporting or implementing project(s) focused on ecosystem restoration and long-term protection?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(8.17.1) Provide details on your project(s), including the extent, duration, and monitoring frequency. Please specify any measured outcome(s).

Row 1

(8.17.1.1) Project reference

Select from:

☒ Project 1

(8.17.1.2) Project type

Select from:

☒ Other ecosystem restoration

(8.17.1.3) Expected benefits of project

Expected benefits of project

- ☒ Improvement of water availability and quality
- ☒ Net gain in biodiversity and ecosystem integrity
- ☒ Restoration of natural ecosystem(s)

(8.17.1.4) Is this project originating any carbon credits?

Select from:

☒ No

(8.17.1.5) Description of project

In 2025, along with River Partners, we supported large scale habitat restoration at the Willow Bend Preserve along the Sacramento River in California, the state's largest river. Converting 175 acres of former farmland into a native floodplain ecosystem, this restoration reconnects the river to its natural floodplain, improving water quality, conserving freshwater, and creating critical habitat for imperiled species, including multiple runs of Chinook salmon and steelhead. By restoring the natural function of the historic floodplain and supporting fish migration, the Willow Bend Preserve project delivers measurable conservation outcomes. These include biodiversity for aquatic and terrestrial species, improved flood resilience, and long-term climate benefits through natural carbon storage and groundwater recharge. Through this investment, we are helping advance nature-based solutions that protect vulnerable species, strengthen ecosystems, and support healthier waterways.

(8.17.1.6) Where is the project taking place in relation to your value chain?

Select all that apply

☒ Project based in area with direct operations

(8.17.1.7) Start year

2025

(8.17.1.8) Target year

Select from:

☒ 2025

(8.17.1.9) Project area to date (Hectares)

70

(8.17.1.10) Project area in the target year (Hectares)

(8.17.1.11) Country/Area*Select from:*☒ United States of America**(8.17.1.12) Latitude**

39.345672

(8.17.1.13) Longitude

-122.027069

(8.17.1.14) Monitoring frequency*Select from:*☒ Annually**(8.17.1.16) For which of your expected benefits are you monitoring progress?***Select all that apply*☒ Other, please specify :Habitat restoration and water quality improvements**(8.17.1.17) Please explain**

River Partners is working to transfer the Willow Bend property to a local tribe and the wider tribal community in the area for long term ownership and stewardship of what was once part of their historic homeland. The transfer, and partnership with the tribe in helping develop the restoration vision for the property, creates a important foundation for community engagement, educational programs, and cultural revitalization.

Row 2**(8.17.1.1) Project reference***Select from:*

☒ Project 2

(8.17.1.2) Project type

Select from:

☒ Other ecosystem restoration

(8.17.1.3) Expected benefits of project

Expected benefits of project

☒ Improvement of standard of living, especially for vulnerable and/or marginalized groups

☒ Improvement of water availability and quality

☒ Restoration of natural ecosystem(s)

(8.17.1.4) Is this project originating any carbon credits?

Select from:

☒ No

(8.17.1.5) Description of project

Since 2016, our Animal Health business has prioritized habitat restoration through a continued partnership with WeForest. In total, more than 120 hectares of land have been restored by planting over 211,000 trees in countries like Brazil, India, Malawi, Tanzania and Zambia. These projects have reconnected forest fragments through the restoration of wildlife corridors; protected sensitive ecosystems and wildlife; improved riparian water ways; created local jobs; and transitioned private land to sustainable agroforestry systems.

(8.17.1.6) Where is the project taking place in relation to your value chain?

Select all that apply

☒ Project based elsewhere

(8.17.1.7) Start year

2016

(8.17.1.8) Target year

Select from:

☒ Indefinitely

(8.17.1.9) Project area to date (Hectares)

83

(8.17.1.10) Project area in the target year (Hectares)

83

(8.17.1.11) Country/Area

Select from:

☒ Malawi

(8.17.1.12) Latitude

-15.921374

(8.17.1.13) Longitude

35.605941

(8.17.1.14) Monitoring frequency

Select from:

☒ Annually

(8.17.1.16) For which of your expected benefits are you monitoring progress?

Select all that apply

☒ Other, please specify :Estimated gallons of polluted stormwater avoided.

(8.17.1.17) Please explain

Not all trees are planted. This project has a mix of planting and assisted natural regeneration of the degraded native forest. The tree numbers therefore cover both activities. The third-party organizations are monitoring and reporting progress. Planting is usually monitored for 3 years following planting through on-site visits. The survival rates and outcomes have been reported in the annual reports shared with the Company. Assisted Natural Regeneration - which will show how at a large landscape scale the native miombo forest is recovering - is monitored through a combination of onsite Permanent Monitoring Plots and remote sensing using satellite information (over longer time periods to capture longer term change e.g. every 5 years).

[Add row]

C9. Environmental performance - Water security

(9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.1.1) Provide details on these exclusions.

Row 1

(9.1.1.1) Exclusion

Select from:

☒ Facilities

(9.1.1.2) Description of exclusion

We have an established process to evaluate if we collect environmental data from a site based on resource use, impact criteria and water risk. For sites within operational control that do not meet our criteria for environmental data collection, square footage is used to estimate water withdrawal and discharge. These limited water use sites are identified as non-environmental data collection sites (Non-EDC sites) and are excluded from all sections of the report except for their estimated withdrawals when reporting total withdrawal and withdrawal from third-party sources and total discharge and discharge to a third party destinations. We have estimated that the amount of water used at these facilities is approximately 2% of our total global water use.

(9.1.1.3) Reason for exclusion

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Methodology. Refer to description of exclusion for details.

(9.1.1.4) Primary reason why data is not collected and/or reported

Select from:

☒ Challenges associated with data collection and/or quality

(9.1.1.8) Estimated volume of water the exclusion represents (megaliters)

383

(9.1.1.9) Estimated % of total water

2

(9.1.1.10) Please explain

We have an established process to evaluate if we collect environmental data from a site based on resource use, impact criteria and water risk. For sites within operational control that do not meet our criteria for Environmental Data Collection (EDC), square footage is used to estimate water withdrawal and discharge. These limited water use sites are identified as Non-Environmental Data Collection (Non-EDC) sites and are excluded from all sections of the report except for their estimated withdrawals when reporting total withdrawal and withdrawal from third-party sources and total discharge and discharge to third-party destinations. We have estimated that the amount of water used at these facilities is approximately 2% of our total global water use.

[Add row]

(9.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored?

Water withdrawals – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ At least once a quarter

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Withdrawal volumes are measured either through utility bills, meters or through engineering estimates.

(9.2.4) Please explain

100% of our operational sites are monitored for this water aspect. Total water withdrawal volume is one of our environmental targets. We monitor this information quarterly, and report data externally on an annual basis. Frequency of measurement varies site to site based on the operations of the site. Examples of measurement frequency include continuous meters and monthly meter readings. Our Company water standard requires sites to develop and maintain a site-wide water balance capturing inputs, outputs, and on-site consumption. This enables us to track progress against our water use targets. Water withdrawals are required to be entered quarterly by sites into an enterprise data collection and reporting software system as part of our internal Environmental Data Collection (EDC) process. The data is reviewed at the corporate level on a quarterly basis.

Water withdrawals – volumes by source

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ At least once a quarter

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Withdrawal volumes by source are measured either through utility bills, meters or through engineering estimates.

(9.2.4) Please explain

100% of our operational sites are monitored. Withdrawal volumes by source enable us to calculate our total water withdrawal volume, which is one of our environmental targets. We monitor this information quarterly, and report data externally on an annual basis. Frequency of measurement varies site to site based on the operations of the site. Examples of measurement frequency include continuous meters and monthly meter readings. Our Company water standard requires sites to develop and maintain a site-wide water balance capturing inputs, outputs, and on-site consumption. This enables us to track progress against our water use targets. Water withdrawals are required to be entered quarterly into an enterprise data collection and reporting software system as part of our internal Environmental Data Collection (EDC) process. This process differentiates withdrawals from surface water, groundwater, and third party water suppliers. The data is reviewed at the corporate level on a quarterly basis.

Water withdrawals quality

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ At least once a quarter

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Our Company facilities measure withdrawal quality where necessary in our operations. Any water used in our manufacturing or research processes is tested in accordance with the appropriate quality requirements. Any water used as potable water is tested in accordance with applicable potable water requirements.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Where required by regulation or permit, 100% of our operational sites are monitored for this water aspect. Frequency of measurement varies site to site based on the operations of the site. Examples of measurement frequency include daily and monthly. Our Company's internal standard requires we maintain potable water supply in accordance with applicable regulatory requirements or World Health Organization (WHO) drinking water guidelines in the absence of local standards. Data is maintained at the local level. Data is reported to local authorities based on the cadence dictated by local permits and regulations.

Water discharges – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ At least once a quarter

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Discharge volumes are measured either through utility bills, meters or through engineering estimates.

(9.2.4) Please explain

100% of our operational sites are monitored for this water aspect. This information is reported publicly on an annual basis. Frequency of volume measurement varies site to site based on the operations of the site. Examples of measurement frequency include continuous meters and monthly meter readings. Our Company water standard requires sites to develop and maintain a site-wide water balance capturing inputs, outputs, and on-site consumption. Water discharges are required to be entered quarterly by sites into an enterprise data collection and reporting software system as part of our internal EDC process. The data is reviewed at the corporate level on a quarterly basis.

Water discharges – volumes by destination

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ At least once a quarter

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Discharge volumes are measured either through utility bills, meters or through engineering estimates.

(9.2.4) Please explain

100% of our operational sites are monitored for this water aspect. As part of our compliance with standards and regulations, we monitor the volumes of our discharges by destination and report on it publicly on an annual basis. The frequency of measurement varies site to site based on the operations of the site. Examples of measurement frequency include continuous meters and monthly meter readings. Our Company's water standard requires sites to develop and maintain a site-wide water balance capturing inputs, outputs, and on-site consumption. Water discharges are required to be entered quarterly by sites into an enterprise data collection and reporting software system as part of our internal EDC process. This process differentiates discharges to fresh surface water, groundwater, brackish or sea water (reported as "salt or brackish surface water" in our annual Impact report), and third party treatment facilities. The data is reviewed at the corporate level on a quarterly basis.

Water discharges – volumes by treatment method

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ At least once a year

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Discharge volumes are measured either through utility bills, meters or through engineering estimates.

(9.2.4) Please explain

We are required to ensure that quality and quantity of discharged water complies with standards and regulations. Frequency measurement varies site to site based on the operations of the site. Examples of measurement frequency include continuous meters and monthly meter readings. Our Company water standard requires sites to develop and maintain a site-wide water balance capturing inputs, outputs, and on-site consumption. On-site wastewater treatment methods are reported annually. This year we included the level which third parties treat our wastewater after discharge from our site. Third party treatment levels were identified through a global data search utilizing a digital research tool. The methodology cross-referenced site location data with publicly available environmental registries, utility provider documentation, and regulatory reports. While conservative, evidence-based estimates were applied, these classifications rely on public data availability and geographical assumptions.

Water discharge quality – by standard effluent parameters

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

- ☒ At least once a quarter

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Method of measurement may include but are not limited to continuous monitoring, composite or grab sampling, desktop characterization, or other analytical methods in accordance with permits and applicable regulatory and Company requirements.

(9.2.4) Please explain

100% of applicable operational sites are monitored for this water aspect. We are required to ensure that quality and quantity of discharged water complies with standards and regulations. Frequency of measurement varies site to site based on the operations of the site. Examples of measurement frequency include continuous meters and monthly samples. Our Company water standard requires sites to characterize wastewaters discharged to ensure protection of the environment and compliance with regulatory requirements. Water discharge quality data is maintained at the operating sites. Data is reported at the cadence dictated by permit requirements.

Water discharge quality – emissions to water (nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and/or other priority substances)

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

- ☒ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

- ☒ At least once a month

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Method of measurement may include, periodic sampling, desktop characterization, or other analytical methods in accordance with permits and applicable regulatory and Company requirements.

(9.2.4) Please explain

For most applicable sites, these discharge parameters are measured monthly, however, for one facility, local regulation requires annual measurement. Our Company water standard requires sites to characterize wastewaters discharged to ensure protection of the environment and compliance with regulatory requirements. For sites

that discharge directly to water bodies, 100% are monitored for nitrogen and phosphorus utilizing acceptable water sample collection and test methods through qualified labs. We have also established internal, compound-specific Environmental Quality Criteria (EQCs), used to confirm that wastewater discharged from our facilities does not contain levels of residual products that present a risk to human health or the environment. Our manufacturing and research facilities are required to use these EQCs, along with industry-accepted risk assessment methods, to establish procedures for managing and controlling Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients (APIs) in their wastewater.

Water discharge quality – temperature

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ At least once a month

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Methods may include but are not limited to continuous monitoring, periodic sampling or other analytical methods in accordance permits and, applicable regulatory and Company requirements.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Where required by regulation or permit, 100% of applicable operational sites are monitored for this water aspect. Frequency of measurement varies based on the operations at the site. Examples of measurement frequency include continuous meters or monthly measurement. Discharge temperature is only measured at a subset of sites where it is deemed critical to monitor or if required by permit or regulation.

Water consumption – total volume

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ At least once a quarter

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Quantities are either metered or determined through engineering estimates.

(9.2.4) Please explain

100% of our operational sites are monitored for this water aspect. Frequency of measurement varies site to site based on the operations of the site. Examples of measurement frequency include monthly meter readings. Our Company water standard requires sites to develop and maintain a site-wide water balance capturing inputs, outputs, and on-site consumption. Water consumption volume is required to be entered quarterly by sites into an enterprise data collection and reporting software system as part of our Environmental Data Collection (EDC) process. The data is reviewed at the corporate level on a quarterly basis.

Water recycled/reused

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ At least once a quarter

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Quantities are either metered or determined through engineering estimates.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Frequency of measurement varies site to site based on the operations of the site. Our Company water standard requires sites to develop and maintain a site-wide water balance capturing inputs, outputs, and on-site consumption. Water recycled/reused is required to be entered quarterly by sites into an enterprise data collection and reporting software system as part of our Environmental Data Collection (EDC) process. The data is reviewed at the corporate level on a quarterly basis.

The provision of fully-functioning, safely managed WASH services to all workers

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Continuously

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

WASH services are continuously provided to employees. Potable water monitoring is conducted in accordance with applicable permits and regulatory requirements.

(9.2.4) Please explain

The Company provides fully functioning Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH) services to all workers across applicable operations. These services are continuously available as part of normal facility operations and are essential to protecting employee health and well-being, maintaining safe working conditions, supporting product quality, and ensuring operational continuity. Our internal standards require facilities to maintain potable water supplies in accordance with applicable regulatory requirements or World Health Organization (WHO) drinking water guidelines where local standards do not exist.

[Fixed row]

(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?

Total withdrawals

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters)

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

Water withdrawals are monitored by Company sites and required to be entered quarterly into an enterprise data collection and reporting software system as part of our internal Environmental Data Collection (EDC) process. In addition, water withdrawals are calculated annually for Non-EDC sites utilizing a standard calculation methodology. Annual withdrawals are calculated by summing the quarterly data for the Company as well as the calculated values for Non- EDC sites. While our intent is to decrease water use, water withdrawals remained about the same compared to the previous reporting year (1% increase) and are forecasted to remain about the same over the next five years due to anticipated network changes. Water withdrawal is variable based on manufacturing and research activities year to year; a 1% increase is within the expected variation of the data. Our thresholds for year over year and five-year forecast comparison are as follows: • "About the same" - less than 10% change from the prior year • "Lower/higher" - between 11-20% change from the prior year • "Much lower/much higher" - greater than 20% change from the prior year.

Total discharges

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters)

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

Water discharges are monitored by Company sites and required to be entered quarterly into an enterprise data collection and reporting software system as part of our internal Environmental Data Collection (EDC) process. For Non-EDC sites, the assumption is made that withdrawal from these sites equals discharge since it is an estimated value and consumption is deemed negligible. The volume of discharge was higher compared to the previous reporting year(11% increase). While our intent is to decrease water discharge, water discharge is forecasted to remain about the same over the next five years due to anticipated network changes. Water discharge is variable based on manufacturing and research activities year to year; an 11% increase is within the expected variation of the data. Our thresholds for year over year and five-year forecast comparison are as follows: • "About the same" - less than 10% change from the prior year • "Lower/higher" - between 11-20% change from the prior year • "Much lower/much higher" - greater than 20% change from the prior year Rainwater is not accounted for in our total discharge.

Total consumption

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters)

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

Our reported water consumption includes the amount that is measured or calculated by engineering estimates at our Environmental Data Collection (EDC) sites. We do not estimate consumption at our Non-EDC sites as it is deemed to be negligible due to the nature of the site activities (mostly offices). Water consumption is variable based on manufacturing and research activities year to year. Consumption volumes are broken down into the following categories: -Evaporation (2038 megalitres) -Incorporation into product (36 megalitres) -Other (2431 megalitres). Consumption was lower compared to the prior reporting year (16% decrease) across all three categories of consumption. Consumption is variable due to weather temperatures. While our intent is to decrease water consumption, water consumption is forecasted to remain about the same over the next five years due to anticipated network changes. Our thresholds for year over year and five-year forecast comparison are as follows: • "About the same" - less than 10% change from the prior year • "Lower/higher" - between 11-20% change from the prior year • "Much lower/much higher" - greater than 20% change from the prior year.

[Fixed row]

(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change.

(9.2.4.1) Withdrawals are from areas with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.2.4.2) Volume withdrawn from areas with water stress (megaliters)

2604

(9.2.4.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.2.4.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.4.5) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.2.4.6) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Projected change in baseline water stress, as indicated by WRI model version 4.0.

(9.2.4.7) % of total withdrawals that are withdrawn from areas with water stress

(9.2.4.8) Identification tool

Identification tool used

☒ WRI Aqueduct

(9.2.4.9) Please explain

Our Company uses the World Resources Institute's (WRI's) Aqueduct water-risk-assessment tool to measure and map our water risks at our sites. Water withdrawn from areas rated by WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas as being in areas of "High" or "Extremely High" Baseline Water stress are considered to be from stressed areas. In 2025 the percent of water withdrawals in areas of water stress that rated as "extremely high" or high" was 13%. The volume of water withdrawn from areas with water stress decreased by 6% from the prior reporting year. Water use is variable based on manufacturing and research activities year to year; a 6% decrease is within the expected variation of the data. Based on our existing global footprint and the Business-as-Usual 2030 projections from the WRI Aqueduct 4.0 model, we anticipate that water withdrawals from water stressed areas will be higher in the next five years, due to projected changes in baseline water stress conditions. However we do not anticipate water withdrawal to significantly change. Our thresholds for year over year and five-year forecast comparison are as follows: • "About the same" - less than 10% change from the prior year • "Lower/higher" - between 11-20% change from the prior year • "Much lower/much higher" - greater than 20% change from the prior year.

[Fixed row]

(9.2.7) Provide total water withdrawal data by source.

Fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers, and lakes

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters)

2570

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Our Company measures and monitors all fresh surface water (from rivers) we use at our sites. We do not include rainwater (as a source of withdrawal when it is not utilized as a source of water. The water withdrawn from fresh surface water in 2025 was higher compared to the prior reporting year (16% increase). The increases in withdrawal were primarily associated with a site that is currently undergoing decommissioning activities. Our thresholds for year over year comparison are as follows:

- "About the same" - less than 10% change from the prior year
- "Lower/higher" - between 11-20% change from the prior year
- "Much lower/much higher" - greater than 20% change from the prior year

Brackish surface water/Seawater

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters)

238

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Much lower

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

One Company facility utilizes brackish surface water/seawater. Withdrawal from this source represents a very small proportion of total water withdrawals (0.2 megaliters) and was much lower (37% decrease) than the prior reporting year. Water withdrawal is variable based on manufacturing and research activities year to year. Our thresholds for year over year comparison are as follows: • "About the same" - less than 10% change from the prior year • "Lower/higher" - between 11-20% change from the prior year • "Much lower/much higher" - greater than 20% change from the prior year.

Groundwater – renewable

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters)

9826

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Our Company measures and monitors all renewable groundwater we use at our sites. The amount of water withdrawn from renewable groundwater sources was about the same compared to the prior reporting year (0.4% decrease). Water withdrawal is variable based on manufacturing and research activities year to year; a 0.4% decrease is within the expected variation of the data. Our thresholds for year over year comparison are as follows: • "About the same" - less than 10% change from the prior year • "Lower/higher" - between 11-20% change from the prior year • "Much lower/much higher" - greater than 20% change from the prior year In

general, the groundwater withdrawal is monitored by regional authorities. They may use this information for allocating water withdrawals across the basin through local permitting.

Groundwater – non-renewable

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

Produced/Entrained water

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

Third party sources

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters)

7147

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Our Company measures and monitors all third-party water used at our sites. This measured value in 2025 was 6764 megaliters. The remainder (383 megaliters) includes the estimated amount of water withdrawn from our Non-EDC sites, calculated based on water use per square foot and applying standard assumptions for water use. The amount of water withdrawn from third party sources compared to the prior reporting year is about the same (1% decrease). Water withdrawal is variable based on manufacturing and research activities year to year; a 1% decrease is within the expected variation of the data. Our thresholds for year over year comparison are as follows: • "About the same" - less than 10% change from the prior year • "Lower/higher" - between 11-20% change from the prior year • "Much lower/much higher" - greater than 20% change from the prior year In general, our third-party water is supplied by a municipality or private water company acting as a supplier to the municipality.

[Fixed row]

(9.2.8) Provide total water discharge data by destination.

Fresh surface water

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters)

9120

(9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Much higher

(9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

Our Company measures and monitors discharges to fresh surface water. The volume of discharge to fresh surface water compared to the prior reporting year is much higher (24% increase). The increase in discharge was primarily associated with a site that is currently undergoing decommissioning activities. Our thresholds for year over year comparison are as follows: • "About the same" - less than 10% change from the prior year • "Lower/higher" - between 11-20% change from the prior year • "Much lower/much higher" - greater than 20% change from the prior year.

Brackish surface water/seawater

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters)

96

(9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Much lower

(9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

Our Company measures and monitors discharges to brackish surface water. The volume of discharge to brackish surface water compared to the prior reporting year was much lower (35% decrease) primarily due to decreases in water withdrawal and discharge at a single site. Our thresholds for year over year comparison are as follows: • "About the same" - less than 10% change from the prior year • "Lower/higher" - between 11-20% change from the prior year • "Much lower/much higher" - greater than 20% change from the prior year.

Groundwater

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters)

4

(9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

The volume of water discharged to groundwater water is very insignificant. The discharge amount was about the same compared to the prior reporting year (6% increase). Water discharge is variable based on manufacturing and research activities year to year; a 6% increase is within the expected variation of the data. Our thresholds for year over year comparison are as follows: • "About the same" - less than 10% change from the prior year • "Lower/higher" - between 11-20% change from the prior year • "Much lower/much higher" - greater than 20% change from the prior year.

Third-party destinations

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters)

5433

(9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

Our Company measures and monitors all of our sites' discharges to third party destinations. In addition, we estimate water discharges from our Non-EDC sites. The total value was 5,433 megaliters in 2025. The assumption is made that withdrawal from non-EDC sites equals discharge since it is an estimated value and consumption is deemed negligible. The volume of discharge to third-party destinations compared to the prior reporting year is about the same (5% reduction). Water discharge is variable based on manufacturing and research activities year to year; a 5% decrease is within the expected variation of the data. Our thresholds for year over year comparison are as follows: • "About the same" - less than 10% change from the prior year • "Lower/higher" - between 11-20% change from the prior year • "Much lower/much higher" - greater than 20% change from the prior year

[Fixed row]

(9.2.9) Indicate the highest level to which your wastewater is treated (either by your organization or a third party) before being discharged to the environment.

Quaternary treatment

(9.2.9.1) Volume (megaliters)

423

(9.2.9.2) Comparison of treated volume with previous reporting year

Select from:

- ☒ This is our first year of measurement

(9.2.9.4) % of discharge volume treated in compliance with local regulatory standards

Select from:

- ☒ 100

(9.2.9.5) Factors considered in determining appropriate treatment level beyond local regulatory standards

Select all that apply

- ☒ Internally developed water quality standards or guidelines
- ☒ Sector-specific standards
- ☒ Profile of the receiving waterbody
- ☒ The water discharge impacts
- ☒ The needs of other water users

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

Several Company sites utilize quaternary treatment prior to discharge to surface water or to a third party. The level of treatment performed is consistent with site permits and our procedures for managing and controlling active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) in wastewater. An example of a quaternary treatment process would be Fenton treatment prior to biological activated sludge treatment for removal of APIs in wastewater. In addition, our Company calculations were updated in 2025 to include the level which third parties treat our wastewater after discharge from our site. Third party wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) assignments and corresponding treatment levels were identified through a global data search utilizing a digital research tool. The methodology cross-referenced site location data with publicly available environmental registries, utility provider documentation, and regional regulatory reports. While conservative, evidence-based estimates were applied, these classifications rely on public data availability and geographical assumptions. Change in Volume: This is the first year of measurement for this metric. Comparison to prior reporting year is not applicable. Definition of change: Our thresholds for year over year comparison are as follows: • "About the same" - less than 10% change from the prior year • "Lower/higher" - between 11-20% change from the prior year • "Much lower/much higher" - greater than 20% change from the prior year Anticipated Future Trend: Anticipated 2026 trend should be about the same.

Tertiary treatment

(9.2.9.1) Volume (megaliters)

4767

(9.2.9.2) Comparison of treated volume with previous reporting year

Select from:

- ☒ Much higher

(9.2.9.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

- ☒ Change in accounting methodology

(9.2.9.4) % of discharge volume treated in compliance with local regulatory standards

Select from:

- ☒ 100

(9.2.9.5) Factors considered in determining appropriate treatment level beyond local regulatory standards

Select all that apply

- ☒ Internally developed water quality standards or guidelines
- ☒ Sector-specific standards
- ☒ Profile of the receiving waterbody
- ☒ The water discharge impacts
- ☒ The needs of other water users

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

Several Company sites utilize tertiary treatment prior to discharge to surface water or to a third party. The level of treatment performed is consistent with site permits and our procedures for managing and controlling active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) in wastewater. An example of a tertiary treatment process utilized is nutrient removal after secondary treatment. In addition, our Company calculations were updated in 2025 to include the level which third parties treat our wastewater after discharge from our site. Third party wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) assignments and corresponding treatment levels were identified through a global data search utilizing a digital research tool. The methodology cross-referenced site location data with publicly available environmental registries, utility provider documentation, and regional regulatory reports. While conservative, evidence-based estimates were applied, these classifications rely on public data availability and geographical assumptions. Change in Volume: The total volume of discharge treated via tertiary treatment is much higher in 2025 (753% increase) due to inclusion of the level of treatment of third parties in our methodology. Definition of change: Our thresholds for year over year comparison are as follows: • "About the same" - less

than 10% change from the prior year • "Lower/higher" - between 11-20% change from the prior year • "Much lower/much higher" - greater than 20% change from the prior year Anticipated Future Trend: Anticipated 2026 trend should be about the same.

Secondary treatment

(9.2.9.1) Volume (megaliters)

1488

(9.2.9.2) Comparison of treated volume with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Much higher

(9.2.9.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Change in accounting methodology

(9.2.9.4) % of discharge volume treated in compliance with local regulatory standards

Select from:

☒ 100

(9.2.9.5) Factors considered in determining appropriate treatment level beyond local regulatory standards

Select all that apply

☒ Internally developed water quality standards or guidelines

☒ Sector-specific standards

☒ Profile of the receiving waterbody

☒ The water discharge impacts

☒ The needs of other water users

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

Several Company sites utilize secondary treatment prior to discharge to surface water or groundwater (via infiltration). The level of treatment performed is consistent with site permits and our procedures for managing and controlling active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) in wastewater. An example of a secondary treatment process utilized is a conventional activated sludge process. Non-EDC sites are excluded from this section. In addition, our Company calculations were updated in 2025 to include the level which third parties treat our wastewater after discharge from our site. Third party wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) assignments and corresponding treatment levels were identified through a global data search utilizing a digital research tool. The methodology cross-referenced site location data with publicly available environmental registries, utility provider documentation, and regional regulatory reports. While conservative, evidence-based estimates were applied, these classifications rely on public data availability and geographical assumptions. Change in Volume: The total volume of discharge treated via secondary treatment is much higher in 2025 (615% increase) due to inclusion of the level of treatment of third parties in our methodology. Definition of change: Our thresholds for year over year comparison are as follows: • "About the same" - less than 10% change from the prior year • "Lower/higher" - between 11-20% change from the prior year • "Much lower/much higher" - greater than 20% change from the prior year. Anticipated future trend: Anticipated 2026 trend should be about the same

Primary treatment only

(9.2.9.1) Volume (megaliters)

20

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

One company site discharges to a third party wastewater treatment plant that employs primary treatment only. This site segregates a portion of manufacturing related wastewaters for offsite shipment to ensure compliance with local regulatory requirements for discharge. Change in Volume: This is the first year of measurement for this metric due to inclusion of the level of treatment of third parties in our assessment. Comparison to prior reporting year is not applicable. Anticipated future trend: Anticipated 2026 volume should be about the same.

No treatment

(9.2.9.1) Volume (megaliters)

7542

(9.2.9.2) Comparison of treated volume with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.2.9.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.9.4) % of discharge volume treated in compliance with local regulatory standards

Select from:

☒ 100

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

Relevance: Certain discharges by nature; for example, non-contact cooling water, do not require primary, secondary, or tertiary treatment prior to discharge. Consistent with applicable permits and regulatory requirements these uncontaminated waters are discharged to the natural environment without treatment. Change in volume: The total volume discharge reported going to the natural environment without treatment in 2025 was 7,542 megaliters. This was higher than 2024 (increase of 12%) primarily due to increases in non-contact cooling water usage at a single site.. Definition of change: Our thresholds for year over year comparison are as follows: • "About the same" - less than 10% change from the prior year • "Lower/higher" - between 11-20% change from the prior year • "Much lower/much higher" - greater than 20% change from the prior year. Anticipated future trend: Anticipated 2026 volume should be about the same.

Unknown

(9.2.9.1) Volume (megaliters)

30

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

A subset of our sites segregate wastewater streams for offsite shipment. The disposition is known for these wastewater streams but in many cases but the treatment methods (for example incineration) are not consistent with CDP's provided levels of treatment. Because the definitions of treatment do not align, we have selected the category of "unknown" for these wastewater streams. The level of treatment performed is consistent with site permits and our procedures for managing and controlling active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) in wastewater. Change in Volume: This is the first year of measurement for this metric. Comparison to prior reporting year is not applicable. Anticipated future trend: Anticipated 2026 volume should be about the same.

[Fixed row]

(9.2.10) Provide details of your organization's emissions of nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and other priority substances to water in the reporting year.

(9.2.10.1) Emissions to water in the reporting year (metric tons)

13.1

(9.2.10.2) Categories of substances included

Select all that apply

☒ Nitrates

☒ Phosphates

(9.2.10.4) Please explain

Nitrogen and phosphorus are monitored for operations with direct discharges of treated process wastewater to surface water. Tertiary treatment systems designed to remove nitrogen and/or phosphorus from wastewater have been implemented at multiple applicable sites. The frequency of monitoring is dictated by the local permit requirements and these facilities comply with all local regulatory and permit requirements. The total 2025 discharge of nitrogen is 9.2 metric tons and discharge of phosphorus is 3.9 metric tons.

[Fixed row]

(9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Direct operations

(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

☒ No, we have assessed this value chain stage but did not identify any facilities with substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(9.3.4) Please explain

Although our Company is exposed to water risks in its direct operations, we do not believe they have the potential to have substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities because we have set ambitious water sustainability targets and have an effective global risk management process in place. We assess water risk throughout our network as a standard business practice. Performing this assessment ensures that we can adapt our strategy to changing stressors in each catchment. It enables us to better prioritize facilities and catchments for water stewardship activities and lays the foundation for potential future water targets in priority locations. In 2025, the results from the WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas risk assessment process, utilizing the latest Aqueduct 4.0 model, identified that we have one site in a high risk area, which has a water conservation plan in place. In our 2025 sustainability impact materiality assessment (page 11 of the 2025/2026 Impact Report), water related issues were not identified as the most critical for our Company to address.

Upstream value chain

(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

☒ No, we have assessed this value chain stage but did not identify any facilities with substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(9.3.4) Please explain

Our upstream value chain engagement for water-related risks, impacts, opportunities and dependencies was focused on our strategic external producers (EPs), who may be defined by business segmentation, revenue supported and/or annual spend and include only those EPs that operate under a supply agreement with Company. We have a centralized third-party due diligence process that uses a single tool across risk domains. The central due diligence process integrates risk intelligence data with the third-party assessments to increase risk sensing, improve efficiency and provide stronger mitigation controls. Where assessments and audits identify deficiencies or opportunities for improvement, we monitor suppliers to ensure they address our concerns in a responsible and compliant manner. As part of our monitoring, we have mechanisms to report, track and monitor supplier plans to address nonconformance and drive continued improvement. We perform additional reviews for external manufacturing suppliers and suppliers that manage personal and private information. We monitor and track corrective actions and preventative actions (CAPAs) through completion. For this subset of Tier 1 suppliers, the severity of the findings are based on a potential to result in business interruption, financial liability, or adversely impact the External Partner's and/or Company's reputation or impair supply chain continuity, negatively affect EHS compliance versus the prevailing regulatory status or negatively impact the External Partner and/or Company with respect to the commitment to the PSCI principles for responsible supply chain management. The EHS Risk rating is assigned based on criteria of the number of critical and major findings, which then determines the acceptability level of compliance status. Through our engagement in 2025, we did not identify any upstream value chain facilities with water-related risks, opportunities, dependencies and/or impacts.

[Fixed row]

(9.4) Could any of your facilities reported in 9.3.1 have an impact on a requesting CDP supply chain member?

Select from:

☒ No facilities were reported in 9.3.1

(9.5) Provide a figure for your organization's total water withdrawal efficiency.

	Revenue (currency)	Total water withdrawal efficiency	Anticipated forward trend
	65011000000	3326732.17	About the same.

[Fixed row]

(9.13) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?

	Products contain hazardous substances
	Select from: ☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(9.13.1) What percentage of your company's revenue is associated with products containing substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?

Row 1

(9.13.1.1) Regulatory classification of hazardous substances

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Various.

(9.13.1.2) % of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list

Select from:

☒ Don't know

(9.13.1.3) Please explain

Our Company is currently preparing to report as per EU Taxonomy requirements and will follow the EU Taxonomy's methodology. This report will inform this question in the future.

[Add row]

(9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?

(9.14.1) Products and/or services classified as low water impact

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to address this within the next two years

(9.14.6) Primary reason for not classifying any of your current products and/or services as low water impact

Select from:

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

(9.14.7) Please explain

Our Company has processes in place to minimize water impacts. At this time, we have not classified any products or services specifically as low-water impact and have no plans to do so.

[Fixed row]

(9.15) Indicate whether you have targets relating to water pollution, water withdrawals, WASH, or other water-related categories.

Water pollution

(9.15.1) Target set in this category

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(9.15.4) Explain why you do not have a target set in this category

Currently, we are focused on achieving our 2025 water target. In 2025, we continued to evaluate potential future water targets in line with our global water strategy, which supports United Nations Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 6, and aims to achieve sustainable water management within our operations and our supply chain.

Water withdrawals

(9.15.1) Target set in this category

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.15.2) Science-based target set in this target category according to SBTN guidance

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to in the next two years

Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH) services

(9.15.1) Target set in this category

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(9.15.4) Explain why you do not have a target set in this category

Currently, we are focused on achieving our 2025 water target. In 2025, we continued to evaluate potential future water targets in line with our global water strategy, which supports United Nations Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 6, and aims to achieve sustainable water management within our operations and our supply chain.

Other

(9.15.1) Target set in this category

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

[Fixed row]

(9.15.1) Provide details of your water-related targets and the progress made.

Row 1

(9.15.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Water target 1

(9.15.1.2) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide (direct operations only)

(9.15.1.3) Category of target & Quantitative metric

Water withdrawals

☒ Reduction in total water withdrawals

(9.15.1.4) Date target was set

01/01/2016

(9.15.1.5) End date of base year

12/31/2015

(9.15.1.6) Base year figure

23000

(9.15.1.7) End date of target year

12/31/2025

(9.15.1.8) Target year figure

23000

(9.15.1.9) Reporting year figure

19543

(9.15.1.10) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ Achieved and maintained

(9.15.1.12) Global environmental treaties/initiatives/frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

Global environmental treaties/initiatives/frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

☒ Sustainable Development Goal 6

(9.15.1.13) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Target coverage includes the direction operations company-wide in line with the definition of our reporting boundary.

(9.15.1.15) Actions which contributed most to achieving or maintaining this target

Our sites employ various technologies and techniques to reduce our water footprint and improve operational performance. Our water use reduction initiatives include:

- Consideration of water use in process design • Cooling system optimization • Prompt repairs and maintenance of steam distribution systems and traps
- Recovery and reuse of steam condensate and “reject water” (for non-potable and non-process applications such as cooling tower feed water) • Process water purification system optimization • Avoiding the use of water in mechanical seals, such as those in pumps

(9.15.1.16) Is this a science-based target according to SBTN?

Select from:

☒ No

(9.15.1.18) Further details of target

In 2025, we achieved our goal to maintain global water use at or below 2015 levels. We have achieved a 15% reduction of water withdrawals in 2025 versus the baseline year of 2015. Water use data is compared to the baseline year is evaluated quarterly. The rate of progress towards the target is anticipated and observed to be steady over time. We will continue to maintain performance reporting on water to ensure transparent oversight and sustained water stewardship, and evaluate potential future water targets in line with our global water strategy, which supports United Nations Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 6, and aims to achieve sustainable water management within our operations and our supply chain.

[Add row]

C11. Environmental performance - Biodiversity

(11.2) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments?

	Actions taken in the reporting period to progress your biodiversity-related commitments
	Select from: ☒ No, we are not taking any actions to progress our biodiversity-related commitments, but we plan to within the next two years

[Fixed row]

(11.3) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities?

	Does your organization use indicators to monitor biodiversity performance?
	Select from: ☒ No, we do not use indicators, but plan to within the next two years

[Fixed row]

(11.4) Does your organization have activities located in or near to areas important for biodiversity in the reporting year?

	Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity	Comment
Legally protected areas	Select from: ☒ Not assessed	<i>We are in the process of assessing our proximity to areas important for biodiversity.</i>
UNESCO World Heritage sites	Select from: ☒ Not assessed	<i>We are in the process of assessing our proximity to areas important for biodiversity.</i>
UNESCO Man and the Biosphere Reserves	Select from: ☒ Not assessed	<i>We are in the process of assessing our proximity to areas important for biodiversity.</i>
Ramsar sites	Select from: ☒ Not assessed	<i>We are in the process of assessing our proximity to areas important for biodiversity.</i>
Key Biodiversity Areas	Select from: ☒ Not assessed	<i>We are in the process of assessing our proximity to areas important for biodiversity.</i>
Other areas important for biodiversity	Select from: ☒ Not assessed	<i>We are in the process of assessing our proximity to areas important for biodiversity.</i>

[Fixed row]

C13. Further information & sign off

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?

	Other environmental information included in your CDP response is verified and/or assured by a third party
	Select from: ☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(13.1.1) Which data points within your CDP response are verified and/or assured by a third party, and which standards were used?

Row 1

(13.1.1.1) Environmental issue for which data has been verified and/or assured

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

(13.1.1.2) Disclosure module and data verified and/or assured

Environmental performance – Climate change

☒ Other data point in module 7, please specify :The scope of externally assured data is detailed in the attached Management Assertion.

(13.1.1.3) Verification/assurance standard

General standards

☒ Attestation Standards (AT-C Section 105 & 210/205) established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA)

(13.1.1.4) Further details of the third-party verification/assurance process

We engaged an external third-party to perform a limited assurance engagement over select 2024 GHG emissions metrics included in this report. To view the Report of Independent Accountants, please visit the Sustainability Resources page of our corporate website. The limited assurance engagement was performed in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) in AT-C section 105, Concepts Common to All Attestation Engagements, and AT-C section 210, Review Engagements.

(13.1.1.5) Attach verification/assurance evidence/report (optional)

[Report of Independent Accountants 2025 GHG assurance letter.pdf](#)

[Add row]

(13.2) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.

	Additional information
	No additional information.

[Fixed row]

(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response.

(13.3.1) Job title

Vice President, Global Safety and the Environment

(13.3.2) Corresponding job category

Select from:

☒ Environmental, health and safety manager

[Fixed row]

(13.4) Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub to facilitate collective action in priority basins.

Select from:

☒ Yes, CDP may share our Disclosure Submission Lead contact details with the Pacific Institute

